

This file was downloaded from BI Open, the institutional repository at BI Norwegian Business School https://biopen.bi.no.

It contains the accepted and peer reviewed manuscript to the article cited below. It may contain minor differences from the journal's pdf version.

Boustani, L., Furnham, A., & Grover, S. (2023). Openness to Experience, Fluid Intelligence and Secondary Psychopathology. Imagination, Cognition and Personality, 43(1), 83-99. https://doi.org/10.1177/02762366231171534

Copyright policy of *SAGE*, the publisher of this journal:

Authors "may post the accepted version of the article on their own personal website, their department's website or the repository of their institution without any restrictions."

https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/journal-author-archiving-policies-and-re-use

Openness to Experience, Fluid Intelligence and Secondary Psychopathology

Lynn Boustani ¹ Adrian Furnham ² and Simmy Grover ¹

- 1. Department of Experimental Psychology, University College London, London WC1
- 2. Department of Leadership and Organizational Behaviour, Norwegian Business School, Oslo, Norway

Address of author: adrian@adrianfurnham.com

Data Availability: This is obtainable from the author upon request

Registration: This paper was not pre-registered with the journal

Ethics: This was sought and obtained (CEHP/514/2017)

Informed Consent: participants gave consent for their anonymised data to be analysed and

published

There is no conflict of interest.

boustani.lynn@gmail.com

Adrian@adrianfurnham.com

Abstract

The present study tests the idea that individuals high on both trait Openness-to-Experience and

fluid intelligence can suffer from an overactive mental state that depletes cognitive capability and

leads to restless and impulsive behaviour. In all, 118 participants (58 females, 59 males) were

tested using the multidimensional assessment of fluid intelligence (GIA), an Open-to-Experience

trait measure (HEXACO), and Levenson's secondary psychopathy questionnaire. Although

ANOVA analyses revealed no interaction between Openness and fluid intelligence, an

examination of the lower-order items of Openness and GIA revealed significant interactions in the

female sample, particularly with aesthetic appreciation. The results also suggest Openness as a

unique predictor of secondary psychopathy, however the same does not hold true for fluid

intelligence. The findings are discussed in relation to personnel selection procedures. Key

considerations for attention capacity and activation theory are proposed. Sample size limitations

are acknowledged.

Keywords: Openness; fluid intelligence; derailment; secondary psychopathy; gender

Two major individual domains that have received considerable attention in the personnel selection literature are ability and personality variables (Furnham & Treglown, 2018). With regard to ability, cognitive intelligence has been established as the best predictor of work performance across cultures in spite of the various conceptualizations and psychometric measures issues (Nisbett et al., 2012). Intelligence has also been associated with lower performance, such that individuals with high intelligence are less likely to act impulsively and engage in counterproductive work behaviour (CWB) due to their ability to consider the consequences of their actions (Dilchert, Ones, Davis, & Rostow, 2007).

Creativity in the workplace has thus become an increasingly important determinant of organizational performance, success, and long-term survival (Anderson, Potočnik, & Zhou, 2014). Increasing attention is being paid to the determinants of creative and innovative behaviour (George & Zhou, 2001). Openness-to-experience is the most relevant personality trait as it has been shown to relate to most creativity outcome measures (Dollinger, Urban, & James, 2004). Therefore, it could be argued that cognitive ability and Openness are both essential predictors of individual success in the workplace.

Openness

In light of the 'too much of a good thing' (TMGT) effect (or curvilinearlity) Openness and intelligence as generally "positive individual differences" could potentially lead to negative work-related outcomes. For instance, high openness levels can lead to rebellious and non-conforming behaviours in the workplace due to an intrinsic need for novelty and variety (Ashton, Lee, Vernon, & Jang, 2000). Openness can also induce lower levels of organisational commitment and greater voluntary turnover, given individuals with high openness are likely to seek novel experiences, hence are more willing to pursue job alternatives (Erdheim, Wang, & Zickar, 2006). Further, individuals with high intelligence are more likely to experience boredom on the job due to the lack of intellectual challenges which can lead to lower levels of job satisfaction and higher turnover levels (Maltarich, Nyberg, & Reilly, 2010).

Subclinical Psychopathy

Two factors of psychopathy have been distinguished, namely primary and secondary psychopathy. *Primary* psychopathy focuses on affective- interpersonal deficits mainly relating to

the exploitative use of others through callous and manipulative behaviour. *Secondary* psychopathy focuses on lifestyle and behavioural traits characterized by impulsivity, restlessness, disinhibition, boredom susceptibility, and antisocial behaviour (Levenson et al., 1995). Individuals high on secondary psychopathy are characterized with high impulsive tendencies which prompt them to spontaneously respond to stimuli, thus are more likely to engage in aberrant and obstructive workplace behaviour. Possible deviant behaviours within this context include absenteeism, inappropriate physical and verbal actions, destruction of company property, theft, and sabotage (Wu & LeBreton, 2011). Secondary psychopathy has also been found to negatively correlate with Conscientiousness (Harpur et al., 1989), and the compliance factor of Agreeableness (Keiser & Ross, 2011). Individuals high on secondary psychopathy are characterized by a lack of diligence, and compliance with responsibilities and deadlines in workplace settings, which ultimately leads to performance decrements (Kennealy et al., 2007).

