The Value Creating Board

Results from the "Follow-Up Surveys" 2005/2006 in Norwegian firms

Thomas Sellevoll, Morten Huse and Cathrine Hansen

The Value Creating Board Program Center for Boards and Governance

Research Report 2/2007

Norwegian School of Management BI
Department of Innovation and Economic Organization

Thomas Sellevoll, Morten Huse and Cathrine Hansen: The Value Creating Board. Results from the "Follow-Up Surveys" 2005/2006 in Norwegian firms

 \circledcirc Thomas Sellevoll, Morten Huse and Cathrine Hansen 2007

Research Report 2/2007 ISSN: 0803-2610

BI Norwegian School of Management N-0442 Oslo Phone: +47 06600 www.bi.no

Printing: Nordberg

BI Norwegian School of Management's research reports may be ordered from our website www.bi.no (Research - Research Publications)

Table of content

F	OREWORD	9
1	BOARDS OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	13
	THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	 13
	PRESENTATIONS AND TABLES	15
2	SURVEYS RELATING TO THE VALUE CREATING BOARD PROGRAM	16
3	THE "FOLLOW-UP SURVEYS": METHOD	17
	PRIMARY DATA	17
	THE QUESTIONNAIRE	17
	THE SAMPLE	
	THE DATA COLLECTION PROCESS	
	RESPONSES	
	COMBINING RESPONSESSTATISTICS	
4	THE BOARD CONTEXT	24
	TENURE	 24
	FIRM SIZE	
	INDUSTRY AND ENVIRONMENT	27
	FIRM LIFECYCLE	_
	STRATEGIC ORIENTATION	31
	OWNERSHIP	33
5	BOARD MEMBERS	37
	BOARD SELECTION PROCESS AND TURNOVER	37
	BOARD COMPOSITION	
	GENDER AND STATUS	43
	AGE	43
	Background	
	KNOWLEDGE AND COMPETENCE	
	DIVERSITY	
	MOTIVATION	
	PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT	54

	EXPERIENCEGENDERDUALITIES	58
6	INTERACTIONS	61
	RELATIONAL NORMS	61
	BOARD RELATIONS AND INDEPENDENCE	
	POWER AND STRATEGIZING	
	BOARDROOM INTERACTIONS	82
7	STRUCTURES AND LEADERSHIP	84
	BOARD LEADERSHIP	84
	BOARD MEETINGS	86
	BOARD STRUCTURES AND NORMS	
	EVALUATION	99
8	DECISION MAKING CULTURE 1	00
	DECISION MAKING PROCESS	
	PREPARATION AND INVOLVEMENT	-
	COHESIVENESS	
	GENEROSITY AND OPENNESS	
	BOARD DYNAMICS	
	COGNITIVE CONFLICTS	
	PROSPECTED DECISION MAKING	
9	BOARD TASK PERFORMANCE 1	16
<u> </u>	STRATEGIC INVOLVEMENT	
	INVOLVEMENT IN CONTROL TASKS	
	INVOLVEMENT IN SERVICE TASKS	
10	VALUE CREATION 1	29
		•
	COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE	
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	
	PRODUCT INNOVATION	
	PROCESS INNOVATION	
	ORGANIZATIONAL INNOVATION	35

Market innovation	139
REFERENCES	143
PUBLICATIONS FROM "THE VALUE CREATING BOARD" RESEARCH PROGRAM	144
RESEARCH PROGRAM	<u>1</u> 44
	144 144
RESEARCH PROGRAM BOOKS BOOK CHAPTERS SCIENTIFIC ARTICLES IN INTERNATIONAL PEER REVIEWED JOURNALS	144 144 144 145
RESEARCH PROGRAM BOOKS BOOK CHAPTERS	144 144 144 145

Tables

Table 1	The research program's different surveys	16
Table 2	The 2005/2006 surveys' sub-samples	20
Table 3	Response rates	22
Table 4	Paired responses	23
Table 5	Tenure of the CEO and the board chairperson	24
Table 6	Firm size by number of employees (man working years)	25
Table 7	Firm size by revenues	26
Table 8	Firm's Industry	27
Table 9	High Tech Industry	27
Table 10	The firm's geographical location	27
Table 11	Firm founding year	28
Table 12	Turbulence and crisis	29
Table 13	The firm's strategic orientation	31
Table 14	The relative shares of various groups of shareholders	33
Table 15	Shares held by the responding board member	34
Table 16	Corporate ownership	35
Table 17	Family ownership	36
Table 18	The firm's last board member selection	37
Table 19	Number of board members selected at last board member selection	37
Table 20	Board selection process	38
Table 21	Networks / Sources of board members election	39
Table 22	Number of board members - categories (distribution of	
	women and employee- and shareholder elected directors)	41
Table 23	Firm founders.	42
Table 24	Board members' gender and status.	43
Table 25	Age of the CEO and the board chairperson	43
Table 26	Age of the board members	43
Table 27	Shareholder elected board members' background	44
Table 28	Women board member's background and values	45
Table 29	Board member knowledge	46
Table 30	Board member diversity	49
Table 31	Board member motivation	52
Table 32	Board meeting participation	54
Table 33	Number of memberships in boards held by the CEO	55
Table 34	Number of memberships in boards held by the board chairperson	56
Table 35.	Number of memberships in boards held by the board member	56
Table 36	Board chairperson experience	57
Table 37	Firm founder	57
Table 38	Gender of the CEO and the board chairperson	58

Table 39	Position of the person responding on the different	
	questionnaires	59
Tabell 40	CEO duality	59
Table 41	Board norms and culture	61
Table 42	CEO relations and independence	64
Table 43	Board member relations and independence	66
Table 44	Responding board member relations	68
Table 45	Decision influences	69
Table 46	Board member influences	70
Table 47	The influence of employee elected board members	71
Table 48	The influence of women elected board members	72
Table 49	Agenda setting	72
Table 50	Power sources of board members	74
Table 51	Influence on top management selection	<i>76</i>
Table 52	Influence on CEO compensation	<i>79</i>
Table 53	Boardroom interaction	82
Table 54	Leadership of board chairperson	84
Table 55	Board meeting duration and frequency	86
Table 56	Change of board meeting frequency	<i>87</i>
Table 57	Board meetings as formal and social value creating arenas	88
Table 58	Board structure and decision-making	90
Table 59	Board structure and agendasetting	96
Table 60	Division of labor	97
Table 61	Board evaluation	99
Table 62	Decision making process	100
Table 63	Preparation and involvement	102
Table 64	Cohesiveness	103
Table 65	Generosity and openness	105
Table 66	Board meeting dynamics	107
Table 67	Critical questioning	110
Table 68	Cognitive conflicts	111
Table 69	Prospected decision making	113
Table 70	Strategic involvement	116
Table 71	Strategic decisions	118
Table 72	Control tasks	120
Table 73	Board advisory tasks	124
Table 74	Networking and lobbying	127
Table 75	Competitive advantage	129
Table 76	Firm and industry growth	130
Table 77	Technological opportunities	130
Table 78	Research and development (R&D)	131
Table 79	Product innovation	132
Table 80	Process innovation	134
Table 81	Organizational innovation	135

Table 82	Market innovation	137
Table 83	Mergers, acquisitions and divestments	139
Table 84	Diversification and dispersed operations	141
Table 85	Export and international operations	142

Foreword

This is one of several research reports written within the research program "The Value Creating Board". The program is conducted at BI Norwegian School of Management. The research program was designed to explore how boards of directors may contribute to firm value creation. In the program we wanted to build a research platform by establishing a database with information about Norwegian boards of directors. We wanted particularly to stimulate research about actual board behaviour and towards boards in SMEs and family firms. International research about boards of director has generally been characterized by studies of large US corporations, most studies have used archival data, and agency theory as the dominating theory. In this project we have wanted to focus on boards in Norwegian and European firms, firms of different sizes and ownership structures, and a broader use of observations and theories. We wanted to establish a broad research environment that should create the fundament for a long term research activity.

The program has contributed to understanding and exploring boards of directors and corporate governance from a strategic and entrepreneurial management perspective where firm value creation is focused. The project started with a pilot study in 2001, and most of the publications from the project will take place in 2007/2008. The funded project period has been 2003-2006. Several empirical and conceptual studies have taken place, including large scale questionnaire surveys about Norwegian boards. These surveys have been replicated in various other countries, and "The Value Creating Board" has been a hub program for researchers from many countries. The keynote speaker at the Strategic Management Society's Meeting in October 2006 concluded in his address that future corporate governance research should follow the stream that has been created in "The Value Creating Board" program in Norway.

The overall results in the program have contributed to the exploration of actual board behaviour. Here are some of the areas in which we have been contributing:

- The exploration of how boards can be understood as teams. Team production theory and team process literature are employed. We have found that various aspects of board leadership have considerably higher importance for firm value creation than what is found when using traditional predictors about board composition and board member demographics.
- The exploration of how the processes inside the boardroom may impact the contribution of the various actors, including women directors and employee elected directors. Gender in itself is not the most important for board task performance. Women may have a particular impact if they have a different background and different set of values than their male counterpart.
- The exploration of how dynamics inside the boardroom, including the existence of a process-oriented boardroom culture moderates the relationships between the knowledge and skills of the board members, and actual board task performance.
- The exploration of how various tasks have different kinds of contributions in relation to firm value creation. We have started exploring how different aspects of board task performance mediate the effects of board member competence and working style, and firm value creation.
- The exploration of how boards' contribution for firm value creation can be evaluated through a value chain analysis that goes far beyond exploring effects related to value distribution. A detailed framework and instrument for analyzing and evaluating boards have been developed.
- The exploration of boards in firms of different sizes. Most firms are different from those described in traditional research about boards of directors and corporate governance. Most firms are small or medium-sized, and most firms have attributes that can be compared to the notion of a family business. We have explored boards and governance in small firms and in family firms, and we find that such firms to a large extent can be described by a paternalistic logic, and we are making steps towards developing a paternalistic theory of governance in family firms.

Contributions from the program have been and will be published in various research articles and books. Some of the publications are listed in the end of this report and on our websites www.bi.no/boards.

In this report we present the data from the "Follow-up Surveys" conducted in 2005-2006 and we present statistics about the various surveys conducted in "The Value Creating Board" program. There are four main objectives for this report. First, to give feedback to all that have sponsored the program and to those who have responded to the surveys. For them this report may be an input to describing and understanding boards in Norwegian firms. The questionnaires may function as evaluation tools, and the tables may contribute as benchmarking figures for each firm. Second, to document the data collection we have conducted during "The Value Creating Board" program. Here we present the various samples, respondents and response rates. Third, to stimulate public policy makers and researchers to inquire further into the data we have collected. Here we present some of the potential that may be in our data for further investigations, and we will be very interested in a dialogue with those wanting to go further into the data with us. Fourth, to present a translated version of our data collection instrument in English so that other researcher may get help in validating their own instruments. The comparison of results between the different respondents may be of particular importance.

Boards may have different ways of working in different types of firms. In this report we have tables showing summaries for different types of firms. Furthermore, boards may be evaluated in different ways by different actors. We have thus also separate tables for responses from CEOs, board chairpersons, and other board members. Some comments: First, we have not made distinctions between responses from women and men. In general these differences are very small. Second, we have neither made distinctions between shareholder elected and employee elected board members. Here we will find some differences. The responses from the employee elected board members tend in most questions to be close to the responses from the CEOs. The shareholder elected responses tend to be very close to the responses from the board chairpersons.

The Norwegian original versions of the questionnaires are found on www.bi.no/boards.

The tables in this report have been edited and put together by Thomas Sellevoll. He has also compiled all the statistics about the various surveys in the first chapters.

The research program is funded by the PULS program of the Norwegian Research Council together with various actors in Norwegian industry, including Center for Cooperative Research at the Norwegian School of Management, DnBNor Kapitalforvaltning, Vital Forsikring, Innovation Norway, NHO-Confederation of Norwegian Enterprises, Odin, Oslo Stock Exchange, and PriceWaterhousCoopers.

Morten Huse Director of the "Value Creating Board" program February 2007

Key words: Actual board behaviour, board evaluation

1 Boards of directors and corporate governance

This report is one of several research contributions which are the outcomes of "The Value Creating Board" research program conducted at The Norwegian School of Management BI from 2001 to 2007. The report is a descriptive presentation of data from three surveys, directed at CEOs, board chairpersons and board members in Norwegian firms, collected through questionnaires during the fall 2005 and winter/spring 2006. It considers boards across both small, medium sized and large firms.

Surveys were also conducted in 2001 and in 2003/2004. A pilot survey took place in 2001 and two full scale surveys took place in 2003/2004; the "innovation survey" and the "small business survey". Each of the full scale studies contained two sub-surveys. The first was directed at the CEOs. The second survey was sent to board chairpersons in firms where the CEO already had responded. The data is reported in different research reports (Table 1)

Theoretical background

The research program and the study, which is the focus of this publication, builds on the framework for exploring processes and behavioral perspectives of corporate governance developed in the article "Accountability and Creating Accountability: a Framework for Exploring Behavioral Perspectives of Corporate Governance" by Morten Huse, published in the British Journal of Management in 2005 (Figure 1). The model displays the theoretical position of the research program and the observations and findings we present in this report. More details about the various concepts are presented in "Boards, Governance and Value Creation" (Huse 2007).

Governance (Huse 2005). 4. Context: Corporate resources, size and life cycle, CEO, ownership, national and industry External actors: Internal actors: context, etc Stakes and power Stakes and power **6.** Interactions and reactions Board task 10. Internal to pressure: Trust, emotions expectations/ and external and politics inside and theories outside the boardroom value creation **5.** Board members: 7. Formal and **9.** Accountability: Composition, informal structures and Actual board task competence, norms, incl. leadership, performance characteristics and codes, committees, etc. compensation. **8.** Decision-making culture: Cohesiveness, commitment, creativity, criticality, etc.

Figure 1. A framework for exploring behavioral perspectives of Corporate

Presentations and tables

The content of the boxes 4-10 is presented in separate chapters. Before presenting the data, we describe the method used in the study. Data collection method, response rates and description of the population and sample are displayed.

In this report we only present observations from the 2005/2006 surveys. These surveys are labeled the "Follow-up Surveys". Comparisons of different observations are mainly made across the survey sub-samples which distinguish between listed firms on Oslo Stock Exchange (B) and other publicly traded firms (ASA). Further it discriminates between private limited firms of different sizes (AS-L, AS-M, AS-S), firms with alternative organization forms (C) and smaller firms that responded in the previous surveys (SMB from the "innovation survey" and S-U from the "small firm survey"). Observations from the "innovation survey" and the "small business survey" are presented in other reports.

