# **Appendix**

## Survey

hat gender do you identify	with?				
○ Female					
○ Male					
Other					
ease assess whether or no	t you agree with the	following staten	nents:		
	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
am more critical of the brands hat I choose now, after the handemic, as opposed to prior.	0	0	0	0	0
value brands that invoke a nostalgic feeling in me (brands hat remind you of the 'good old imes')	0	0	0	0	0
ease assess whether or no	t you agree with th	e following state  Somewhat disagree	ements:	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
form a stronger emotional ond to a brand when I see ninorities (i.e. race, sexual rientation, religion, age, ender, disability and more) epresented in branding litiatives such as in marketing		Somewhat		Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
form a stronger emotional sond to a brand when I see ninorities (i.e. race, sexual orientation, religion, age, lender, disability and more) epresented in branding nitiatives such as in marketing sampains and ads value brands that actively fight or diversity and inclusion in the	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral		
form a stronger emotional cond to a brand when I see ininorities (i.e. race, sexual virentation, religion, age, lender, disability and more) epresented in branding ititatives such as in marketing ampaigns and ads value brands that actively fight or diversity and inclusion in the vorkplace am more likely to purchase rom brands that actively colude diversity, representation ind inclusion in their branding	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	
form a stronger emotional cond to a brand when I see ininorities (i.e. race, sexual virentation, religion, age, lender, disability and more) epresented in branding ititatives such as in marketing ampaigns and ads value brands that actively fight or diversity and inclusion in the vorkplace am more likely to purchase rom brands that actively colude diversity, representation ind inclusion in their branding	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0
form a stronger emotional lond to a brand when I see ninorities (i.e. race, sexual rientation, religion, age, lender, disability and more) epresented in branding litiatives such as in marketing ampaigns and ads value brands that actively fight or diversity and inclusion in the lor diversity and inclusion in the lor diversity and inclusion in the likely to purchase rom brands that actively include diversity, representation ind inclusion in their branding intiatives	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0
form a stronger emotional ond to a brand when I see ninorities (i.e. race, sexual rientation, religion, age, ender, disability and more) epresented in branding ilitatives such as in marketing ampaigns and ads value brands that actively fight or diversity and inclusion in the rorkplace am more likely to purchase om brands that actively relude diversity, representation in inclusion in their branding ilitatives	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0
form a stronger emotional ond to a brand when I see ninorities (i.e. race, sexual rientation, religion, age, ender, disability and more) apresented in branding nitiatives such as in marketing ampaigns and ads value brands that actively fight or diversity and inclusion in the vorkplace am more likely to purchase om brands that actively nclude diversity, representation in dinclusion in their branding nitiatives	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0
form a stronger emotional ond to a brand when I see ninorities (i.e. race, sexual rientation, religion, age, ender, disability and more) epresented in branding nitiatives such as in marketing ampaigns and ads value brands that actively fight or diversity and inclusion in the vorkplace am more likely to purchase omb brands that actively include diversity, representation nd inclusion in their branding nitiatives	Strongly disagree  Control of the strongly disagree  Strongly disagree  Control of the strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree  e following state Somewhat disagree	Neutral  Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
form a stronger emotional sond to a brand when I see ininorities (i.e. race, sexual vinentation, religion, age, lender, disability and more) epresented in branding nitiatives such as in marketing ampaigns and ads value brands that actively fight or diversity and inclusion in the vorkplace am more likely to purchase rom brands that actively nclude diversity, representation and inclusion in their branding nitiatives  ease assess whether or no value brands that are socially and politically engaged value brands that take care of heir employees do research on a brand before purchase their products am more likely to be purchase	Strongly disagree  Control of the strongly disagree  Strongly disagree  Control of the strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree  e following state Somewhat disagree	Neutral  O  Dements:  Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree

ause their values ar	e incongruent to	mine				
			ort or monetization	from others		
rather than actually caring about making a difference in the cause."						
native brand initiati tswashing etc.	ves in mainstrea	m media in the	last 2 years? Exam	pies of this		
t you agree with the	following quest	ion:				
-						
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree		
$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$		
t you agree with the	e following ques	tion:				
t you agree with the		tion:				
t you agree with the	e following ques Somewhat disagree	tion: Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral				
	Somewhat		Somewhat agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral				
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0 0	0 0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0 0	0 0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0 0	0 0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0 0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0 0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0 0		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	0	0 0		
Strongly disagree  Control of the strongly disagree with the strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree  continuous	Neutral  Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	0 0	0 0 0		
	oporting a cause or rout making a differ native brand initiati tswashing etc.	pporting a cause or issue to garner a cout making a difference in the caus native brand initiatives in mainstreatswashing etc.  It you agree with the following quest Somewhat disagree	t you agree with the following question:  Somewhat Strongly disagree difference in the cause."  Somewhat Strongly disagree Neutral	poorting a cause or issue to garner attention, support or monetization fout making a difference in the cause."  native brand initiatives in mainstream media in the last 2 years? Exam tswashing etc.  It you agree with the following question:  Somewhat Strongly disagree disagree Neutral Somewhat agree		

### **5.2 Normal Distribution Analysis**

Variable:	N	Mean	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	
Uniqueness						
Q13	111	3.84	0.76	-0.47	0.83	
Q14	111	3.34	1.03	-0.37	-0.23	
Q15	111	2.67	0.97	-0.38	-0.53	
Q16	111	3.4	0.95	-0.24	-0.52	
Representation						
Q3	111	3.52	1.05	-0.51	-0.01	
Q4	111	4.21	0.9	-0.9	0.37	
Q5	111	3.8	1	-0.67	0.33	
Brand Activism						
Q1	111	3.05	0,97	-0.35	-0,08	
Q6	111	3.43	1.03	-0.24	-0.40	
Q7	111	4.57	0.7	-1.68	2.37	
Q9	111	3.25	1.05	-0.24	-0.31	
Brand Authenticity						
Q12	111	3.78	0.77	-0.06	-0.53	
Q17	111	4.1	0.7	-0.7	0.47	

Table 3 – Overview of the normal distribution in the collected data, as well as the mean and standard deviation.

#### 5.3 Factor analysis

Factor analysis						
Variable	Question	Factor				
		1	2	3	4	
Representation	Q3	.661	.228	027	.064	
	Q4	.627	.124	094	.315	
	Q5	.833	.175	.259	.141	
Brand Activism	Q1	.225	.039	.024	.099	
	Q6	-	.612	.073	-	
	Q7	.268	003	037	.563	
	Q9	.258	.947	.138	.129	
	Q13	.222	.141	.438	-	
Uniqueness	Q14	050	.080	.756	.043	
	Q15	.119	.019	.555	179	
	Q16	044	.015	.392	.215	
Brand Authenticity	Q12	.150	.189	.002	.467	
	Q17	.146	.209	.291	.572	

Table 4 – Tabular representation of the variables' original factor charges. In bold, the charges are >.30.

## **5.5 Correlation Analysis**

Correlation					
Variables	Representation	Brand Authenticity	Uniqueness	Brand Activism	
Representation	1	.347	.184	.514	
Brand Authenticity	.347	1	.209	.435	
Uniqueness	.184	.209	1	.221	
Brand Activism	.514	.435	.221	1	

Table 6 – Correlation Analysis.