Cognitive Similarities Between Psychopathy and Openness

Assessing personality variants of psychopathy in a noninstitutional population provides support for a relation between psychopathy and openness (Paulhus & Williams, 2002). Similarly, individuals high on primary and secondary subtypes of psychopathy have been shown to possess higher levels of openness than non-psychopaths (Lee & Salekin, 2010). High openness is characterized by the proactive seeking of novel information and experiences and reduced auditory latent inhibition, referring to the capacity of screening out stimuli previously experienced as irrelevant (Peterson & Carson, 2000). Whilst a decrease in cognitive inhibition may be advantageous in certain scenarios, as it can lead to creative outcomes, it has been associated with global and secondary psychopathy in others (Poythress & Hall, 2011). On the other hand, reduced cognitive inhibition has been correlated with impulsivity, a central characteristic of secondary psychopathy (Boxer et al., 2009). An earlier study involving an attentional task to assess for cognitive dysfunction within the normal population found a positive correlation between high impulsivity scores and reduced latent inhibition (Gray, et al 2002).

Hypothesis 1: There is a positive correlation between openness and secondary psychopathy.

Fluid Intelligence

Fluid intelligence is associated with processing novel information and involves abstract reasoning and short-term memory capabilities. Crystallized intelligence refers to consolidated, or acquired, knowledge from education or life experiences (Cattell, 1987; McDaniel & Banks, 2010). A plethora of meta- analyses have demonstrated the importance of assessing general cognitive intelligence at work (Kuncel, Hezlett, & Ones 2004; Richardson & Norgate, 2015).

The TMGT effect posits that seemingly beneficial antecedents can lead to detrimental consequences when taken too far, or in certain contexts under the presence of specific moderators (Pierce, & Aguinis, 2013). This argument dates back to Simonton's (1994) proposition of a curvilinear relation between IQ and work-place success where individuals with lower IQ can sometimes outperform their higher IQ counterparts.

The majority of the research argues that higher levels of intelligence could compensate for decreased cognitive inhibition which may prevent information overflow and reduce the likelihood of pathological behaviours (Carson, Higgins, & Peterson, 2003). However, drawing on attention capacity theory and activation theory, this paper proposes a new theory-driven approach that argues otherwise.

Hypothesis 2: There is a positive correlation between fluid intelligence and secondary psychopathy.

Attention Capacity Theory and Activation Theory

Kahneman's (1973) attention capacity theory proposes that individuals have a limited attentional and cognitive capacity that can restrict the ability to attend to several simultaneous stimuli. Studies have indicated higher performance abilities on tasks involving divided attention (i.e., dual or competing simultaneous tasks) in individuals with higher intelligence as compared to their lower counterparts, due to improved information processing capabilities (Furnham, 2008; Fogarty & Stankov, 1982).

High levels of intelligence are associated with heightened attentional control, regarded as an effortful cognitive process that serves to process a large amount of information (Heitz, Unsworth, & Engle, 2005). Therefore, it may be contended that intellectual ability is linked to a higher individual tendency and ability to attend to several simultaneous stimuli which increases

individual activation levels even further (Eysenck, 1977). Research stemming from activation theory posits that moderate activation levels are most conducive to performance, such that extremes in activation can lead to performance decrements (Gardner, 1986). A curvilinear relation between activation levels and optimal task performance has been proposed in the earlier literature (Gardner, 1986; Scott, 1966), because moderate activation levels are associated with optimal storage and use of task-relevant information (Eysenck, 1985; Humphreys & Revelle, 1984). Deviances from moderate activation levels have been shown to affect the processing of task-based information, thus leading to performance decrements (Gardner, 1986, 1990; Janssen, 2001). This contradicts the aforementioned postulated argument which associates higher levels of intelligence with consistently effective coping with information overload (e.g. in cases of reduced cognitive inhibition; Carson et al., 2003).

Moreover, stimuli leading to experienced activation levels can arise from sources other than cognitive differences including contextual task demands and individual personality variables (Gardner, 1990). Openness is an important personality trait that engenders high resting levels of activation due to an oversensitivity to stimuli and which involves a heightened scope of awareness and a wider access to thoughts and feeling maintained simultaneously (McCrae & Costa, 1997). Novel and complex stimuli can lead to excessively high activation levels (Zhang & Bartol, 2010).

Hypothesis 3: There is a significant interaction between openness and fluid intelligence when predicting secondary psychopathy.