In the "Follow-up Surveys" we collected data from CEOs, chairpersons and other board members. Most of the questions are identical, and we have in this report sorted the observations from the three different groups of respondents together based on the content of the questions. That makes it easier for the reader to be able to compare and contrast responding differences.

2 Surveys relating to the Value Creating Board program

Table 1 displays an overview of the different studies and surveys conducted by the research program. The program started in 2001 and is planned to end in 2007. The main financing of the program was from 1.1.2003 till 31.12.2006. Different program publications report from the different studies. The table displays some of the most comprehensive summarizing reports from the different surveys. See attachment for an extended list, including also published articles.

Table 1 The research program's different surveys

<u> 1ab</u>		Survey	i s aijjereni s	Number of	Number of	Presented (Most
Study	Respondent(s)	time	Reminders	responses	questions	comprehensive)
Pilot study	CEO	Spring 2001	1 postal	140	250	Huse (2003)
Innovation survey	CEO	Fall 2003	2 postal + phone follow up	488	244	Gjøen (2004), Lervik et. al. (2005), Haalien & Huse (2005). Huse (2008)
Innovation survey	Board chairperson	Fall/winter 2003/2004	1 postal	186	230	Lervik et. al. (2005)
Small firm survey	CEO	Winter 2004	2 postal	498	216	Lervik et. al. (2005), Haalien & Huse (2005), Huse (2008)
Small firm survey	Board chairperson	Winter 2004		87	223	Lervik et. al. (2005)
Follow-up survey	CEO	Fall 2005	2 postal + phone follow up	973	265	Huse (2007), Sellevoll et al (2007)
Follow-up survey	Board chairperson	Fall 2005	2 postal	562	235	Huse (2007), Sellevoll et al (2007)
Follow-up survey	Other board members	Winter 2006	1 postal	844	215	Huse (2007), Sellevoll et al (2007)

The table displays the different surveys conducted, the respondents in each survey, when the surveys were conducted, the use of reminders, number of responses in each survey, the number of questions in each survey and the publications presenting the most comprehensive reports from each survey.

The pilot study was conducted in 2001, but it was developed through similar surveys conducted in Norway in 1990, 1992 and 1994, and in Sweden in 1998, 1999 and 2000.

Various parallel and replication studies have since 2004 been conducted in other countries, for example in the Netherlands, Belgium, Italy, Germany and Turkey. Surveys in other countries are also in progress.

3 The "Follow-Up Surveys": Method

This chapter presents the samples, and the method of data collection of the "Follow-up Surveys".

Primary data

As a follow-up study of the "innovation survey" and the "small firm survey", the research program has conducted three large follow-up surveys in 2005/2006. This report presents data collected during the fall 2005 and winter/spring 2006 through the three different survey questionnaires; (I) CEO, (II) Board chairperson and (III) Board members of Norwegian firms.

The questionnaire

The number of questions in each of the surveys was presented in Table 1. We used 6-8 pages questionnaires in each of the surveys. In the "Follow-up Survey" we asked 265 questions to the CEOs, 235 to the chairpersons and 215 to the other board members. Most of the questions were identical, but some questions were asked to for example the other board members, but not to the CEOs and visa versa. Generally the questions followed the displayed row of order:

- a. Firm demography and industry
- b. Age, gender, tenure, experience and background of the CEO and chairperson (and respondent)
- c. Ownership
- d. The board members and board composition
- e. Board working structures and board leadership
- f. Board decision-making culture
- g. Board task involvement
- h. Innovation and value creation

Most of the questions being asked in the survey questionnaires had Likert-type scales. In such questions the respondents are asked to rate on a scale as to how much they disagree or agree with presented assertions. The scales used in the "Follow-up Surveys" were in most cases from 1 to 7, where 1 was strongly disagree and 7 was strongly agree. In some cases we used 5 point scales. That was also done in most of the questions in the 2003/2004 surveys (see e.g. Haalien and Huse 2005).

The sample

In September 2005, firms were selected for the survey sample. In the "Follow-up Survey" we wanted to follow up the observations made in 2003/2004. Firms were selected so that we could make comparison both at an individual firm level, and also at a sample level. Our selection criteria were:

- All firms that responded in the "innovation" and "small firm" surveys in 2003/2004
- The 1000 largest Norwegian firms
- All firms listed at Oslo Stock Exchange
- All publicly traded firms (ASA)
- A sample of medium sized firms (50-100 employees)
- A sample of small firms (5-50 employees)

These criteria are partly overlapping, and in this report we present the follow categories of firms:

- Firms at Oslo Stock Exchange (B)
- Other publicly tradable firms (ASA)
- Private joint stock companies with more than 100 employees (AS-I.)
- Private joint stock companies with 50-100 employees (AS-M)
- Private joint stock companies with less than 50 employees (AS-S)
- Firms having other corporate forms as foundations, etc (C)

In addition we included small firms that responded in the innovation (SMB) and small firm (S-U) surveys in 2003/2004 that were not included in any of the other groups. A total of 8 sub-samples were thus included. Details about the various sub-samples are presented in Table 2.

All responding firms from the surveys in 2003 and 2004 were included in the 2005 sample; (1) the "innovation survey" sample consisted of three groups. These were; (i) the largest Norwegian firms, including firms listed at the Oslo Stock Exchange (ii) a group of firms with 30-50 employees, and (iii) a group of firms with 10-30 employees. There were 488 responding firms in the "innovation" survey. (2) The "small business survey" consisted of random samples of firms that in our original database were registered with between 5 and 30 employees. We had responses about the board in 498 firms.

The firms in the "Follow-up Surveys" were identified by using the Dun & Bradstreet database based on 2004 data (the database is based on annual reports). We originally also included subsidiaries as subsidiaries may operate independently from the mother firm and have an independent board. A random sample of small and medium-sized firms was used.

- Firms from the original Dun & Bradstreet database were excluded in two main phases; through a control survey and through the deletion of "paper" firms with proforma boards.
- Firms with CEOs and chairpersons that could not read the questionnaire in Norwegian were taken out in the screenings (counted in "proforma" screening).
- Several firms from the "innovation" and "small business" surveys overlapped with the firms in the initial sampling frame for the "Follow-up Surveys". These were located and kept in the subsamples defined by the sampling frame. Excess firms from the "innovation" and "small business" surveys were grouped into two separate sub-samples; (SMB) for firms from the "Innovation survey", and (S-U) for firms from the "small business survey".
- Table 2 displays the eight different sub-samples that were included in the survey, and provide documentation on the funnel process to reach the final firm survey sample.

Table 2 The 2005/2006 surveys' sub-samples

Sub- sample notation	Sub-sample notation in 2003/2004	Description	Screening list	Control screening (existence)	"Proforma" screening	Final sample
ASA	Børs, L-G, L-B, L-R	Publicly limited firms that were not on Oslo stock exchange	329	12	27	290
В	Børs	Firms on Oslo Stock Exchange. All are ASAs. (Exception: firms that did not have a Norwegian address).	182	2	5	175
AS-L	Børs, L-G, L-B, L-R	Ltd. firms with more than a 100 employees	793	23	66	704
AS-M	Børs, L-G, L-B, L-R	Ltd. firms with between 50 and 100 employees and total turnover of more than 5 million NOK.	533	7	40	486
AS-S	Børs, L-G, L-B, L-R	Smaller Ltd. firms including less than 50 employees and total turnover of more than 50 million NOK	785	23	55	707
С	_	Firms not classified as AS or ASA, like state enterprises, foundations or cooperatives (e.g. savings banks, hospitals, etc)	48	0	3	45
SMB	S/M	Firms that answered in the "Innovation survey" with between 10 and 50 employees in 2003/2004 that were not included in the selected categories.	206	23	21	162
S-U	U	Firms that answered in the "small business survey" with between 5 and 30 employees in 2003/2004 that were not included in the selected categories.	449	34	30	385
	Total n	number of firms from the eight samples	3325	124	247	2954

The data collection process

Questionnaires were sent out during the fall 2005. On October 12th, 2005 the questionnaires were sent to all CEOs and board chairpersons. The CEOs were addressed by name and title, while the board chairpersons were addressed only as board chairperson in so-and-so firm. Reminders followed in week 44 (letter with questionnaires), week 47 (letter with questionnaires) and week 49 (letter with a non-response form).

Questionnaires to other board members were sent through CEOs. During weeks 1 and 2 in 2006 letters were sent to the CEOs where either the CEOs or board chairpersons had responded on the questionnaires. The CEOs were asked to distribute an attached questionnaire to the other board members (other than the chairperson and the CEO). We suggested that the CEOs should use the communication routines to board members that ordinarily were used in the respective firm. In week 12 a postal reminder and an encouragement to answer was sent. This reminder was not sent to all firms, but primarily sent through CEOs in firms where the board chairperson already had responded. During April, attempts were made to contact a selected group of firms by telephone to encourage response and to collect reasons for non-response.

Responses

During the winter 2005/2006 and the first half of 2006 the program received a total of 973 questionnaires from CEOs, 562 questionnaires from board chairpersons and 844 questionnaires from board members. Board members from 396 different boards answered.

Table 3 displays the total number of responses. Response rates are displayed in percentages in the parentheses for CEOs and board chairpersons. For the CEOs, the responses in percent relates to the number of firms in the final sample, while firms with CEO duality (see Table 25) have been subtracted for board chairpersons. The final sample, excludes non-existing firms, duplicates and non-existing relevance.

Table 3 Response rates

Firm category	Number of responding CEOs	Number of responding BCs	Number of responding BMs	Number of different boards
ASA	76 (26%)	52 (18%)	74	40
В	55 (31%)	56 (32%)	112	40
AS-L	193 (27%)	111 (16%)	223	100
AS-M	156 (32%)	83 (18%)	143	61
AS-S	188 (27%)	104 (17%)	97	53
C	22 (49%)	19 (42%)	55	15
SMB	76 (47%)	38 (31%)	36	20
S-U	207 (54%)	99 (28%)	104	67
Total	973 (33%)	562 (21%)	844	396

The overall response rate was 33% for CEOs. One third of the CEOs in the largest Norwegian firms were willing to use time to respond to more than 250 questions.

The response rate for chairpersons was 21%. The evaluation of the response rate must also take into account that the questionnaire to the board chairpersons had to pass through the CEOs. The response rate for chairpersons can also have been influenced by that they can have been targeted as chairpersons in more than one firm. The response rates are high compared to what is common in similar research internationally.

Combining responses

In Table 4 we find the number of cases (firms) with responses when combining various surveys. It is indicated in the table how many firms there are with responses from:

- A. Both CEO and chairperson in 2005
- B. CEO in both 2003 and 2005
- C. Both board chairperson and CEO in 2003 + CEO in 2005
- D. CEO in 2003 + both CEO and board chairperson in 2005
- E. CEO in 2003 + CEO, board chairperson and at least one other board member in 2005/2006
- F. CEO, board chairperson and at least one other board member in 2005/2006

The table also displays, from the other board member survey, the number of responses from:

- G. Shareholder elected board members (in addition to the chairperson or the CEO)
- H. Employee elected board members.

Table 4 Paired responses

	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н
Notation	N. ∩ CEO 05, BC 05	N. ∩ CEO 03, CEO 05	N. ∩ BC 03, CEO 03, CEO 05	N. ∩ CEO 03, CEO 05 BC 05	N. ∩ CEO 03, CEO 05, BC 05, Boards 05 with 1 ≤ BM answer	N. ∩ CEO 05, BC 05, Boards 05 with 1 ≤ BM answer	N. Sharehol der elected board member 05	N. Employee elected board member 05
В	26	19	9	9	6	15	78	34
ASA	28	8	4	5	1	15	61	11
AS-L	52	20	6	9	8	33	112	111
AS-M	42	13	8	0	2	18	78	64
AS-S	50	43	20	9	2	18	63	34
C	10	7	5	2	2	9	36	19
SMB	22	75	37	21	7	8	27	9
S-U	63	207	54	63	28	28	83	21
Total	293	392	143	118	56	114	538	303

 \cap : Corresponding respondents (from the same firm).

Statistics

The purpose of this report is to present and describe the data. Generally we present means and standard deviations. Where the variables are on the nominal level, frequencies are displayed. The report displays results on all the parameters collected through questionnaires to the CEOs, board chairpersons and other board members. An almost direct translation of the questions asked in the questionnaires is presented as text in the tables. It is indicated in a separate column in the tables in the main chapters which question(s) that the figures are based on.