Method

Participants

There were 118 participants (58 females, 59 males), with ages ranging between 19 and 61 years (M=38.39, SD=10.77). In all, 17.8% were senior leaders, 24.6% managers (13.6% middle managers, 11% first-line managers), and 31.4% non-managers. Participants were also of mixed ethnicities: 68.8% White, 12.7% Black, and the remaining belong to other ethnicities (e.g., Asian, Latin American, Mixed). Participants were surveyed from different organisations worldwide spanning a wide- range of industries, most commonly Manufacturing (n=16, 13.6%), Business and Management Consultancy (n=9, 7.6%), and Information Technology (n=8, 6.8%). Most frequently

specified job functions included Human Resources (n=10, 8.47%), Engineering or Technology (n=10, 8.47%), and Finance (n=9, 7.63%). They came from various educational backgrounds, the most listed being BA/BSc (n=19, 16.10%), A-Levels/12th Grade (n=16, 13.56%), and Masters level education (n=8, 6.78%). Most of the participants were in full-time employment (68.64%, n=81), whereas 7.63% (n=9) were working part-time, 5.08% (n=6) were self-employed, and 13.56% (n=16) were unemployed.

Measures

General Intelligence Assessment (GIA). The GIA is a computer-based test measure which has five different tests: reasoning, perceptual speed, number speed/accuracy, word meaning and spatial visualization. It has high internal validity where the test-retest correlations on individual test scores have been found to range from .75 to .86. It correlates with the established Raven's progressive matrices test of intelligence (r=.74; Dann, 2015; Furnham & Treglown, 2018). In this study, the internal consistency of GIA scores across the different sub-tests was .79.

HEXACO Personality Inventory—Revised (HEXACO-PI-R) (Lee & Ashton 2004) based on six consistent personality factors found across different lexical personality studies. The personality traits include Honesty/Humility, Emotionality, Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, and Openness to Experience. Each of the six personality traits subsume 4 lower-order facets.

Levenson et al.'s (1995) Secondary Psychopathy Scale. The 10-items pertaining to the secondary psychopathy measure was used in this study. The coefficient alpha for the secondary psychopathy scale of the LSRP is .64 in line with previous research using the secondary psychopathy scale (e.g. Brinkley, Schmitt, Smith, & Newman, 2001)

Procedure

Participants took the GIA test as part of a selection procedure administered by a British psychometric test publisher. Participants opted to be a part of an additional research panel where they were later sent a second survey containing the openness and secondary psychopathy questionnaires. The time between completing the GIA questionnaire and the second survey was 77 days on average.

Results

Correlations

All participants. Table 1 provides the means, standard deviations, and bivariate correlations for all of the study variables, including age. Note: correlations with sex were point biserial correlations. Age was not correlated with any of the variables. Openness and GTI were not significantly correlated with secondary psychopathy. Unconventionality, reasoning, and word meaning significantly and positively correlated with secondary psychopathy, whereas aesthetic experience significantly and negatively correlated with secondary psychopathy. Unconventionality and reasoning showed a significant positive correlation.

Gender. Table 2 shows there was no significant correlation between age and any of the study variables, except with unconventionality in the male sample. However, similar to all participants and unlike for males, the female sample revealed a significant positive correlation between unconventionality and reasoning.

Table 1

Descriptive statistics and correlations among study variables

	М	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1. Gender																
2. Age	38.39	10.77	22*													
3. Openness to Exp	3.74	.51	20 [*]	09												
4. Aesthetic Appreciation	3.57	.70	02	07	.67**											
5. Inquisitiveness	3.88	.78	29**	.08	.65**	.30**										
6. Creativity	3.71	.85	09	11	.72**	.31**	.18*									
7. Unconventionality	3.79	.64	14	15	.68**	.27**	.30**	.40**								
8. GTI	53.32	11.56	05	11	.10	08	.04	.13	.19*							
9. Reasoning	38.81	10.40	.07	08	.12	01	.00	.12	.24**	.79**						
10. Perceptual Speed	43.20	6.74	.03	15	.07	.02	.05	.07	.05	.70**	.46**					
11. Number Speed	14.28	5.90	07	10	.05	09	06	.13	.16	.75**	.51**	.49**				
12. Word Meaning	30.50	6.21	12	.06	.08	12	.16	.06	.13	.79**	.53**	.46**	.44**			
13. Spatial Visualisation	11.26	5.02	10	02	04	15	06	.07	.01	.68**	.38**	.42**	.56**	.45**		
14. SP	2.51	.61	.04	13	03	23*	.02	04	.19*	.16	.18*	.11	.08	.20*	.02	