4 The board context

Tenure

 Table 5
 Tenure of the CEO and the board chairperson

CEO	Firm type									
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
A3b How many years have the present board chairperson been in this	Mean	3.85	4.43	4.75	5.46	5.62	3.60	8.34	10.10	6.05
position?	Std	4.43	4.38	5.21	5.48	5.40	3.49	7.80	9.87	6.48
A4a How many years have the present CEO been in this position?	Mean Std	4.94 4.61	5.93 5.04	6.67 6.29	7.27 6.51	6.80 6.17	5.12 4.79	9.82 8.20	9.09 7.31	7.42 6.78
A4b How many years have the present CEO been working with the firm?	Mean Std	6.72 6.34	9.55 8.28	11.93 9.77	12.27 9.68	11.65 8.98	9.34 7.71	13.84 9.84	13.47 10.04	11.87 9.46

Board chairperson	Firm type									
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N	52	55	103	85	111	21	103	39	569
A3b How many years have you (board chairperson) been in your chairperson position?	Mean Std	4.95 5.78	4.25 3.59	4.11 4.39	5.41 5.03	7.15 6.32	3.88 3.55	8.98 8.20	9.16 7.75	6.18 6.27
A4a How many years have the present CEO been in the CEO position?	Mean Std	5.56 5.22	6.36 5.34	6.75 6.94	7.58 7.32	8.52 7.24	5.98 5.77	9.09 7.95	9.22 7.01	7.62 7.00
A4b How many years have the present CEO been working with the firm?	Mean Std	7.01 5.85	9.27 7.84	12.48 9.43	13.37 10.07	13.52 9.49	13.05 8.58	12.36 8.83	12.30 8.45	11.99 9.08

Firm size

Table 6 Firm size by number of employees (man working years)

CEO	512,0	- <u>J</u>								
A8b Number of man-					Firm	type				
working years at December 31 st ASA B AS-L AS-M AS-S C S-U SMB									Total	
2004?	N	70	51	207	157	185	21	203	76	970
	< 30	40	5	4	1	31	1	189	43	314
	30-49	10	3	2	20	81	0	9	21	146
(Frequency)	50-199	10	13	99	131	50	7	4	13	327
	> 200	11	33	100	6	23	14	1	0	188
	Mean	278	1 423	447	90	133	5 075	15	31	937
	Std	1 549	3 004	1 466	101	349	8 909	24	25	1 929

Board chairperson										
B1a Number of man-					Firm	type				
working years at December 31 st		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
2004?	N	48	50	95	77	97	19	93	32	511
	< 30	21	4	0	4	13	0	84	17	143
	30-49	4	3	4	9	35	1	7	11	74
(Frequency)	50-199	11	9	44	60	34	3	1	4	166
-	> 200	12	34	47	4	15	15	1	0	128
	Mean	510	1905	510	85	197	3587	16	27	550
	Std	1719	4691	944	73	491	7830	31	20	2479

Table 7 Firm size by revenues

Tubic / T	tim size o	, , , , ,								
CEO					Firm	type				
020	Mill NOK	ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Revenues 2004	N	70	51	207	157	185	21	203	76	970
	< 5	15	3	0	1	8	1	28	4	60
	5-9	4	0	0	0	4	0	45	3	56
A8c	10-49	20	1	6	1	8	1	105	21	163
<i>(</i> =)	50-99	9	2	17	40	50	1	19	27	165
(Frequency)	100-199	8	5	34	65	58	4	3	15	192
(N. firms pr.	200-499	2	11	68	38	33	2	3	4	161
mill NOK	500-999	8	7	38	6	9	0	0	2	70
interval)	1000-4999	4	15	36	6	15	10	0	0	86
	5000-9999	0	4	5	0	0	1	0	0	10
	≥ 10000	0	3	3	0	0	1	0	0	7
Mill NOK	Mean	191	3 243	1 098	242	280	2 235	26	86	925
	Std	377	9 060	2 857	348	478	3 085	43	108	2 044

Board	Firm type											
chairperson	Mill NOK	ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
Revenues		40	40	00		0.5	40	0.0	0.4	400		
2004	N	43	48	96	74	95	18	93	31	498		
	< 5	1	3	1	1	4	0	22	3	35		
	5-9	3	0	0	0	2	0	17	1	23		
B1b	10-49	13	1	2	1	1	1	44	9	72		
<i>(</i> =)	50-99	8	3	11	26	29	1	10	8	96		
(Frequency)	100-199	6	5	15	25	25	0	1	8	85		
(N. firms pr.	200-499	6	8	29	14	18	2	1	0	78		
mill NOK	500-999	5	7	11	4	6	2	0	1	36		
interval)	1000-4999	2	14	19	2	8	6	0	1	52		
	5000-9999	0	3	4	0	1	2	0	0	10		
	≥ 10000	1	4	4	1	1	4	0	0	15		
Mill NOK	Mean	509	8 969	1 428	366	635	11 888	23	144	1 802		
- IVIIII IVOIC	Std	1 600	43 311	2 921	1 421	2 914	31 450	33	361	15 004		

Industry and environment

Table 8 Firm's Industry

CEO G1 Which is the firm's main industry?	Frequency	Percent
a. Finance and real estate	90	10%
b. Service	301	32%
c. Manufacturing and production	329	35%
d. Other	215	23%
Total	935	100%

Table 9 High Tech Industry

CEO G2 Do you consider the firm as a high tech firm?	Frequency	Percent
Yes	283	33%
No	577	67%
Total	860	100%

Table 10 The firm's geographical location

CEO		-			F	irm typ	е			
1 = Disagree 7 = Agree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
G11 Our firm has a advantageous geographic	Mean	4.91	4.75	5.47	5.33	5.28	5.43	5.12	5.35	5.25
location	Std	1.62	1.62	1.52	1.62	1.28	1.72	1.69	1.52	1.56

Firm lifecycle

Table 11 Firm founding year

Tubic 11	1 irm jound	ing ye	ш								
					Firm	type					
CEO		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total	
-	N.	73	53	203	157	185	22	202	76	971	N.
	Before 1900	4%	21%	9%	9%	6%	18%	2%	9%	8%	75
	1900-1950	8%	23%	29%	23%	23%	36%	8%	21%	20%	194
	1951-1970	3%	6%	16%	18%	12%	9%	10%	11%	12%	120
	1971-1980	3%	9%	6%	10%	14%	0%	14%	9%	10%	95
	1981-1990	14%	13%	11%	16%	22%	14%	27%	26%	19%	182
A8a	1991-2000	55%	23%	23%	19%	19%	5%	31%	20%	25%	242
	2001	4%	0%	1%	4%	2%	0%	5%	4%	3%	30
	2002	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%	14%	2%	0%	2%	17
	2003	4%	0%	1%	0%	1%	5%	0%	0%	1%	9
	2004	1%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	5
	2005	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
	Total	8%	5%	21%	16%	19%	2%	21%	8%	100%	971

					Firm	type					
Board chair	person	ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total	
	N.	43	50	88	68	90	18	82	35	474	N.
	Before 1900	7%	34%	9%	7%	6%	6%	2%	20%	10%	48
	1900-1950	9%	12%	34%	24%	21%	44%	10%	17%	20%	97
	1951-1970	5%	10%	13%	12%	13%	6%	6%	3%	9%	45
	1971-1980	5%	4%	10%	10%	17%	11%	17%	3%	11%	52
	1981-1990	23%	10%	13%	13%	20%	6%	27%	34%	19%	88
A7	1991-2000	40%	24%	14%	29%	18%	6%	30%	17%	23%	109
	2001	2%	0%	6%	3%	3%	22%	6%	6%	5%	22
	2002	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	5
	2003	7%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	5
	2004	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
	2005	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
	Total	9%	11%	19%	14%	19%	4%	17%	7%	100%	474

Table 12 Turbulence and crisis

\sim	_	\sim
L	ᆮ	u

1 = Low 7 = High

G8 To what degree has the firm,		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
during the last three years	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
f experienced financial	Mean	3.25	2.75	2.21	1.96	2.37	3.00	2.27	2.27	2.34
crisis, liquidity problems etc.?	Std	2.45	2.03	1.88	1.71	1.89	2.33	1.75	1.91	1.92
gexperienced sudden external events resulting in the need for crisis action	Mean	2.83	3.19	2.93	2.22	2.49	3.45	2.19	2.65	2.58
taking?	Std	2.01	2.15	2.02	1.71	1.84	2.04	1.69	1.69	1.88
h experienced a crisis		0.00	0.00	0.07	0.04	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.05
caused by internal personal	Mean	2.38	2.02	2.27	2.01	2.38	2.10	2.36	2.26	2.25
related situations?	Std	1.85	1.45	1.73	1.49	1.82	1.89	1.85	1.69	1.73
iexperienced events resulting in external pressure	Mean	2.12	2.55	1.83	1.75	1.77	2.45	1.52	1.68	1.81
to replace management and					_		_	-		
board?	Std	1.79	2.12	1.56	1.55	1.58	2.39	1.20	1.38	1.58

1 = Low 7 = High

G7 To what degree has the firm,		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
during the last three years	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
f experienced financial crisis, liquidity problems etc.?	Mean Std	2.83 2.29	2.28 2.20	2.15 1.76	2.01 1.56	2.15 1.76	1.83 1.25	2.09 1.66	2.15 1.71	2.18 1.80
gexperienced sudden external events resulting in the need for crisis action taking?	Mean Std	3.40 2.29	2.66 2.07	3.01 2.12	2.67 1.96	2.55 1.92	2.28 1.78	2.27 1.66	2.31	2.67 1.95
h experienced a crisis caused by internal personal related situations?	Mean Std	2.45 1.86	2.21 1.95	2.45 1.89	2.36 1.82	2.04 1.51	2.94 2.15	1.99 1.65	2.09 1.52	2.24 1.76
iexperienced events resulting in external pressure to replace management and board?	Mean Std	2.57 2.19	2.47 2.11	2.07 1.83	1.55 1.26	1.65 1.43	2.72 2.14	1.54 1.16	1.37 0.55	1.88 1.64

Board member

Firm type

					'	ши турс	,			
F1 To what degree has the firm.		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
during the last three years	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
f experienced financial	Mean	2.28	2.00	2.28	1.73	2.24	2.25	2.37	1.85	2.14
crisis, liquidity problems etc.?	Std	2.00	1.61	1.93	1.50	1.81	1.77	1.89	1.86	1.80
gexperienced sudden										
external events resulting in the need for crisis action	Mean	2.24	2.59	2.93	2.26	2.34	4.02	2.11	1.88	2.57
taking?	Std	1.69	1.86	2.09	1.78	1.78	2.35	1.87	1.58	1.97
h experienced a crisis caused by internal personal	Mean	1.96	2.25	2.32	1.90	2.24	3.02	2.07	1.47	2.18
related situations?	Std	1.45	1.65	1.78	1.44	1.68	2.16	1.68	1.14	1.69
iexperienced events										
resulting in external pressure to replace management and	Mean	2.06	2.24	1.64	1.45	2.10	3.02	1.97	1.24	1.89
board?	Std	1.73	1.99	1.36	1.03	1.85	2.31	1.86	0.61	1.67

^{1 =} Low 7 = High

Strategic orientation

Table 13 The firm's strategic orientation

Tuble 15 The firm s s	maiegic	onen	uauor	ı						
CEO										
1 = Disagree										
7 = Agree					F	irm type	۵			
00						ши сур	-			
G9 The firm's main strategic		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
orientation and goal is to	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
a maximize growth in	Mean	4.39	4.18	4.21	4.49	3.98	4.05	4.43	3.89	4.24
revenues	Std	1.84	1.71	1.85	1.88	1.72	1.54	1.88	1.80	1.83
b maximize shareholder	Mean	4.80	4.36	4.52	4.28	4.27	3.28	4.04	4.21	4.30
return	Std	1.96	1.42	1.91	1.87	1.69	2.32	1.92	1.76	1.85
c be a low-risk, long-term investment for the	Mean	5.89	6.20	5.74	5.87	6.04	4.28	5.86	5.96	5.87
shareholders	Std	1.34	0.91	1.57	1.41	1.06	2.44	1.51	1.46	1.43
doffer a stable working	Mean	4.67	4.65	5.31	5.42	5.59	5.05	6.18	5.63	5.49
place for employees	Std	1.74	1.40	1.41	1.27	1.23	1.23	1.09	1.38	1.39
e be an important	Mean	4.19	4.24	4.71	4.58	4.61	6.40	5.01	5.07	4.72
contributor to society	Std	1.80	1.43	1.61	1.67	1.52	1.05	1.70	1.78	1.66

Board chairperson										
1 = Disagree 7 = Agree					F	irm type	Э			
G8		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The firm's main strategic orientation and goal is to	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a maximize growth in	Mean	4.21	4.19	4.47	4.23	4.06	4.00	4.23	4.40	4.24
revenues	Std	1.96	1.89	1.82	1.64	1.90	2.12	1.89	1.74	1.84
b maximize shareholder	Mean	4.74	4.77	4.44	4.48	4.25	3.76	3.94	4.11	4.34
return	Std	1.84	1.60	1.87	1.60	1.51	2.66	1.80	1.75	1.76
c be a low-risk, long-term investment for the	Mean	5.83	6.25	5.74	6.05	6.18	4.68	5.86	6.11	5.94
shareholders	Std	1.45	0.90	1.46	1.30	1.08	2.38	1.40	1.23	1.37
doffer a stable working	Mean	4.72	5.15	5.28	5.74	5.55	5.06	5.91	5.74	5.47
place for employees	Std	1.51	1.50	1.37	1.22	1.29	1.30	1.31	1.09	1.37
e be an important	Mean	4.28	4.70	5.18	5.24	4.45	6.26	5.03	4.89	4.91
contributor to society	Std	1.81	1.85	1.62	1.51	1.69	1.05	1.69	1.59	1.70

Board member

1 = Disagree 7 = Agree

						iiiii typ	•			
F2 The firm's main strategic		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
orientation and goal is to	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a maximize growth in	Mean	5.35	4.73	4.83	4.36	4.52	4.11	4.54	4.21	4.64
revenues	Std	1.69	1.57	1.83	1.76	1.79	1.99	1.86	1.90	1.81
b maximize shareholder	Mean	4.60	4.99	4.47	4.30	4.47	4.23	3.70	3.94	4.39
return	Std	1.92	1.40	1.94	1.83	1.82	2.45	1.92	1.84	1.90
c be a low-risk, long-term investment for the	Mean	5.70	6.11	5.93	5.93	5.93	5.21	5.62	5.37	5.83
shareholders	Std	1.50	1.03	1.32	1.31	1.14	2.17	1.78	1.94	1.46
doffer a stable working	Mean	4.81	5.28	5.39	5.43	5.40	5.47	5.87	5.23	5.39
place for employees	Std	1.70	1.36	1.50	1.51	1.51	1.38	1.39	1.55	1.50
e be an important	Mean	4.56	5.12	4.98	4.94	4.90	6.26	5.18	5.00	5.05
contributor to society	Std	1.78	1.55	1.73	1.80	1.68	0.90	1.83	1.85	1.73

Ownership

Table 14 The relative shares of various groups of shareholders

CEO B1					F	irm type)			
Approximate % ownership in the firm pr. 1. October		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
2005	N.	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
aof the CEO and his/her closest family	Mean	13.43	5.12	7.61	14.91	23.66	0.00	41.51	27.92	20.70
	Std	23.95	14.10	22.95	29.12	35.97	0.00	38.93	37.88	33.69
b of other members of the top management and	Mean	5.99	1.55	1.75	9.97	12.90	0.00	14.59	12.86	8.71
their closest family	Std	10.86	3.70	9.00	23.90	24.38	0.00	27.81	26.37	21.43
c of the board chairperson	Mean	6.45	8.02	9.85	14.75	18.38	0.00	20.83	17.27	14.28
onan por oon	Std	15.64	16.28	26.54	29.67	29.46	0.00	29.23	30.36	27.41
d of other board members	Mean	13.32	8.80	8.01	13.32	20.99	0.00	15.48	13.24	13.05
members	Std	20.25	16.89	23.33	26.94	30.67	0.00	27.08	22.91	25.41
e of Norwegian firms (industrial owners)	Mean	23.03	11.07	22.83	20.31	28.12	5.26	9.66	7.12	17.53
(industrial owners)	Std	35.68	16.02	40.47	36.33	41.01	22.94	24.94	23.12	34.17
f of Norwegian financial institutions and other	Mean	13.85	21 46	6.11	3.33	6.10	0.00	1.82	1.71	5.78
institutional owners		25.72	_	•			0.00	10.37	10.40	18.45
g by the government and governmental	Mean	2.16	4.62	11.18	11.36	12.29	31.58	3.91	8.56	8.77
institutions	Std	13.14	15.30	29.96	29.3	31.33	47.76	17.27	26.51	26.40
h of other Norwegian										
private owners without family relations to the firm's	Mean	17.11	13.04	3.27	4.78	10.20	15.79	4.62	5.57	6.86
management	Std	23.86	18.33	13.87	16.68	23.10	37.46	14.67	16.66	18.46
i Of foreign financial institutions and foreign	Mean	4.61	17.59	7.34	7.95	7.36	0.00	0.46	0.00	5.43
institutional owners	Std	15.78	21.4	25.46	25.85	25.41	0.00	4.15	0.00	20.09
j of other foreign owners	Mean	1.3	2.78	23.46	20.16	31.74	0.00	3.97	5.53	14.36
-	Std	2.87	7.75	40.94	39.31	44.31	0.00	19.21	21.72	33.62
k of others	Mean	3.2	5.12	4.42	4.78	6.61	42.11	4.76	10.49	6.17
	Std	10.92	10.86	18.42	17.84	21.66	50.73	19.5	28.98	21.33

Board chairperson		Firm type												
B2 Approximate % ownership in the firm pr. 1. October		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total				
2005	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538				
aof the board members and their closest family	Mean	42.55	19.94	31.35	42.86	52.01	0.00	64.63	84.55	47.21				
•	Std	36.40	24.99	43.55	43.78	43.19	0.00	42.77	31.69	43.65				
bof the CEO and his/her closest family	Mean				19.37		0.00		44.26					
	Std	21.94	9.06	30.31	29.91	32.38	0.00	37.79	39.92	32.94				
c of other members of the top management and	Mean	6.51	5.03	6.47	12.10	11.44	0.00	10.57	15.86	9.53				
their closest family	Std	12.58	9.78	19.27	24.30	18.43	0.00	24.00	20.65	20.07				
d of the board chairlerson and his closest	Mean	14.73	10.10	12.96	15.58	25.20	0.25	29.85	41.22	20.65				
family	Std	23.03	19.01	30.24	28.26	32.62	0.50	36.11	43.77	32.10				
e of other board members and their closest families	Mean	15.64	7.74	13.33	18.80	21.41	0.00	18.51	33.48	17.58				
IdillileS	Std	18.84	16.91	27.93	31.91	32.68	0.00	29.03	39.22	29.31				

Table 15 Shares held by the responding board member

					F	irm type	е			
Board member		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
A1e Which percentage of the firm share capital do you hold?	Mean	3%	1%	2%	4%	11%	0%	20%	10%	6%
noiu:	Std	6%	5%	7%	11%	24%	0%	27%	16%	16%

Table 16 Corporate ownership

CEO	Firm type											
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
B2a Is the firm a part of a	N.	70	55	204	155	184	21	197	74	960		
group?	Yes	61%	75%	88%	74%	78%	76%	27%	78%	68%		
CEO B2b If yes (on										=		
previous), is your firm the	N.	47	45	184	126	149	17	78	62	708		
mother firm?	Yes	51%	96%	23%	27%	50%	59%	19%	77%	41%		
CEO B2c Does the firm												
have a corporate	N.	65	54	199	149	181	20	166	74	908		
assembly?	Yes	2%	11%	10%	11%	7%	15%	7%	5%	8%		

Board chairperson		Firm type								
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
B3a Is the firm a part of a group?	N.	47	52	97	81	98	17	94	35	521
	Yes	72%	65%	86%	67%	71%	82%	28%	74%	65%
BC B3b If yes (on previous), is your firm the mother firm?	N.	37	38	89	57	75	15	36	25	372
	Yes	65%	90%	45%	44%	60%	67%	39%	92%	58%
BC B3c Does the firm	. 00	2370	2370	.570	. 170	2370	0.70	2370	0270	2370
have a corporate	N.	46	52	94	74	97	15	83	32	493
assembly?	Yes	11%	23%	9%	7%	3%	27%	8%	0%	9%

Table 17 Family ownership

CEO		Firm type								
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
B3a	N.	71	55	203	153	184	20	200	72	958
Is the firm a family firm?	Yes	9%	6%	29%	35%	38%	0%	40%	47%	32%
B3b Do one or more families together hold more than 50% of the shares? B3c										
	N.	68	53	198	147	179	18	190	72 500/	925
	Yes	25%	25%	30%	41%	46%	0%	49%	53%	39%
Do venture capitalists										
(persons or firms) hold more than 10% of the firm?	N.	66	52	194	146	173	17	176	71	895
	Yes	27%	18%	7%	16%	12%	0%	15%	4%	13%
Board chairperson		Firm type								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
B4a	N.	48	53	96	80	98	17	92	34	518
Is the firm a family firm?	Yes	10%	0%	31%	35%	48%	0%	47%	65%	34%
B4b										
Do one or more families	N.	47	50	92	78	98	15	88	35	503
together hold more than 50% of the shares?	Yes	23%	12%	36%	41%	53%	0%	51%	63%	40%
D40										
B4c Do venture capitalists										
(persons or firms) hold more than 10% of the firm?	N.	47	51	91	78	96	15	87	33	498
	Yes	38%	16%	11%	138%	12%	0%	21%	6%	16%
B4d										
Do the government and										
governmental institutions hold more than 10% of the	N.	47	50	92	78	94	16	86	33	496
firm?	Yes	4%	6%	16%	13%	7%	38%	11%	6%	11%
Board member		Firm type								
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.I	70	107	04.4	107	05		67	0.4	000
B3	N.	70	107	214	137	95	54	97	34	808
Is the firm a family firm?	Yes	10%	4%	26%	18%	32%	0%	35%	41%	21%

5 Board members

Board selection process and turnover

Table 18 The firm's last board member selection

CEO	Before 2000	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	N
C4b When was the board composition last time changed (frequency)?	83	20	36	48	99	234	402	1	923

Board chairperson	Before 2000	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	N
C4b When was the board composition last time changed (frequency)?	37	15	18	28	53	122	233	1	507

Table 19 Number of board members selected at last board member selection

CEO													
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12	N
C4c How many board members were selected at that point (frequency)?	48	359	233	137	64	30	21	9	10	1	1	1	914

Board chairperson	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	14	N
C4c How many board members were selected at that point (frequency)?	23	199	133	79	37	15	9	9	3	1	508

 Table 20
 Board selection process

CEO					F	irm type	e			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
C4a Does the firm have annual evaluation of the board composition	N. Yes	71 80%	55 89%	199 54%	154 53%	181 62%	21 52%	198 56%	74 69%	953 61%
C4d At the last board member selection, was at least one of the new a woman?	N. Yes	69 41%	53 70%	198 43%	150 33%	178 32%	21 76%	182 34%	74 38%	925 39%
C4e At the last board member selection, was at least one of the new a major owner or member of an owner family?	N. Yes	70 40%	53 32%	198 19%	151 28%	176 35%	18 0%	179 44%	73 34%	918 32%

Board chairperson					F	irm type	Э			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
C4a Does the firm have annual evaluation of the board composition	N. Yes	47 85%	53 87%	93 72%	80 66%	97 66%	19 95%	90 60%	33 73%	512 71%
C4d At the last board member selection, was at least one of the new a women?	N. Yes	48 52%	53 64%	94 50%	80 48%	95 32%	19 63%	91 38%	31 29%	511 45%
C4e At the last board member selection, was at least one of the new a major owner or member of an owner family?	N. Yes	48 42%	52 21%	95 24%	79 34%	92 45%	16 0%	87 48%	32 47%	501 36%

Board member					F	irm type	Э			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
B3 Does the firm have annual evaluation of the board	N.	60	77	177	115	80	41	86	32	668
composition	Yes	68%	74%	45%	43%	55%	66%	63%	53%	55%

Table 21 Networks / Sources of board members election

Table 21 Networks /	Source	es oj v	oara i	nemb	ers ete	cuon				
CEO					F	irm type	€			
C5 Last time there was selected	J					,,				
board members by sharehol	-									
was it		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
a from your (CEO) personal network (family,	N.	61	51	192	143	168	17	172	70	874
friends etc.)?	Yes	15%	4%	7%	13%	18%	0%	40%	21%	18%
b from your (CEO) professional network	N.	66	53	194	145	167	17	162	67	871
(working relations)?	Yes	52%	42%	35%	43%	40%	6%	38%	36%	39%
c through recruiting	N.	57	52	187	140	165	17	151	66	835
firms or consultants?	Yes	0%	15%	4%	4%	3%	0%	1%	8%	4%
d through board	N.	57	50	186	140	163	17	152	65	830
member databases?	Yes	0%	4%	1%	3%	1%	12%	1%	0%	2%
e through a nomination- or selection	N.	63	51	188	142	166	19	155	66	850
committee?	Yes	41%	59%	24%	22%	19%	79%	19%	23%	26%

Board chairperson					F	irm type	2			
C5 Last time there was selecte board members by shareho	-				'	iiiii type	5			
was it		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
a from the CEO's	N.	46	52	95	72	91	17	83	28	484
network ?	Yes	15%	12%	23%	36%	23%	6%	27%	29%	23%
b from your (board										
chairperson) personal network (family, friends	N.	45	52	93	74	85	17	86	29	481
etc.)?	Yes	16%	4%	13%	18%	27%	0%	33%	34%	20%
c from your (board chairperson) professional										
network (working relations, board members	N.	46	52	95	74	86	17	82	30	482
etc.)?	Yes	41%	48%	35%	42%	47%	24%	39%	23%	40%
d through recruiting	N.	45	50	91	71	85	17	81	29	469
firms or consultants?	Yes	2%	22%	10%	4%	5%	6%	1%	3%	7%
a through board	N.	45	50	90	70	85	17	81	29	467
e through board member databases?	Yes	4%	6%	2%	3%	4%	6%	1%	0%	3%
	N.	45	51	92	72	87	18	81	29	475
f through a nomination- or election committee?	Yes	36%	65%	25%	19%	15%	89%	21%	10%	28%

Board composition

Table 22 Number of board members - categories (distribution of women and employee- and shareholder elected directors)

CEO					F	irm typ	е			
C1		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
How many board members with full voting rights	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
ahad the firm per	Mean	5.25	6.76	6.11	5.04	4.50	8.90	3.55	4.53	5.02
October 10th 2005?	Std	1.83	1.69	1.86	1.51	1.48	2.22	1.51	1.89	2.01
	Mean	0.79	1.85	1.17	0.74	0.61	3.30	0.77	0.90	0.95
b are women?	Std	0.92	1.16	1.27	0.95	0.80	1.63	1.04	1.05	1.15
	Mean	0.50	1.49	1.91	1.37	0.63	2.65	0.30	0.43	1.05
care employee elected?	Std	0.86	1.24	1.00	1.03	0.90	1.18	0.81	0.65	1.15
dare employee elected	Mean	0.09	0.43	0.48	0.27	0.12	0.90	0.16	0.18	0.27
women?	Std	0.29	0.64	0.68	0.53	0.33	0.91	0.51	0.39	0.56

Board chairperson					F	irm typ	е			
C1 How many board members	,	ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
with full voting rights	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
		- - 0	7.00	0.00	- 11	4.04	0.50	0.57	0.00	5.00
ahad the firm per	Mean :	5.56	7.02	6.23	5.11	4.61	9.58	3.57	3.86	5.26
October 10th 2005?	Std	1.79	1.79	1.74	1.64	1.71	2.27	1.42	1.82	2.18
	Mean	1.08	2.04	1.38	0.90	0.71	3.21	0.88	0.67	1.15
b are women?	Std	1.07	1.33	1.22	0.93	0.92	1.47	1.21	0.74	1.24
		0.00	4 50	4.05	4.05	0.70	0.74	0.00	0.44	4.40
	Mean	0.68	1.53	1.95	1.25	0.72	2.74	0.38	0.44	1.12
care employee elected?	Std	0.93	1.17	0.95	0.90	1.00	1.28	0.73	0.98	1.15
dare employee elected	Mean (0.14	0.47	0.67	0.35	0.14	0.89	0.22	0.17	0.35
women?	Std	0.35	0.61	0.99	0.53	0.35	0.81	0.61	0.38	0.67

Board member					F	irm typ	e			
B1		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
How many board members with full voting rights	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a had the five year	Mean	6.19	7.27	6.60	5.90	5.04	10.13	4.10	5.14	6.22
ahad the firm per January 1st 2006?	Std	1.73	1.64	1.72	1.53	1.49	2.39	1.51	1.79	2.18
	Mean	1.56	2.48	1.51	1.15	0.77	3.69	1.26	1.03	1.59
b are women?	Std	1.10	1.18	1.22	1.27	0.93	1.37	4.00	0.97	1.92
	Mean	0.67	1.59	2.07	1.82	0.91	3.22	0.39	0.61	1.52
care employee elected?	Std	0.86	1.29	0.86	0.80	0.83	0.95	0.83	0.87	1.19
dare employee elected	Mean	0.25	0.71	0.60	0.43	0.18	0.91	0.19	0.08	0.45
women?	Std	0.47	0.72	0.70	0.67	0.44	0.81	0.63	0.37	0.68

Table 23 Firm founders.

Board chairperson	Firm type											
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
C4f Is the firm founder a	N.	48	53	97	77	95	16	92	31	509		
member of the board?	Yes	40%	8%	13%	35%	40%	0%	55%	58%	33%		

Gender and status

Table 24 Board members' gender and status.