Note. SP = Secondary Psychopathy N=118, *= p <.05, **= p <.01

Table 2
Study variable correlations by gender

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1. Age		11	13	04	07	08	10	11	18	15	.11	.04	22
2. Openness to Experience	16		.73**	.69**	.78**	.71**	.22	.23	.21	.10	.17	.02	02
3. Aesthetic Appreciation	01	.59**		.37**	.40**	.37**	.07	.08	.19	04	.00	.01	20
4. Inquisitive	.09	.50**	.18		.33*	.28*	.18	.09	.23	.07	.18	.02	.09
5. Creativity	17	.65**	.18	08		.53**	.23	.23	.15	.13	.24	.06	03
6. Unconventionality	28*	.60**	.10	.21	.22		.16	.28*	.03	.15	.04	04	.11
7. GTI	14	04	25	13	.04	.24		.80**	.64**	.68**	.72**	.67**	05
8. Reasoning	04	01	12	09	.01	.19	.82**		.39**	.58**	.42**	.41**	07
9. Perceptual Speed	12	09	19	16	.00	.13	.77**	.55**		.35**	.33*	.38**	.03
10. Number Speed	10	.00	14	23	.14	.20	.79**	.51**	.61**		.27*	.55**	04
11. Word Meaning	03	09	30*	.08	16	.23	.85**	.69**	.60**	.574**		.40**	.01
12. Spatial Visualization	11	13	34**	19	.08	.09	.69**	.43**	.45**	.57**	.49**		23
13. SP	05	02	27*	03	03	.32*	.34**	.42**	.17	.16	.40**	.24	

Note. SP = Secondary Psychopathy

The correlation matrix for male participants is shown in the lower triangle, for female participants it is shown in the upper trianglen(males) = 58, (females)=59; *=p < .05, **=p < .01

Regressions

Insert Table 3

Two different hierarchical regression series were performed, both with secondary psychopathy as the criterion variable (see Table 3). The first hierarchical model included Openness and GTI as predictor variables, after which the interaction between Openness and GTI was incorporated in the model to test for any incremental variance. This model was non-significant when run on all three samples, such that the interaction between Openness and GTI was non-significant. A second series of hierarchical regressions were conducted factoring in the lower order items of openness and fluid intelligence that displayed a significant correlation with secondary psychopathy, namely aesthetic appreciation, unconventionality, word meaning, and reasoning.

The first step involved controlling for potential confounding variables that can interfere with evaluating the unique variance of each of the independent variables. Gender was not included as a control variable, since separate regressions were then conducted on males and females. Even though previous research has shown a decline in secondary psychopathy with age (Lander, Lutz-Zois, Rye, & Goodnight, 2011), age was not controlled for as it did not demonstrate a significant correlation with secondary psychopathy in both correlation tables. Since education is highly correlated with fluid intelligence, controlling for education can reduce the amount of explainable variance in secondary psychopathy predicted by fluid intelligence. Therefore, interaction variables were tested without controlling for any other variables in order to maximize the statistical power of the model (Bernerth & Aguinis, 2016). Step 1 of the hierarchical regression model entered in Aesthetic Appreciation, Unconventionality, Word Meaning, and Reasoning as the independent variables and Secondary Psychopathy as the dependent variable. To then assess the distinctiveness and uniqueness of the interaction effects, Step 2 jointly tested the four possible interaction variables; Aesthetic Appreciation x Reasoning, Aesthetic Appreciation x Word Meaning, Unconventionality x Reasoning, Unconventionality x Word Meaning. The interaction effects were also tested in separate models, for instance through a model including only Aesthetic Appreciation, Reasoning, and Aesthetic Appreciation x Reasoning as independent variables, however the significance, direction, and relation of the interactions remained nearly unchanged.

In the first step, aesthetic appreciation was a negative, significant predictor of secondary psychopathy in the total, male, and female sample. Unconventionality was a positive, significant predictor of secondary psychopathy in the total and male sample.

Incorporating the interaction terms in the second step of the model explained 17% (3% additional) of the variance in secondary psychopathy in the total sample, 32% (2% additional) in the male sample, and 21% (12% additional) in the female sample. In the overall model, aesthetic appreciation remained as a significant predictor across samples, and unconventionality was also a significant predictor in the total and male samples. Out of the four interaction variables, none were significant in the total and male sample, however, that the interaction between aesthetic appreciation and word meaning was on the verge of significance in the total sample ($\beta = -.22$, p = .065). The overall models were statistically significant at p < .001 for the total, F(8,109)=2.73, and male, F(8,50)=2.94, samples. In the female sample, the overall model was not statistically significant F(8,49) = 1.64, p > .05. Nonetheless, two of the interaction variables significantly contributed to the prediction of secondary psychopathy (Table 5). The interaction between aesthetic appreciation and reasoning indicated a positive, significant predictor of secondary psychopathy, whereas the interaction between aesthetic appreciation and word meaning indicated a negative, significant predictor of secondary psychopathy. Following best practice recommendations, significant interaction effects were plotted on a graph using the Johnson-Neyman (J-N) technique to gain a clearer understanding of the relations (see figure 1,2; Bauer & Curran, 2005).

Table 3

Regression of openness and fluid intelligence factors on secondary psychopathy, overall and by gender

			Total		Males	I	Females	
			(<i>N</i> =118)		(N=59)		(N=58)	
		β	t	β	t	β	t	
Step 1	Aesthetic Appreciation	28	-3.07**	24	-1.98	28	-2.01*	
	Unconventionality	.23	2.45*	.27	2.31*	.25	1.70	
	Reasoning	.08	0.71	.30	1.91	15	-0.98	
	Word meaning	.09	0.89	.06	0.34	.06	0.42	
<i>F</i> -Score		F(4,	113)=4.59**	F(4,	54)=5.86**	F(4,	53)=1.396	
R^2		.14		.30		.10		
Step 2	Aesthetic appreciation	32	-3.37**	26	-2.03*	37	-2.62*	
	Unconventionality	.23	2.39*	.31	2.41*	.22	1.48	
	Reasoning	.09	0.85	.29	1.70	15	-0.98	
	Word meaning	.08	0.71	.09	0.47	01	-0.04	
	Aesthetic Appreciation x Reasoning	.16	1.38	.03	0.20	.42	2.25*	
	Aesthetic Appreciation x Word Meaning	22	-1.86	.06	0.35	46	-2.42*	
	Unconventionality x Reasoning	05	-0.51	02	-0.13	17	-1.13	
	Unconventionality x Word Meaning		0.63	.16	0.90	.13	0.85	
F-Score			109)=2.73**	F(8,	50)=2.94**	F(8, 4)	49)=1.64	
$R^2 \left(\Delta R^2 \right)$	(ΔR^2)		.17 (.03)		.32 (.02)		.21 (.12)	
Mada Chana	land: and hates are noncorted * < 05 ** < 01 *** < 001	•						

Note. Standardized betas are reported. *p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.

Discussion

The current study aimed to extend the literature by investigating whether trait openness, as well as, fluid intelligence can lead to derailing performance behaviours best encapsulated in secondary psychopathy. Even though the main hypotheses of this study were not supported, the results reveal interesting implications for research and applied organisational practice. First, the absence of a significant correlation between openness and secondary psychopathy in the overall sample does not support the first hypothesis which tests for a positive significant relation between openness and secondary psychopathy. Nonetheless, when considering the lower-order facets of openness, two of the facets, aesthetic appreciation and unconventionality, displayed a significant relation with secondary psychopathy. However, contrary to the hypothesized positive relation between openness and secondary psychopathy, the regression models revealed aesthetic appreciation as a significant negative predictor of secondary psychopathy across the overall, female, and male samples. Individuals with a greater appreciation affinity for exploring their surrounding were less likely to display secondary psychopathy. This is supported by the extant literature which holds that aesthetic appreciation involves an increased need for cognitive and attentional control by inhibiting irrelevant stimuli in order to direct attention to focus on the object of interest (Cupchik et al., 2009). Attentional control is a cognitive mechanism lacking in higher levels of secondary psychopathy (Poythress & Hall, 2011).

In line with the hypothesized positive relation between openness and secondary psychopathy however, individuals with a higher tendency for unconventionality were more likely to display secondary psychopathy. Previous research similarly found individuals with higher levels of originality during a creative idea generation task to score higher on global psychopathy. This links originality to a decreased level of latent inhibition, a cognitive notion similarly characterizing secondary psychopathy (Fink et al, 2012; Stavridou & Furnham, 1996). Unconventionality, however, was only a significant positive predictor in the overall and male regression models, which is potentially explained by higher mean-level scores of unconventionality and secondary psychopathy scores in males as compared to females (Matud, Rodríguez, & Grande, 2007). Another possible explanation can be attributed to the association of unconventionality with verbal aggression (Levy & Anderson, 2006), concordant with the research claiming higher aggression levels as a component of secondary psychopathy in males only (Falkenbach, 2008).

Originality has not only been linked to decreased levels of latent inhibition, but also to higher levels of intellectual ability (Carson et al., 2003). Consistently, the results show a positive correlation between unconventionality and reasoning, which supports the rationale of testing the possible interaction between openness and fluid intelligence. Given that the originality of ideas is closely linked to higher levels of cognitive intelligence (Carson et al., 2003), and given that the findings reporting a positive association between unconventionality, as a facet of openness, and secondary psychopathy, openness and fluid intelligence might interact under certain circumstances leading to behaviors associated with secondary psychopathy. It is also worth noting that the results indicated decreases in originality with higher age in the male sample. Less willingness to risk original solutions, as well as social variables, including occupational and social roles, offer possible explanations for the decline of unconventionality with age (Ruth & Birren, 1985). However, a review of the literature revealed no related explanation as to why this relation was only present in the male sample. In summary, given the correlations at the facet level and the fact that the facets have different directions of correlations with secondary psychopathy, it is possible that the effects are confounded at the openness factor level.