Board member	Firm Type									
A1		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
a. Which gender are you (board member)?	N.	70	110	223	137	97	55	100	36	828
	Woman	31%	45%	29%	22%	20%	42%	27%	19%	29%
b. Are you an employee elected board member?	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
	Yes	15%	30%	50%	45%	35%	35%	20%	25%	36%

Age

Table 25 Age of the CEO and the board chairperson

CEO					1	Firm type	е			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
A6a Age of the board chairperson	Mean Std	53.74 9.23	56.49 8.21	53.30 8.17	54.30 9.13	53.04 8.85	51.95 9.34	51.44 9.41	55.09 9.34	53.35 8.99
A6b Age of the CEO	Mean Std	47.11 7.47	49.80 6.46	50.79 7.48	49.35 8.53	48.86 8.03	53.91 6.74	47.85 8.88	48.92 7.60	49.17 8.09

Table 26 Age of the board members

Board members	Firm type										
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total	
	N	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841	
A1g Age of the responding board member	Mean Std	49.79 11.12	50.29 9.99	48.50 9.97	49.11 10.22	51.49 9.19	50.51 7.27	45.67 8.73	47.69 11.59	49.05 9.90	
B2c How many of the board members are below 40 years old?	Mean Std	1.15 1.11	0.99 1.14	1.16 1.11	1.05 1.12	0.71 1.00	0.88 1.20	0.77 0.97	0.97 1.32	0.99 1.11	

Background

Table 27 Shareholder elected board members' background

CEO					F	irm typ	е			
C2 How many of the shareholder elected board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
members	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
awork in the firm?	Mean	0.57	0.23	1.11	1.27	1.15	0.28	1.70	0.93	1.14
awork in the limit.	Std	1.00	0.47	1.57	1.49	1.29	0.75	1.40	1.06	1.39
bare foreign citizens?	Mean	0.47	0.63	0.91	0.61	0.64	0.00	0.20	0.26	0.56
bare foreign onizone.	Std	0.98	1.04	1.41	1.20	1.15	0.00	0.63	0.92	1.13
c hold shares	Mean	2.43	2.51	0.80	1.32	1.38	0.61	1.82	1.64	1.48
themselves?	Std	1.77	1.76	1.46	1.55	1.38	1.85	1.52	1.38	1.61
dare active business	Mean	0.23	0.37	0.17	0.16	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.31	0.19
lawyers?	Std	0.42	0.53	0.44	0.42	0.42	0.38	0.50	0.46	0.45
eare CEOs of other	Mean	1.54	1.38	1.29	1.05	1.02	1.11	0.64	0.98	1.08
firms?	Std	1.43	1.24	1.34	1.14	1.20	2.22	1.03	1.03	1.26
fcome from the owner	Mean	0.56	0.60	0.46	0.75	1.03	0.00	0.96	1.03	0.76
families?	Std	0.77	0.92	0.98	1.22	1.41	0.00	1.25	1.25	1.18

Board chairperson					F	irm typ	е			
C2 How many of the shareholder elected board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
members	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a work in the firm?	Mean	0.70	0.27	1.18	1.56	1.54	0.06	1.55	1.32	1.21
	Std	0.93	0.53	1.52	1.69	1.33	0.24	1.34	1.30	1.40
b hold shares	Mean	2.54	3.18	1.15	1.65	1.99	3.25	1.70	2.27	1.96
themselves?	Std	2.12	2.35	1.76	1.78	1.65	4.31	1.47	1.74	2.01
care CEOs of other	Mean	1.74	1.73	1.34	0.88	1.14	2.18	0.76	0.79	1.21
firms?	Std	1.61	1.54	1.32	1.17	1.15	2.48	1.17	0.90	1.38
dcome from owner	Mean	0.75	0.47	0.66	0.97	1.42	0.27	0.81	1.37	0.90
families?	Std	1.24	0.71	1.19	1.44	1.56	1.03	1.06	1.27	1.30

Table 28 Women board member's background and values

Board member	Firm type											
1 = disagree 7 = agree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
B7 The women in our board	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841		
a have different educational background than the men.	Mean Std	3.31 2.08	3.77 1.83	3.73 2.08	4.06 1.97	4.32 1.89	3.40 1.79	4.84 2.06	4.25 2.02	3.88 2.01		
b have different experiential background than the men	Mean Std	3.98 1.86	4.26 1.65	4.12 1.87	4.49 1.69	5.02 1.68	4.19 1.77	4.52 1.99	4.55 1.90	4.31 1.81		
c holds other values than the men	Mean Std	3.26 1.58	3.59 1.50	3.65 1.72	3.88 1.64	4.17 1.53	3.81 1.72	4.06 2.06	4.15 1.69	3.74 1.68		

Knowledge and competence

Table 29 Board member knowledge

CEO					F	Firm type	е			
1 = disagree 7 = agree C6		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Our board members have extensive knowledge of	N.	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
a the firm's main operations	Mean	5.63	5.44	6.01	6.06	6.16	5.52	6.31	6.26	6.06
operations	Std	1.29	1.16	1.01	1.18	1.03	1.08	1.07	0.89	1.10
b the firm's critical technology and key	Mean	5.07	4.65	5.33	5.21	5.52	4.67	5.64	5.51	5.35
competence	Std	1.30	1.24	1.24	1.30	1.25	1.15	1.46	1.05	1.31
c the firm's weak sides and its products and	Mean	5.07	5.00	5.24	5.14	5.37	4.81	5.68	5.49	5.32
services	Std	1.22	1.24	1.16	1.30	1.19	1.17	1.31	1.10	1.24
d the development regarding the firm's customers, markets, products, and services	Mean Std	5.13 1.08	5.04 0.90	5.26 1.14	5.17 1.40	5.41 1.13	5.00 1.18	5.59 1.25	5.34 1.15	5.32 1.20
e the firm's suppliers and customers negotiation power	Mean Std	4.45 1.35	4.44 1.29	4.88 1.28	4.92 1.46	5.05 1.32	4.05 0.97	5.38 1.38	4.99 1.15	4.95 1.36
f threats from entrants and new products and	Mean		4.67	5.08	4.85	5.09	4.25	5.35	4.99	5.01
services	Std	1.29	1.25	1.25	1.47	1.22	1.41	1.35	1.22	1.33
g international business and managers in other	Mean	4.74	4.95	4.45	4.26	4.54	2.70	4.04	4.15	4.34
countries	Std	1.47	1.24	1.56	1.76	1.66	1.34	1.79	1.64	1.67
h actual board activity in other firms	Mean	5.83	5.93	4.99	4.86	5.15	4.57	4.57	5.31	5.05
	Std	0.97	0.88	1.41	1.60	1.49	1.54	1.69	1.31	1.51

Board chairperson					F	Firm type	Э			
1 = disagree 7 = agree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
C6 Our board members have extensive knowledge of	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a the firm's main operations	Mean Std	6.10 0.92	6.11 0.78	6.04 0.96	6.15 1.00	6.37 0.92	5.74 0.87	6.26 1.14	6.44 0.96	6.19 0.98
b the firm's critical technology and key	Mean	5.57	5.13	5.55	5.54	5.57	4.59	5.71	5.74	5.52
competence c the firm's weak sides	Std Mean	1.06 5.41	1.15 5.28	1.08 5.48	1.11 5.44	1.18 5.65	0.62 5.06	1.21 5.63	1.29 5.79	1.15 5.51
and its products and services d the development		1.04	0.95	1.09	1.07	0.91	1.06	1.30	1.09	1.08
regarding the firm's customers, markets, products, and services	Mean Std	5.37 1.13	5.38 0.95	5.41 1.07	5.41 1.19	5.57 1.13	5.41 0.87	5.62 1.22	5.85 0.96	5.50 1.11
e the firm's suppliers and customers negotiation	Mean		4.89	5.06	5.02	5.26	5.06	5.25	5.73	5.13
power f threats from entrants	Std Mean	1.12	1.10 4.94	1.29 5.18	1.29 5.14	1.41 5.02	1.29 5.18	1.27 5.46	1.13 5.58	1.28 5.19
and new products and services		1.35	1.12	1.17	1.17	1.34	1.01	1.18	1.12	1.22
g international business and managers in other countries	Mean Std	4.61 1.41	4.83 1.46	4.21 1.55	4.09 1.56	4.19 1.68	4.18 1.24	3.36 1.63	4.06 1.56	4.13 1.61
h actual board activity in other firms	Mean		5.79	4.96 1.60	4.85 1.76	4.91 1.48	5.11	4.27 1.72	4.64 1.75	4.96 1.62

Board member	Firm type											
1 = disagree 7 = agree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
B6 I (board member) have extensive	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841		
a board experience from other firms	Mean Std	4.15 2.26	4.08 2.27	3.38 2.16	3.22 2.10	3.96 2.11	3.43 1.66	2.90 2.07	4.03 2.04	3.55 2.16		
b relevant industry experience (in regards to the firm's operations)	Mean Std	5.25 1.63	5.13 1.58	5.35 1.59	5.15 1.65	5.24 1.50	5.06 1.60	4.83 1.88	5.14 1.59	5.18 1.63		
c knowledge of the firm (main operations, key competence, products etc.)	Mean Std	5.93 1.09	5.64 0.99	5.67 1.21	5.77 1.20	5.71 1.21	5.65 1.14	5.54 1.53	5.69 1.17	5.70 1.21		

Diversity

Table 30 Board member diversity

CEO					F	Firm typ	е			
1 = disagree 7 = agree C8		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Our board members represent diversity with regards to	N.	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
A functional background (e.g. sales, finance, accounting, marketing etc.)	Mean	5.39 1.15	5.65 1.04	5.02 1.52	5.01 1.59	5.10 1.39	4.10 1.84	4.77 1.86	5.45 1.31	5.06 1.55
bindustrial background (e.g. different industries and firms)	Mean		5.18	4.26	4.34	4.32	4.24	4.09	4.68	4.39
c educational background (different	Std Mean	1.395.26	1.38 5.25	1.72 4.94	1.80 4.66	1.66 4.86	1.97 5.33	1.96 4.40	1.65 5.07	1.75 4.83
universities, schools and type of education)	Std	1.33	1.19	1.40	1.49	1.42	1.39	1.76	1.46	1.51
d personality (different degree of creativity, orientation on action, attitude to listening)	Mean Std	5.41 1.19	5.60 0.89	5.12 1.26	5.10 1.28	5.25 1.25	5.57 1.25	5.32 1.45	5.40 1.01	5.26 1.26
e age	Mean Std	3.96 1.63	4.33 1.54	4.42 1.47	4.44 1.46	4.18 1.60	4.48 1.40	4.21 1.66	4.36 1.56	4.29 1.55

Board chairperson Firm type 1 = disagree 7 = agree ASA AS-L AS-M AS-S S-U SMB Total C8 Our board members represent diversity with regards to ... N. 49 55 97 82 101 20 97 37 538 A... functional background Mean 5.49 5.76 5.12 5.21 5.41 5.05 4.96 5.48 5.28 (e.g. sales, finance, accounting, marketing etc.) Std 1.36 1.05 1.32 1.38 1.36 1.47 1.42 1.71 1.42 b...industrial background Mean 5.16 5.75 4.50 4.59 4.75 4.16 4.34 4.71 5.11 (e.g. different industries and firms) Std 1.57 1.46 1.59 1.52 1.28 1.61 1.04 1.84 1.73 c... educational background (different Mean 5.55 4.98 5.10 5.71 5.15 4.99 4.89 4.79 4.91 universities, schools and type of education) Std 1.28 1.07 1.26 1.54 1.62 1.88 1.43 1.29 1.46 d... personality (different degree of creativity, Mean 5.76 5.71 5.27 5.44 5.40 5.89 5.42 5.42 5.47 orientation on action, attitude to listening) Std 0.99 0.90 1.22 1.30 0.88 1.29 1.29 1.17 1.19 4.22 4.50 Mean 4.25 4.96 4.62 4.62 4.41 4.05 4.75 e... age Std 1.56 1.09 1.34 1.54 1.65 1.27 1.71 1.63 1.53

Board member	Firm type									
1 = disagree 7 = agree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
B5 Our board members represent diversity with regards to	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
A functional background (e.g. sales, finance,	Mean	5.38	5.60	4.93	4.79	5.15	4.58	4.57	5.06	5.00
accounting, marketing etc.)	Std	1.23	1.09	1.53	1.58	1.49	1.49	1.69	1.58	1.51
bindustrial background (e.g. different industries	Mean		5.27	4.19	4.14	4.69	4.49	3.93	3.86	4.42
and firms)	Std	1.43	1.29	1.73	1.78	1.52	1.65	1.74	1.73	1.68
c educational background (different universities, schools and type of education)	Mean Std	5.07 2.35	5.29 1.32	4.82 1.51	4.96 1.46	4.96 1.38	4.89 1.28	4.48 1.63	5.06 1.57	4.92 1.57
d personality (different										
degree of creativity, orientation on action.	Mean	5.17	5.45	5.16	5.17	4.99	5.45	5.12	5.06	5.19
attitude to listening)	Std	1.30	1.25	1.27	1.30	1.30	1.01	1.42	1.41	1.29
e age	Mean	3.88	3.98	3.90	3.61	3.73	3.94	4.02	3.94	3.86
	Std	1.59	1.55	1.42	1.46	1.47	1.28	1.49	1.62	1.47

Motivation

 Table 31
 Board member motivation

CEO	Firm type										
1 = disagree 7 = agree C9 The board members are		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total	
positively motivated to do a good job due to	N.	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980	
atheir own shareholding	Mean	4.18	3.45	2.88	3.88	3.98	2.79	5.42	4.38	4.01	
S .	Std	2.26	2.01	2.29	2.49	2.42	2.55	2.13	2.59	2.48	
b formal liability by law	Mean	4.53	4.11	4.18	4.19	4.21	3.62	4.56	4.74	4.32	
, ,	Std	1.51	1.79	1.82	1.82	1.80	1.80	1.92	1.70	1.81	
c their own academic	Mean	5.56	5.98	5.56	5.40	5.43	5.19	5.51	5.55	5.52	
and professional standards	Std	1.19	0.96	1.28	1.19	1.26	1.36	1.31	1.05	1.23	
d their own reputation	Mean	5.63	5.80	5.36	5.40	5.32	5.33	5.56	5.76	5.47	
·	Std	1.21	0.99	1.42	1.26	1.24	1.39	1.48	0.95	1.31	

Board chairperson Firm type 1 = disagree 7 = agreeASA AS-L AS-M AS-S SMB Total C9 The board members are positively motivated to do a N. 49 55 97 82 101 20 97 37 538 good job due to ... Mean 4.55 3.35 2.96 3.85 4.50 3.28 4.68 5.79 4.07 a...their own shareholding Std 2.22 2.08 2.46 2.60 2.41 2.70 2.33 1.75 2.48 4.30 Mean 4.51 3.93 3.72 4.72 4.35 4.84 4.62 4.32 b... formal liability by law Std 1.37 1.81 1.77 1.78 1.85 1.17 1.92 1.80 1.91 Mean 5.92 5.91 5.59 5.79 5.93 5.79 5.70 5.76 5.79 c... their own academic and professional standards Std 0.76 1.19 1.04 1.09 0.89 0.79 1.26 1.15 1.06 Mean 5.86 6.00 5.65 5.84 5.47 5.47 5.77 5.45 5.71 d... their own reputation 1.26 Std 1.17 0.88 1.07 1.16 1.35 1.27 1.58 1.20

Participation and involvement

Table 32 Board meeting participation

CEO	Firm type										
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total	
<u>. </u>	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963	
C3a How many other persons (than those with full voting rights) participate regularly in board meetings	Mean Std		2.47 1.12	2.14 1.46	1.47 1.16	1.59 1.27	3.79 1.32	0.85 1.13	1.56 1.37	1.65 1.39	
C3b How many of these are from the firm's top management team?	Mean Std		2.17 0.98	1.80 1.24	1.37 1.03	1.32 1.14	3.21 1.32	0.75 0.97	1.31 0.89	1.44 1.20	

Board chairperson	Firm type											
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
<u>. </u>	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538		
C3a How many other persons (than those with full voting rights) participate regularly in board meetings	Mean Std	2.02 1.33	2.72 1.55	2.38 1.76	1.95 1.85	1.81 1.80	3.68 2.19	0.99 1.24	1.30 1.13	1.94 1.74		
C3b How many of these are from the firm's top management team?	Mean Std	1.77 1.24	2.32	1.77 1.25	1.47	1.25 1.04	2.79 1.69	0.94	1.06	1.54 1.22		

Doord mambar	Firm type											
Board member		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841		
B2a How many other persons (than those with full voting rights) participate regularly in board meetings	Mean Std	2.35 1.34	2.89 1.76	2.51 1.64	1.98 1.30	1.66 1.37	4.40 1.84	1.00 1.20	1.94 1.35	2.27 1.69		
B2b How many of these are from the firm's top management team?	Mean Std	0.14 0.39	0.22	0.33	0.13 0.43	0.20 0.45	0.18 0.51	0.06 0.24	0.17 0.45	0.20 0.57		

Experience

Table 33 Number of memberships in boards held by the CEO

CEO					F	irm type)			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
-	N	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
A5a How many board memberships have you (CEO) had in other firms until today?	Mean Std	8.86 12.25	12.67 21.15	6.44 8.23	4.74 4.95	7.64 12.97	8.77 7.75	2.92 4.48	8.49 9.82	6.40 10.23
A5b How many board memberships do you (CEO) have in other firms per October 10th 2005?	Mean Std	3.22 6.37	3.28 3.80	2.99 4.64	2.24 2.21	3.75 4.52	3.05 3.02	1.61 2.51	4.68 5.62	2.89 4.20
A5c How many of these board memberships are new (starting after January 1st 2005)?	Mean Std	0.43 0.97	0.86 3.02	0.39 0.82	0.29 0.62	0.51 0.95	0.81 1.81	0.27 0.81	0.58 0.93	0.43 1.12

Table 34 Number of memberships in boards held by the board chairperson

Board chairperson					F	irm type	е			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
BC A5a How many board memberships have you	Mean	20.77	18.93	13.14	10.51	13.73	13.16	6.31	15.35	12.99
(board chairperson) had in other firms until today?	Std		20.33	_				9.20	20.08	15.30
BC A5b How many board memberships do you (board chairperson) have in other firms per	Mean	7.06	5.70	5.30	5.07	6.47	3.53	3.10	6.15	5.28
October 10th 2005?	Std	4.76	5.59	4.28	4.67	7.70	2.65	4.50	5.59	5.51
BC A5c How many of these board memberships are new (starting after	Mean	1.18	0.74	0.92	0.84	0.66	0.72	0.48	0.59	0.75
January 1 st 2005\2	Std	1 07	1 12	1 56	1 12	1 21	1 1 2	2 20	1 33	1 56

Table 35. Number of memberships in boards held by the board member

Board member					F	irm type	Э			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
A2a How many board memberships have you (board member) had in other firms until today?	Mean Std	10.50 12.46	11.73 16.49	5.77 9.33	6.37 10.22	9.41 16.17	4.48 5.49	4.87 10.40	7.97 17.48	7.39 12.39
A2b How many board memberships do you (board member) have in other firms per October 10th 2005?	Mean Std	3.86 3.71	4.24 6.02	2.28 3.87	2.66 4.56	3.95 5.29	1.57 1.62	2.06 3.67	2.94 3.54	2.89 4.44
A2c How many of these board memberships are new (starting after January 1 st 2005)?	Mean Std	0.94 1.25	0.88 1.27	0.37 1.11	0.49 1.41	0.77 1.44	0.32 0.55	0.32 0.71	0.55 1.30	0.55 1.20

TE 11 26	D 1	7 .	
Table 36	Board	chairperson	experience

Tubic 30 Bourd Cital	rperso	пенре	riche							
CEO										
1 = strongly disagree 7 = strongly agree					F	irm type	e			
C10 Our board chairperson		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
has extensive	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
a board experience from other firms	Mean Std	5.90 1.24	5.58 1.61	5.58 1.50	5.05 1.88	5.07 1.95	5.20 1.67	4.17 2.22	5.53 1.86	5.13 1.91
b relevant industry experience (in regards to the firm's operations)	Mean Std	5.43 1.57	5.33 1.41	5.53 1.40	5.34 1.68	5.73 1.34	5.30 1.26	5.31 1.81	5.42 1.56	5.46 1.55
c knowledge of the firm (main operations, key competence, products	Mean Std	5.84	5.82	5.90	5.92 1.25	6.09	5.70	6.07	5.90	5.96

Table 37 Firm founder

Board chairperson					F	irm type	e			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
A8a Is the founder still active in the firm's operations?	N. Yes	49 57%	55 16%	96 18%	79 39%	94 44%	18 11%	95 62%	35 54%	521 40%
A8b Are you (board chairperson) the founder of the firm?	N. Yes	49 24%	55 9%	97 9%	81 21%	96 26%	17 0%	96 40%	35 43%	526 23%
A8c Is the CEO the founder of the firm?	N. Yes	49 29%	55 11%	97 8%	79 25%	97 25%	17 0%	95 38%	35 40%	524 23%

Board member					F	irm type	Э			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
A1d Are you (board member)	N.	72	112	219	141	97	55	102	36	834
the founder of the firm?	Yes	4%	1%	3%	6%	3%	0%	19%	11%	5%

Gender

Table 38 Gender of the CEO and the board chairperson

CEO	Firm type										
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total	
	N.	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980	
A7a Is the board chairperson a man or a woman?	Woman	3%	0%	5%	7%	8%	32%	10%	10%	8%	
A7b Is the CEO a man or a woman?	Woman	0%	0%	5%	9%	5%	14%	16%	7%	7%	

Board chairperson					F	irm type	Э			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
A6a Is the board chairperson a man or a woman?	Woman	2%	2%	8%	2%	7%	10%	9%	8%	6%
A6b Is the CEO a man or a woman?	Woman	4%	0%	6%	6%	3%	21%	22%	9%	8%

Dualities

<u>Table 39 Position</u> of the person responding on the different questionnaires

A1		CEO d	questic	onnaire		d chairpe estionna		Board member questionnaire		
Position firm	in the	CEO	ВС	Other	CEO	ВС	Other	CEO		
		OLO	ьо	Otrici	OLO	ьо	Other	OLO		
	ASA	99%	1%	0%	0%	100%	0%	4%		
	В	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	1%		
	AS-L	99%	2%	0%	0%	100%	0%	5%		
Firm	AS-M	99%	1%	0%	0%	100%	0%	5%		
type	AS-S	97%	2%	1%	0%	100%	0%	8%		
	С	96%	5%	0%	0%	100%	0%	2%		
	S-U	98%	2%	1%	0%	100%	0%	14%		
	SMB	99%	0%	1%	0%	100%	0%	11%		
	Total	98%	1%	0%	0%	100%	0%	6%		

Tabell 40 CEO duality

CEO					F	irm type	€			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
A2a I am also board chairperson	N. Yes	73 1%	55 0%	198 3%	132 5%	160 11%	22 0%	188 24%	74 7%	902 9%
A2b I am a member of the board with full voting rights	N. Yes	73 22%	55 22%	200 33%	153 38%	173 45%	21 10%	175 63%	71 41%	921 40%
A3a Has the board chairperson previously been CEO of the firm?	N. Yes	73 3%	55 11%	204 12%	155 19%	181 24%	22 5%	191 29%	77 23%	958 19%_

Board chairperson						irm type				
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	NI	47	50	00	75	0.1	10	0.4	0.5	504
A2a	N.	47	53	93	75	91	16	94	35	504
I am also the CEO	Yes	2%	2%	9%	18%	15%	3%	35%	17%	13%
A2b	N.	48	55	93	74	94	20	83	33	500
I work full time as a board		_				-	-			
chairperson	Yes	9%	7%	13%	18%	26%	5%	14%	7%	15%
A3a I have previously been the	N.	49	55	94	79	96	18	93	36	520
CEO of the firm?	Yes	5%	5%	15%	17%	24%	1%	21%	12%	26%

6 Interactions

Relational norms

Table 41 Board norms and culture

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
D10 Between board members		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
there are	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a unwritten rules and principles on how the board	Mean	4.28	3.96	4.16	4.01	4.29	4.05	4.47	4.64	4.25
should conduct its business	Std	1.52	1.64	1.85	1.86	1.84	1.65	1.92	1.75	1.82
b common values, attitudes and norms regarding ethics, justice	Mean	5.42	5.21	5.35	5.39	5.34	4.95	5.35	5.67	5.37
corporate responsibility etc.	Std	1.34	1.56	1.36	1.43	1.24	1.46	1.44	1.04	1.36
c mutual expectations to each others future actions	Mean Std	5.09 1.21	4.64 1.49	4.83 1.39	5.19 1.27	5.11 1.16	4.82 1.18	5.15 1.46	5.24 1.33	5.05 1.34
d considerable weight on	Mean	4.47	3.83	4.12	4.32	4.38	4.77	4.91	5.01	4.46
preservation of personal relations	Std	1.42	1.50	1.53	1.60	1.45	1.34	1.57	1.41	1.55
e considerable weight on preservation of professional	Mean	4.45	4.58	4.60	4.58	4.72	4.36	4.98	4.93	4.70
relations	Std	1.49	1.45	1.51	1.66	1.32	1.53	1.58	1.60	1.53
f considerable weight on trust when conflicts are to be	Mean	5.10	5.00	5.25	5.21	5.41	5.00	5.43	5.75	5.32
resolved	Std	1.33	1.39	1.36	1.35	1.14	1.41	1.41	1.02	1.31

Board chairperson 1 = disagree 7 = agreeFirm type D10 ASA AS-L AS-M AS-S С В S-U SMB Total Between board members 49 55 97 82 101 20 97 37 538 there are N. a ... unwritten rules and 4.85 4.44 4.79 4.56 Mean 4.21 4.41 4.54 4.81 4.69 principles on how the board should conduct its business Std 1.77 1.80 1.75 1.90 1.77 1.69 1.66 1.75 1.77 b ... common values, attitudes and norms 5.58 5.53 5.74 5.67 5.36 5.55 5.46 5.67 Mean 5.74 regarding ethics, justice corporate responsibility etc. Std 1.16 1.09 1.01 1.14 1.44 1.15 1.37 1.33 1.23 4.98 4.69 5.06 5.37 5.16 5.70 5.37 5.28 5.18 Mean c ... mutual expectations to each others future actions Std 1.13 1.50 1.32 1.36 1.38 .92 1.38 1.41 1.36 d ... considerable weight on Mean 4.21 3.83 4.23 4.65 4.51 5.55 4.85 4.81 4.51 preservation of personal relations Std 1.47 1.68 1.48 1.61 1.52 1.43 1.57 1.85 1.60 e ... considerable weight on 4.34 4.68 4.95 4.82 5.35 5.08 4.84 Mean 4.63 5.11 preservation of professional relations Std 1.65 1.45 1.83 1.65 1.56 1.66 1.09 1.52 1.58 f... considerable weight on 5.52 5.52 5.47 5.37 6.05 5.81 5.57 Mean 5.69 5.56 trust when conflicts are to be 1.19 1.05 Std 1.23 1.14 1.26 1.32 1.26 1.21 resolved 1.11

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
C10 Between board members		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
there are	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a unwritten rules and principles on how the board	Mean	4.19	4.16	3.88	4.00	4.04	4.04	4.01	3.80	4.01
should conduct its business	Std	1.88	1.84	1.79	1.79	1.82	1.68	1.93	1.78	1.81
b common values, attitudes and norms regarding ethics, justice	Mean	5.31	5.39	5.25	5.26	5.35	5.47	5.46	5.43	5.34
corporate responsibility etc.	Std	1.37	1.33	1.48	1.49	1.26	1.20	1.23	1.33	1.37
c mutual expectations to each others future actions	Mean Std	4.71 1.34	5.01 1.24	4.77 1.33	4.67 1.42	5.02 1.32	4.52 1.16	5.16 1.18	4.74 1.54	4.84 1.32
d considerable weight on preservation of personal	Mean	4.24	4.66	4.48	4.47	4.47	4.60	4.94	4.20	4.53
relations	Std	1.57	1.48	1.58	1.57	1.50	1.51	1.41	1.86	1.55
e considerable weight on preservation of professional	Mean	4.67	4.73	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.74	5.05	4.49	4.73
relations	Std	1.45	1.52	1.53	1.57	1.42	1.67	1.45	1.60	1.52
f considerable weight on trust when conflicts are to be	Mean	5.03	5.49	5.26	5.32	5.15	5.44	5.39	5.11	5.29
resolved	Std	1.15	1.11	1.36	1.28	1.36	1.13	1.33	1.71	1.30

Board relations and independence

Table 42 CEO relations and independence

CEO					F	irm type)			
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
B4a Does the CEO have family relations to the board chairperson?	N.	72	54	205	154	178	21	186	71	941
	Yes	1%	0%	3%	8%	10%	0%	29%	10%	10%
B4b Does the CEO have friendship relations to the board chairperson?	N.	70	54	203	146	172	21	164	68	898
	Yes	9%	4%	5%	9%	10%	5%	35%	12%	13%
B4c Does the CEO have business relations to the board chairperson?	N. Yes	70 20%	54 9%	203 26%	146 23%	173 24%	21 5%	165 36%	68 21%	900 25%
B4d Does the CEO have relations to major ownership interests in the firm?	N.	69	54	201	148	173	19	167	71	902
	Yes	25%	13%	7%	18%	20%	0%	31%	30%	19%