In a similar manner, the second hypothesis testing for a significant positive correlation between fluid intelligence and secondary psychopathy was only partially supported. The results indicated a non-significant correlation between the overall GTI score and secondary psychopathy, however two of the sub-tests, word meaning and reasoning, reported significant positive correlations with secondary psychopathy. This is consistent with previous literature positing that the relation between psychopathy and intelligence varies depending on the type of intelligence, measurement instrument, sample size, and the factor of psychopathy involved (DeLisi et al., 2010). Even though there is limited research relating word meaning as measured by the GIA and secondary psychopathy, the relation can be linked to studies on emotional language processing. Word comprehension is usually enhanced for emotionally valenced words as compared to emotionally neutral words in normal populations (Williamson, Harpur, & Hare, 1991).

However, studies have shown deficits in verbal emotional processing in individuals high on global psychopathy (e.g. Long & Titone, 2007). This has been attributed to a lower activation of the amygdala region of the brain in individuals with high levels of global psychopathy (Kiehl et al., 2009), or due to difficulties in processing the semantic aspects of words (Brinkley et al., 2005). In sharp contrast, the findings of this study contradict the research as they report an

improved word meaning score in individuals high on secondary psychopathy. However, this might be due to the lack of emotionally valenced words in the word meaning task of this study. As such, in order to examine whether semantic processing is impaired in individuals high on global psychopathy, future studies must include lexical studies while controlling for the valence of words in order to test whether it is affect-language interactions or more fundamental deficits in general semantic processing that impair word processing in psychopaths (Blair et al., 2006).

On the other hand, given the insignificant correlations between the factor-level variables (GIA and openness) each with secondary psychopathy, and the fact that different aspects of cognitive ability and facets of personality are differentially related to each other (Chamorro-Premuzic, & Furnham, 2005), can help explain the insignificant interaction between GIA and openness, therefore not supporting the final hypothesis of this study. Nonetheless, regression models accounting for the possible interactions between certain lower-order facets of openness and fluid intelligence revealed interesting findings. To begin with, only in the female sample did two of the interaction variables explain significant additional unique variance in secondary psychopathy in addition to the main effects.

Even though reasoning alone was not a significant predictor in the female model, it was shown to moderate the relation between aesthetic appreciation and secondary psychopathy, such that higher levels of reasoning further decreased secondary psychopathy. The interaction between reasoning and aesthetic appreciation can be linked to similar biological cognitive mechanisms related to an activation of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of the brain. This is exhibited in an experiment revealing an activation of the lateral PFC when participants belonged to the aesthetic viewing orientation of the study (Cupchik, Vartanian, Crawley, & Mikulis, 2009). As such, an interaction between aesthetic appreciation and reasoning is to be expected as both reflect an activation of the PFC which ultimately focuses attention by suppressing external stimuli (Cupchik et al., 2009), a notion characterizing lower levels of secondary psychopathy (Stavridou & Furnham, 1996). This contradicts the idea that higher levels of secondary psychopathy when openness and fluid intelligence interact, however is consistent with the previous literature arguing that high intelligence can compensate for reduced levels of latent inhibition in individuals with high openness, which in turn leads to creative or enhanced performance as opposed to restlessness and impulsivity illustrated in secondary psychopathy (Carson et al., 2003)

On the other hand, the plot illustrating the significant interaction between aesthetic appreciation and word meaning shows higher levels of word meaning to accentuate the negative relation between aesthetic appreciation and secondary psychopathy. This is in line with the aforementioned discussion about improved word processing scores in individuals low on secondary psychopathy due to a relatively enhanced ability to understand and appreciate feelings as compared to their higher counterparts. In turn, this ties into a higher affinity to explore one's surrounding. (Ross, Lutz, & Bailley, 2004). Nonetheless, the fact that the interaction effects showed significant only in the female sample could be due to lower base rates of secondary psychopathy in women which allows for more possible increases. If this is the case, then secondary psychopathy is the wrong construct to examine due to the inherent gender differences masking the potential predictive validity of the considered constructs in the male sample. An analysis of the findings also supports the notion that secondary psychopathy has a divergent pattern of associations across gender. Nevertheless, given the limited research on gender differences in secondary psychopathy, possible explanations clarifying the divergent relations were not found in a review of the literature.

Like all others, this study was not without its limitations. Perhaps the most important of which is the sample size. Whilst this N would be considered quite adequate for experimental study it is somewhat underpowered for a study such as this. It is not easy to get working adults to complete both robust multi-dimensional intelligence tests as well as personality measures. However, it remains important to try to replicate these findings on a bigger and more representative population. Equally it would have been most desirable to have more information on each participant such as their work history, their creative past-times and any problems they may have had with the law. Finally, though all the measures we used were psychometrically sound and robust it would have been desirable to have a measure of primary as well as secondary psychopathology.