Board chairperson		Firm type										
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
B5a Does the board chairperson have family relations to the CEO?	N. Yes	48 8%	54 2%	95 4%	78 6%	96 20%	18 0%	88 26%	31 26%	508 13%		
B5b Does the board chairperson have friendship relations to the CEO?	N. Yes	46 9%	52 2%	92 13%	76 13%	88 23%	17 6%	81 33%	28 25%	480 17%		
B5c Does the board chairperson have business relations to the CEO?	N. Yes	46 26%	54 6%	92 17%	75 16%	89 25%	18 0%	81 37%	28 14%	483 21%		
B5d Does the board chairperson have relations to major ownership interests in the firm?	N. Yes	46 35%	54 30%	93 28%	77 36%	87 51%	18 17%	83 48%	28 43%	486 38%		

 Table 43
 Board member relations and independence

CEO					F	irm typ	е			
1 = disagree 7 = agree C7		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board members have close	N.	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
a family ties to the CEO	Mean Std	1.30 0.95	1.04 0.27	1.34 1.18	1.58 1.60	1.63 1.67	1.00	3.05 2.64	2.15 2.13	1.81 1.87
b friendship ties to the CEO		1.93 1.40	1.71 1.15	1.71 1.20	1.88 1.40	2.11 1.60	1.52 1.21	3.73 2.36	2.44 1.71	2.27 1.79
	Mean	2.97	1.98	3.10	3.39	3.46	2.35	3.84	3.11	3.27
c business ties to the firm	Std	2.11	1.47	2.37	2.36	2.49	2.03	2.50	2.36	2.38
d ties to other persons and firms which holds large stakes in the firm	Mean Std	3.70 2.18	2.78 1.62	2.72 2.19	2.85 2.26	2.89 2.28	1.55 1.32	2.76 2.21	2.57 2.12	2.82 2.19
e ties to each other, e.g. through common memberships on other boards	Mean Std	2.80	2.25	3.26 2.07	3.01	3.35	2.38	3.15 2.33	3.23 2.22	3.10 2.11

Board chairperson					F	irm typ	е			
1 = disagree 7 = agree C7		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board members have close	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a family ties to the CEO	Mean Std	1.49 1.54	1.09 0.44	1.70 1.70	2.23 2.26	2.48 2.37	1.00	2.72 2.49	3.12 2.58	2.10 2.12
b friendship ties to the CEO	Mean Std	1.83 1.24	1.44 0.86	1.83 1.42	2.59 1.93	2.61 1.95	1.53 1.37	3.29 2.29	3.38 2.21	2.40 1.89
c business ties to the firm	Mean Std	2.66 2.04	2.00 1.60	2.63 2.16	2.94 2.29	2.96 2.23	2.88 2.60	3.88 2.47	3.39 2.42	2.95 2.27
d ties to other persons and firms which holds large stakes in the firm	Mean Std	3.58 2.19	2.64 1.91	2.89 2.26	2.87 2.21	3.34 2.34	1.44 1.50	2.74 2.22	3.13 2.45	2.95 2.23
e ties to each other, e.g. through common memberships on other boards	Mean Std	2.65 1.92	2.29 1.62	2.93	2.65	3.09 1.83	2.25 1.81	2.89	3.58 2.24	2.83 1.99

Board member					F	irm typ	е			
1 = disagree 7 = agree B4		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board members have close	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
	Mean	1.26	1.26	1.44	1.35	1.84	1.04	2.81	2.89	1.64
a family ties to the CEO	Std	0.98	0.73	1.22	0.97	1.73	0.27	2.43	2.58	1.54
b friendship ties to the	Mean		1.82	1.93	2.08	2.13	1.59	3.03	2.89	2.13
CEO	Std	1.55	1.18	1.31	1.49	1.39	1.07	2.07	2.12	1.54
	Mean	3.53	2.51	2.87	3.11	3.08	2.42	3.73	2.92	3.02
c business ties to the firm	Std	2.10	1.72	2.22	2.30	2.16	2.08	2.41	2.25	2.20
d ties to other persons and firms which holds large	Mean	3.50	3.04	3.25	3.00	3.44	1.46	2.94	3.28	3.07
stakes in the firm	Std	2.16	1.82	2.30	2.28	2.25	0.94	2.32	2.44	2.21
e ties to each other, e.g. through common memberships on other	Mean		2.52	2.76	3.09	3.16	2.15	2.79	3.11	2.82
boards	Std	1.83	1.38	1.96	1.90	2.03	1.38	2.11	1.97	1.88

Table 44 Responding board member relations

Board member	r Firm type												
A4					-	ши цуре	;						
Do you (board member) have	⁄e	ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total			
	N.	71	112	219	141	96	53	98	35	825			
a family ties to the CEO	Yes	1%	1%	5%	1%	13%	0%	28%	23%	7%			
b friendship ties to the	N.	72	111	219	140	92	53	94	31	812			
CEO?	Yes	13%	3%	6%	9%	4%	0%	32%	13%	9%			
c business ties to the	N.	71	112	219	141	92	53	94	32	814			
CEO?	Yes	18%	4%	11%	21%	12%	4%	32%	13%	14%			
d ties to major ownership interests in the	N.	72	112	221	140	92	53	93	33	816			
firm?	Yes	39%	19%	22%	28%	35%	6%	46%	33%	28%			

Power and strategizing

Table 45 Decision influences

Table 45 Decision inju	iuences	5								
Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
C1 When the board is to make		ASA	В	_	AS-M		C	S-U	SMB	Total
important decisions,	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
 a persons who do not work within the firm try to influence board decision making 	Mean Std	2.50 1.90	2.07 1.54	2.46 1.83	2.39 1.81	2.55 1.64	3.00 1.91	1.92 1.33	2.69 1.97	2.39 1.75
b persons from the top management team try to influence board decision making	Mean Std	3.74 1.81	3.52 1.81	3.83 1.93	3.89 1.99	3.84 1.69	3.64 1.82	3.24 1.89	3.75 1.98	3.70 1.88
c unions try to influence board decision making	Mean Std	1.83 1.38	2.67 1.69	3.38 1.82	2.94 1.81	2.40 1.56	4.25 1.52	1.70 1.14	1.69 1.02	2.75 1.77
d the board chair contacts other board members previous to meetings to gather support for his views	Mean Std	2.68 1.78	2.60	2.81	2.53 1.58	2.89	2.46 1.54	2.98 1.93	2.58 1.79	2.72 1.74
e some of the board members discuss (formally or informally) the issues before the board meetings	Mean Std	3.79 1.75	3.51 1.72	4.11 1.82	3.98 1.89	4.00 1.83	3.70 1.55	4.15 1.83	3.94 1.82	3.94 1.80

 Table 46
 Board member influences

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
C2 Previous to important board decisions I have had contact		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
with	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a persons who represent interests outside the firm	Mean	2.14	1.74	1.93	1.97	2.18	2.04	2.42	2.33	2.04
	Std	1.65	1.27	1.55	1.61	1.54	1.69	1.69	1.74	1.58
b the CEO or members of the top management team	Mean	3.63	3.23	3.81	4.07	3.88	2.69	4.01	3.83	3.72
	Std	2.05	1.84	1.98	1.96	1.74	1.86	2.06	2.08	1.97
c persons who represent	Mean	2.14	2.76	3.64	3.61	2.74	3.53	3.14	2.36	3.16
employees in the firm	Std	1.71	2.04	2.07	2.13	1.85	2.07	1.99	1.73	2.06
d the board chair	Mean	3.29	3.65	3.23	3.50	3.97	3.38	3.95	3.39	3.53
	Std	2.02	1.98	2.07	2.04	2.03	2.00	2.13	2.17	2.06
e other board members	Mean	3.61	3.47	3.58	3.58	3.56	3.82	4.10	3.89	3.66
	Std	2.05	1.97	1.90	1.91	1.85	1.66	1.97	1.83	1.91

Table 47 The influence of employee elected board members

Board member													
1 = disagree 7 = agree		Firm type											
D13 The employee elected		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total			
members in this board	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841			
a are better prepared than the shareholder elected members	Mean Std	3.00 1.73	2.83 1.29	2.90 1.66	3.07 1.59	2.77 1.67	2.98 1.52	3.13	3.07	2.94 1.59			
members	Sia	1./3	1.29	1.66	1.59	1.67	1.52	1.70	1.53	1.59			
b are equally active in discussions as the shareholder elected	Mean	4.24	4.64	4.42	4.81	4.28	4.53	4.44	4.19	4.51			
members	Std	2.08	1.71	1.90	1.72	1.78	1.98	1.95	1.80	1.84			
c share important knowledge to the board about the employees	Mean	5.14	5.17	5.11	5.23	4.85	5.60	4.81	4.63	5.13			
attitudes	Std	1.36	1.32	1.43	1.44	1.66	1.10	1.71	1.59	1.44			
d share with the board important information on	Mean	4.76	5.14	5.11	5.14	5.03	5.47	4.75	4.53	5.10			
what happens in the firm	Std	1.41	1.39	1.47	1.45	1.54	1.10	1.80	1.77	1.46			
e are perceived as	Mean	3.10	2.96	3.28	3.64	3.47	2.58	3.75	3.19	3.29			
management allies	Std	1.59	1.47	1.68	1.90	1.73	1.36	1.83	1.83	1.71			
f are sometimes perceived	Mean	2.62	2.69	3.19	2.99	2.95	2.74	2.72	3.06	2.97			
as B-members of the board	Std	1.74	1.80	2.00	2.02	2.07	1.83	1.76	2.17	1.95			
g gather support for board	Mean	4.11	4.46	4.52	4.58	4.71	4.90	4.00	3.38	4.50			
decisions among employees	Std	1.42	1.39	1.49	1.46	1.33	1.15	1.58	1.63	1.45			

Table 48 The influence of women elected board members

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
D14		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
D14 The women in this board	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a are always better prepared than the men	Mean	2.63	2.67	2.97	2.84	2.71	3.27	2.94	2.14	2.84
	Std	1.54	1.49	1.75	1.50	1.60	1.59	1.66	1.42	1.61
b are equally active in	Mean	4.84	4.99	5.30	5.29	5.07	5.53	5.29	5.41	5.21
discussions as the men	Std	1.91	1.63	1.77	1.72	1.98	1.43	1.80	1.65	1.74
c are sometimes perceived as B-members of	Mean	2.00	1.97	1.93	2.06	2.19	1.76	2.44	1.50	1.99
the board	Std	1.68	1.63	1.56	1.51	1.49	1.45	1.90	1.37	1.59
d have influenced the way the board conducts	Mean	3.53	4.28	3.92	3.79	3.98	5.08	4.12	3.91	4.05
business	Std	1.72	1.88	2.04	1.66	1.92	1.24	1.88	1.80	1.86
e have largely influenced which issues are considered	Mean	2.42	2.71	2.86	2.75	2.84	3.10	3.35	2.40	2.82
by the board	Std	1.63	1.54	1.84	1.57	1.68	1.50	2.00	1.67	1.70

Table 49 Agenda setting

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
D5 In our firm the agenda and content of the board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
meetings are decided by	N	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a the CEO.	Mean	5.67	5.59	5.61	5.53	5.30	6.05	5.22	6.00	5.50
	Std	1.47	1.22	1.49	1.63	1.71	1.16	1.89	1.09	1.61
b the board chair.	Mean	4.54	4.83	4.66	4.69	4.88	4.77	5.09	4.92	4.82
	Std	1.77	1.42	1.74	1.85	1.79	1.69	1.85	1.73	1.77
c certain board members.	Mean	2.35	2.29	2.26	2.12	2.58	1.65	2.99	2.88	2.48
	Std	1.40	1.35	1.41	1.42	1.67	0.99	1.79	1.90	1.60

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	e			
D5 In our firm the agenda and content of the board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
meetings are decided by	N	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a the CEO.	Mean	5.11	5.24	5.10	5.02	4.67	5.55	4.67	5.34	4.98
	Std	1.45	1.40	1.66	1.64	1.82	0.76	1.99	1.63	1.69
b the Board chair.	Mean	5.27	5.11	5.14	5.35	5.32	4.78	5.54	4.94	5.26
o m ano board onam	Std	1.36	1.48	1.54	1.48	1.57	1.22	1.53	1.71	1.51
c certain board members.	Mean	2.24	2.22	2.30	2.55	2.90	2.12	2.99	2.58	2.58
	Std	1.40	1.34	1.40	1.50	1.66	1.05	1.79	1.70	1.57

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	e			
C5 In our firm the agenda and content of the board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
meetings are decided by	N	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a the CEO.	Mean	5.63	5.54	5.48	5.41	5.22	5.44	5.03	5.64	5.41
	Std	1.30	1.38	1.68	1.77	1.56	1.29	2.00	1.05	1.62
b the Board chair.	Mean	5.00	5.06	5.37	5.10	5.17	5.49	5.10	5.03	5.19
5 m and Board ontain	Std	1.60	1.56	1.49	1.68	1.70	1.22	1.87	1.56	1.60
c certain board members.	Mean	2.52	2.50	2.77	2.76	2.63	2.44	3.00	3.24	2.72
o oo.ta 25ara momboro.	Std	1.58	1.46	1.61	1.70	1.47	1.36	1.67	1.56	1.58

 Table 50
 Power sources of board members

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
D9 When controversial decisions are made, the board most		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
often listens to	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a the board members with most experience and knowledge on the issue	Mean Std	5.82 1.06	5.43 1.29	5.48 1.29	5.51 1.32	5.67 1.24	6.05 1.05	5.49 1.48	5.74 1.28	5.58 1.31
b the board members who represent the largest shareholders	Mean Std	3.74 2.05	3.73 1.66	4.35 2.18	4.31 2.03	4.33 1.95	2.33 1.95	3.88 2.11	4.19 2.09	4.12 2.07
c the board members who themselves have large ownership stakes	Mean Std	3.15 1.78	2.84	2.38 1.98	2.97 2.01	3.04 2.05	1.20 56	3.52 2.11	3.43 2.18	2.98 2.04