References

Anderson, N., Potočnik, K., & Zhou, J. 2014. Innovation and creativity in organizations: A state-of-the-science review, prospective commentary, and guiding framework. *Journal of Management*, 40, 1297-1333.

- Antonakis, J., House, R. J., & Simonton, D. K. (2017). Can super smart leaders suffer from too much of a good thing? The curvilinear effect of intelligence on perceived leadership behavior. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(7), 1003.
- Batey, M., Chamorro-Premuzic, & Furnham. (2009). Intelligence and personality as predictors of divergent thinking: The role of general, fluid and crystallised intelligence. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, *4*(1), 60-69.
- Bernerth, J. B., & Aguinis, H. (2016). A Critical Review and Best-Practice Recommendations for Control Variable Usage. *Personnel Psychology*, 69(1), 229–283. https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12103
- Blickle, & Schütte. (2017). Trait psychopathy, task performance, and counterproductive work behavior directed toward the organization. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 109, 225-231.
- Boddy, C. (2014). Corporate Psychopaths, Conflict, Employee Affective Well-Being and Counterproductive Work Behaviour. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *121*(1), 107-121.
- Boxer, O., & Duran, R. E. Franco. (2009). *The Relations among Creativity, Schizotypy, Intellectual Functioning, and Latent Inhibition in Healthy Adults*, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses.
- Bozionelos, N.,, Bozionelos, G., Polychroniou, P., & Kostopoulos, K. (2014). Mentoring receipt and personality: Evidence for non-linear relationships. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(2), 171-181.
- Cross, C., Copping, L., & Campbell, A. (2011). Sex Differences in Impulsivity: A Meta-Analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *137*(1), 97-130.
- Cupchik, Vartanian, Crawley, & Mikulis. (2009). Viewing artworks: Contributions of cognitive control and perceptual facilitation to aesthetic experience. *Brain and Cognition*, 70(1), 84-91.
- Dann, P. (2015). The general intelligence assessment (GIA) technical manual. London, UK: Thomas International Limited
- DeLisi, M., Vaughn, M. G., Beaver, K. M., & Wright, J. P. (2010). The hannibal lecter myth:

 Psychopathy and verbal intelligence in the macarthur violence risk assessment study. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 32(2), 169–177. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-009-9147-z.
- Edens, J. F., & Cox, J. (2012). Examining the prevalence, role, and impact of evidence regarding antisocial personality, sociopathy, and psychopathy in capital cases: A survey of defence team members. *Behavioural Sciences and the Law*, 30, 239–255.

- Falkenbach, D. M. (2008). Psychopathy and the assessment of violence in women. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 8(2), 212–224.
- Falkenbach, D. M., Barese, T. H., Balash, J., Reinhard, E. E., & Hughs, C. J. (2015). The exploration of subclinical psychopathic subtypes and their relationship with types of aggression in female college students. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 85, 117–122.
- Falkenbach, D., Poythress, N., & Creevy, C. (2008). The exploration of subclinical psychopathic subtypes and the relationship with types of aggression. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 44(4), 821–832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2007.10.012
- Falkenbach, D. M., Reinhard, E. E., & Larson, F. R. R. (2016). Theory based gender differences in psychopathy subtypes. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 105, 1–6.
- Fink, A., Slamar-Halbed, M., Unterrainer, H. F., & Weiss, E. M. (2012). Creativity: Genius, Madness, or a combination of both. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, *6*(1), 11–18. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024874
- Furnham, A. (2008). Intelligence and Cognitive Abilities at Work. *The Oxford Handbook of Personnel Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199234738.003.0002
- Furnham, A., & Bachtiar, V. (2008). Personality and intelligence as predictors of creativity. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 45(7), 613–617
- Furnham, A., Richards, S., Rangel, L., & Jones, D. N. (2014). Measuring malevolence: Quantitative issues surrounding the Dark Triad of personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 67, 114–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.02.001
- Furnham, A., & Treglown, L. (2018). High potential personality and intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 128, 81–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.02.025
- Gonzalez-Mulé, E., Mount, M. K., & Oh, I. S. (2014). A meta-analysis of the relationship between general mental ability and nontask performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *99*(6), 1222–1243. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037547.
- Harms, P.D., Spain, S.M., Hannah, S.T., Hogan, R., & Foster, J. (2011). You underestimate the power of the dark side: Subclinical traits, the Big Five, and job performance. Symposium conducted at the 26th Annual Conference of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Chicago, IL
- Hayes, A., & Little, T. (2018). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis, Second Edition* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Publications.

- Heym, Ferguson, & Lawrence. (2012). The P-psychopathy continuum: Facets of Psychoticism and their associations with psychopathic tendencies. *Personality and Individual Differences*, Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons
- Kaiser, R. B., LeBreton, J. M., & Hogan, J. (2015). The dark side of personality and extreme leader behavior. *Applied Psychology*, *64*(1), 55–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12024
- Kaufman, J. C., Plucker, J. A., & Baer, J. (2008). Essentials of creativity assessment.
- Keiser, & Ross. (2011). Carver and Whites' BIS/FFFS/BAS scales and domains and facets of the Five Factor Model of personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 51(1), 39-44.
- Lander, Lutz-Zois, Rye, & Goodnight. (2011). The differential association between alexithymia and primary versus secondary psychopathy. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 52(1), 45-50.
- Le, H., Oh, I. S., Robbins, S. B., Ilies, R., Holland, E., & Westrick, P. (2011). Too Much of a Good Thing: Curvilinear Relationships Between Personality Traits and Job Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *96*(1), 113–133. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021016
- LeBoutillier, N., Barry, R., & Westley, D. (2016). Creativity and the Measurement of Subclinical Psychopathology in the General Population: Schizotypy, Psychoticism, and Hypomania. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 10*(2), 240-247.
- Lee, K., & Ashton, M. C. (2018). Psychometric Properties of the HEXACO-100. *Assessment*, 25(5), 543–556. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191116659134
- Lee, Z., & Salekin, R. T. (2010). Psychopathy in a noninstitutional sample: Differences in primary and secondary subtypes. *Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment, 1*(3), 153–169. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0019269
- Maltarich, M. A., Nyberg, A. J., & Reilly, G. (2010). A Conceptual and Empirical Analysis of the Cognitive Ability-Voluntary Turnover Relationship. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(6), 1058–1070. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020331
- Martinsen, Ø. L. (2011). The creative personality: A synthesis and development of the creative person profile. *Creativity Research Journal*, 23(3), 185–202. https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2011.595656
- McDaniel, M. A., & Banks, G. C. (2010). General cognitive ability. *Handbook of Workplace Assessment*, 32, 61.

- Miller, J. D., Watts, A., & Jones, S. E. (2011). Does psychopathy manifest divergent relations with components of its nomological network depending on gender? *Personality and Individual Differences*, 50(5), 564–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.11.028
- Neal, A., Yeo, G., Koy, A., & Xiao, T. (2012). Predicting the form and direction of work role performance from the Big 5 model of personality traits. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 33(2), 175–192. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.742
- Nisbett, R. E., Aronson, J., Blair, C., Dickens, W., Flynn, J., Halpern, D. F., & Turkheimer, E. (2012). Intelligence: New Findings and Theoretical Developments. *American Psychologist*, 67(2), 130–159. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026699
- O'Boyle, E. H., Forsyth, D. R., Banks, G. C., & McDaniel, M. A. (2012). A meta-analysis of the Dark Triad and work behavior: A social exchange perspective. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97(3), 557–579. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025679
- Ones, D. S., & Dilchert, S. (2009). How special are executives? How special should executive selection be? Observations and recommendations. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 2(2), 163-170. doi: 10.1111/j.1754-9434.2009.01127.x
- Pierce, J. R., & Aguinis, H. 2013. The too-much-of-a-good-thing effect in management. *Journal of Management*, 39, 313-338
- Pourhoseingholi, M., Baghestani, A., & Vahedi, M. (2012). How to control confounding effects by statistical analysis. *Gastroenterology and Hepatology from Bed to Bench*, 5(2), 79-83.
- Poythress, N. G., & Hall, J. R. (2011). Psychopathy and impulsivity reconsidered. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 16(2), 120–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2011.02.003
- Rammstedt, B., Lechner, C., & Danner, D. (2018). Relationships between Personality and Cognitive Ability: A Facet-Level Analysis. *Journal of Intelligence*, 6(2), 28. https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence6020028
- Rauthmann, J. F. (2012). The Dark Triad and Interpersonal Perception: Similarities and Differences in the Social Consequences of Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy. Social *Psychological and Personality Science*, *3*(4), 487–496. https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550611427608
- Richardson, K., & Norgate, S. H. (2015). Does IQ Really Predict Job Performance? *Applied Developmental Science*, 19(3), 153–169. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2014.983635

- Smith, & Lilienfeld. (2012). Psychopathy in the workplace: The knowns and unknowns. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 18(2), 204-218.
- Smith, S. F., & Lilienfeld, S. O. (2013). Psychopathy in the workplace: The knowns and un-knowns. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 8,204–218
- Wu, J., & LeBreton, J. M. 2011. Reconsidering the dispositional basis of counterproductive work behavior: The role of aberrant personality. *Personnel Psychology*, 64, 593-626.
- Zhang, X., & Bartol, K. M. (2010). The influence of creative process engagement on employee creative performance and overall job performance: A curvilinear assessment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(5), 862–873. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020173