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
D9 When controversial decisions		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
are made, the board most often listens to	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a the board members with most experience and	Mean	5.91	6.13	5.74	5.94	5.76	6.00	5.61	6.03	5.84
knowledge on the issue	Std	1.19	0.83	1.08	1.15	1.06	0.86	1.41	0.91	1.13
b the board members who represent the largest	Mean	4.13	3.04	3.98	3.77	4.17	2.56	3.92	3.80	3.83
shareholders	Std	2.11	1.96	2.31	2.17	1.88	2.01	2.13	2.00	2.12
c the board members who themselves have large	Mean	3.49	2.41	2.64	2.76	3.52	1.31	3.42	3.41	3.03
ownership stakes	Std	2.31	1.86	2.06	2.10	2.00	0.79	2.14	2.08	2.10

Board member 1 = disagree Firm type 7 = agree C9 ASA AS-L AS-M AS-S S-U С SMB Total When controversial decisions are made, the board most often listens to.. N. 72 112 223 142 97 55 104 36 841 a ... the board members Mean 5.56 5.75 5.23 5.40 5.31 5.44 5.43 5.58 5.42 with most experience and knowledge on the issue Std 1.23 1.52 1.48 1.25 1.20 1.38 1.03 1.36 1.46 $b \dots the \ board \ members \ who$ 2.35 4.21 3.73 4.42 4.28 4.73 3.84 3.76 4.10 Mean represent the largest shareholders 1.87 1.94 2.03 1.75 2.14 2.00 Std 1.96 1.69 1.89 $\boldsymbol{c}\,\dots$ the board members who Mean 3.39 3.16 3.08 3.14 3.51 1.67 3.55 3.64 3.17 themselves have large Std 1.98 2.08 2.18 ownership stakes 1.99 1.89 1.51 2.16 1.93 2.06

Table 51 Influence on top management selection

our corporate culture

Table 31 Injluence on	тор та	ınagei	meni s	eiecii	m					
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	e			
F10 At the most recent change in the top management team, the following had a very strong influence;	N.	ASA 74	B 55	AS-L 205	AS-M 158	AS-S 185	C 22	S-U 203	SMB	Total 980
and the second s										
a board chairperson	Mean	4.22	4.57	4.40	4.30	4.45	3.90	4.86	4.82	4.50
	Std	2.19	1.98	2.02	2.28	2.22	2.39	2.16	2.06	2.16
b CEO and persons inside the top management team	Mean	5.42	5.64	5.80	5.55	5.50	6.38	4.78	5.67	5.48
the top management team	Std	1.94	1.82	1.57	1.86	1.78	1.43	2.06	1.86	1.85
c the external board	Mean	2.99	3.26	2.36	2.70	3.07	2.57	2.88	2.93	2.80
members	Std	1.75	1.69	1.70	1.81	1.82	2.04	1.91	1.80	1.81
d employeerepresentatives andemployee elected boardmembers	Mean Std	1.88 1.34	2.57 1.62	2.32 1.54	2.41 1.56	2.09 1.42	3.14 2.03	2.05 1.52	2.14 1.57	2.23 1.53
e other firms and	Mean	1.75	1.92	1.90	2.01	2.02	1.62	1.92	1.71	1.91
individuals in the board members' network	Std	1.73	1.28	1.40	1.41	1.45	1.02	1.45	1.22	1.38
	Siu	1.21	1.20	1.40	1.41	1.45	1.07	1.43	1.22	1.30
f groups or individuals in the firm's environment (e.g. Investors, banks, owners etc.)	Mean Std	2.24 1.65	1.77 1.34	1.80 1.39	1.91 1.43	1.78 1.28	1.48 0.93	1.84 1.44	1.79 1.43	1.85 1.40
g pressure and influence	Mean	1.75	1.45	1.42	1.55	1.49	1.48	1.60	1.32	1.51
by society and industries	Std	1.34	0.89	0.82	1.05	0.94	1.36	1.12	0.77	1.00
h social norms dominating	Mean	2.19	2.36	2.27	2.44	2.23	3.33	2.09	2.18	2.28

Std 1.61 1.69 1.62 1.75 1.61 1.77 1.52 1.48 1.63

Board chairperson 1 = disagree 7 = agree Firm type F10 At the most recent change in В ASA AS-L AS-M AS-S С S-U SMB Total the top management team, the following had a very 49 55 97 82 101 20 97 37 538 strong influence; N. Mean 5.50 5.20 5.28 5.41 5.14 5.17 4.90 5.31 5.22 a ... board chairperson Std 1.90 1.73 1.85 1.79 1.81 1.79 1.98 1.97 1.85 b ... CEO and persons inside Mean 5.55 5.96 5.39 5.53 5.56 5.82 4.31 5.34 5.34 the top management team Std 1.84 1.68 1.76 1.47 1.70 1.55 2.17 1.92 1.85 3.40 c ... the external board Mean 3.30 3.96 3.16 3.18 2.88 3.21 3.03 3.29 members Std 1.74 1.75 1.97 1.85 1.97 1.63 1.97 1.96 1.90 d ... employee Mean 2.52 2.89 2.74 3.03 2.48 3.29 2.37 2.40 2.66 representatives and employee elected board 1.74 1.63 1.66 1.93 1.75 1.57 1.75 1.73 1.75 Std members e ... other firms and 1.90 1.88 2.34 2.44 2.02 2.45 2.06 individuals in the board Mean 1.71 2.11 members' network Std 1.52 1.52 1.46 1.10 1.31 1.62 1.40 1.75 1.44 f ... groups or individuals in the firm's environment (e.g. Mean 1.93 1.86 1.89 1.85 1.88 1.59 2.16 2.09 1.93 Investors, banks, owners etc.) Std 1.55 1.31 1.43 1.35 1.57 0.87 1.61 1.55 1.46 g ... pressure and influence Mean 1.35 1.47 1.57 1.63 1.45 1.65 1.80 1.64 1.58 by society and industries Std 0.87 1.06 1.17 1.14 0.89 0.86 1.25 1.06 1.08

2.36

1.65

h ... social norms dominating

our corporate culture

Mean

Std

1.95

1.57

2.24

1.66

2.74

1.86

2.47

1.62

2.08

1.52

3.18

1.55

2.35

1.53

2.18

1.68

Board member 1 = disagree Firm type 7 = agree At the most recent change in ASA В AS-L AS-M AS-S С S-U SMB Total the top management team, the following had a very strong influence; .. N. 72 112 223 142 97 55 104 36 841 4.82 Mean 5.19 4.81 4.94 4.38 4.92 4.94 4.93 4.42 a ... board chairperson Std 1.63 1.72 2.04 1.80 1.80 1.54 1.92 2.00 1.86 5.41 b ... CEO and persons inside Mean 5.27 5.61 5.28 5.44 5.54 4.54 4.91 5.31 the top management team Std 1.63 1.77 1.62 1.74 1.57 1.39 1.95 1.83 1.71 c ... the external board 3.84 3.56 2.78 2.94 2.73 3.24 Mean 3.40 3.68 3.19 members Std 2.07 1.86 1.74 1.76 1.81 1.59 1.89 1.70 1.83 d ... employee Mean 2.94 3.11 2.73 2.81 2.77 3.52 2.45 2.23 2.81 representatives and employee elected board 1.80 members Std 1.65 1.61 1.69 1.71 1.73 1.47 1.48 1.66 e ... other firms and 2.56 2.54 2.37 2.24 2.17 2.08 2.23 2.19 2.29 Mean individuals in the board 1.68 1.57 1.56 1.29 1.45 1.51 members' network Std 1.44 1.47 1.48 f ... groups or individuals in the firm's environment (e.g. Mean 2.45 2.19 2.27 1.89 2.41 1.76 2.05 1.88 2.15 Investors, banks, owners 1.17 1.47 Std 1.73 1.52 1.35 1.63 1.10 1.55 etc.) 1.74 Mean 1.96 1.78 1.75 1.60 1.99 2.18 2.01 1.84 1.84 g ... pressure and influence 1.27 by society and industries Std 1.48 1.22 1.20 1.07 1.32 1.48 1.40 1.30 Mean 2.87 2.63 2.56 2.33 2.54 3.25 2.54 2.91 2.61 h ... social norms dominating

Std

our corporate culture

1.83

1.68

1.59

1.58

1.76

1.61

1.61

1.87

1.67

Table 52 Influence on CEO compensation

Table 32 Influence of	n CEC	<i>com</i>	pensa	uion						
СЕО										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
F11 At the most recent change in the CEO's compensation (wages, options, pension etc.), the following had a very strong influence;	N.	ASA 74	B 55	AS-L 205	AS-M 158	AS-S 185	C 22	S-U 203	SMB	Total
a board chairperson	Mean	5.80	6.19	6.07	5.78	5.59	6.24	4.66	5.92	5.64
a board champerson	Std	1.71	0.85	1.62	1.93	1.95	1.51	2.35	1.79	1.94
b CEO and persons inside	Mean	3.48	2.94	2.60	2.89	3.51	2.57	3.65	3.38	3.16
the top management team	Std	2.13	1.65	1.85	1.98	2.11	1.94	2.30	2.14	2.08
c the external board	Mean	3.51	4.65	2.59	2.57	2.98	3.38	2.63	3.54	2.96
members	Std	2.06	1.78	2.03	2.00	2.07	2.29	1.99	2.22	2.10
d employee representatives and employee elected board members	Mean Std	1.94 1.34	3.07 2.12	1.91 1.59	1.88	1.77	3.05 2.01	1.70 1.36	1.83	1.93
e other firms and	Siu	1.34	2.12	1.59	1.53	1.44	2.01	1.30	1.66	1.59
individuals in the board	Mean	1.58	1.48	1.47	1.58	1.53	1.67	1.58	1.40	1.53
members' network	Std	1.13	0.84	1.03	1.21	1.19	1.46	1.20	0.94	1.12
f groups or individuals in the firm's environment (e.g.	Mean	1.66	1.59	1.63	1.52	1.53	1.67	1.53	1.51	1.57
Investors, banks, owners etc.)	Std	1.35	1.09	1.44	1.31	1.25	1.53	1.12	1.38	1.30
g pressure and influence	Mean	1.93	1.70	1.32	1.46	1.40	1.52	1.52	1.46	1.48
by society and industries	Std	1.50	1.21	0.73	1.09	0.97	1.21	1.11	1.06	1.06
h social norms dominating	Mean	2.19	2.76	2.25	2.27	2.20	2.90	1.85	2.33	2.22
our corporate culture	Std	1.71	1.89	1.74	1.85	1.78	1.67	1.48	1.68	1.73

Board chairperson 1 = disagree Firm type 7 = agree F11 At the most recent change in the CEO's compensation ASA С SMB В AS-L AS-M AS-S S-U Total (wages, options, pension etc.), the following had a very strong influence; .. N. 49 55 97 82 101 20 97 37 538 5.40 6.53 4.78 5.55 5.91 6.47 5.51 5.55 Mean 5.61 a ... board chairperson Std 1.68 1.00 2.04 2.09 1.85 0.61 2.18 2.05 1.94 b ... CEO and persons inside 3.48 2.69 3.27 3.60 3.90 2.89 3.85 3.59 3.51 Mean the top management team Std 1.98 1.62 2.04 2.16 2.15 2.03 2.12 2.35 2.09 2.79 c ... the external board Mean 4.20 5.31 3.28 3.46 4.18 3.06 2.67 3.49 members Std 2.25 1.64 2.11 1.88 2.19 2.21 2.08 1.90 2.17 d ... employee representatives and Mean 2.19 2.92 2.28 2.09 1.83 4.17 2.00 1.64 2.21 employee elected board Std 1.75 1.99 1.84 1.45 1.53 1.72 1.70 1.29 1.75 members e ... other firms and Mean 1.34 1.57 1.69 1.63 1.66 2.16 1.70 1.57 1.65 individuals in the board members' network Std 0.86 1.15 1.23 1.11 1.33 1.77 1.25 1.14 1.22 f ... groups or individuals in Mean 1.64 1.59 1.65 1.63 1.41 1.94 1.64 1.71 1.61 the firm's environment (e.g. Investors, banks, owners 1.35 1.06 Std 1.30 1.28 1.00 1.70 1.36 1.44 1.26 etc.) 1.39 1.65 1.52 1.63 1.43 2.67 1.61 1.54 1.58 g ... pressure and influence Mean by society and industries Std 0.99 1.33 1.09 1.11 1.00 1.78 1.19 1.04 1.16 h ... social norms dominating 2.18 2.57 2.51 2.40 2.17 3.11 2.18 2.29 Mean 1.87 our corporate culture Std 1.62 1.76 1.87 1.67 1.65 1.94 1.41 1.59 1.69

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
E11 At the most recent change in the CEO's compensation (wages, options, pension etc.), the following had a very strong influence;	N.	ASA 72	B 112	AS-L 223	AS-M 142	AS-S 97	C 55	S-U 104	SMB 36	Total 841
		0.05	F 07	5.00	5.40	·		5.04	5.07	
a board chairperson	Mean	6.05	5.87	5.82	5.19	5.54	5.72	5.01	5.07	5.57
	Std	1.42	1.32	1.68	1.89	1.74	1.25	2.05	1.77	1.72
b CEO and persons inside the top management team	Mean	3.27	3.25	3.12	3.47	3.60	3.10	3.82	3.43	3.36
the top management team	Std	1.85	1.86	2.08	2.08	2.03	1.66	2.16	2.10	2.02
c the external board	Mean	4.95	5.20	3.37	3.09	3.61	3.60	3.08	3.45	3.71
members	Std	1.64	1.58	2.02	2.06	2.05	2.22	2.02	1.94	2.09
d employee representatives and employee elected board	Mean	2.13	3.58	2.72	2.60	2.26	3.78	2.23	2.21	2.70
members	Std	1.58	1.98	1.89	1.93	1.59	1.91	1.80	1.66	1.90
e other firms and individuals in the board	Mean	1.62	1.84	1.73	1.65	1.81	1.88	1.69	1.79	1.74
members' network	Std	1.08	1.18	1.34	1.20	1.18	1.27	1.17	1.21	1.22
f groups or individuals in the firm's environment (e.g.	Mean	1.82	1.49	1.96	1.74	2.08	1.58	1.73	1.86	1.81
Investors, banks, owners etc.)	Std	1.42	0.89	1.65	1.52	1.63	1.03	1.30	1.55	1.45
g pressure and influence	Mean	1.85	2.11	1.76	1.57	1.74	2.46	1.67	1.66	1.81
by society and industries	Std	1.45	1.52	1.24	1.18	1.23	1.78	1.15	1.23	1.33
h social norms dominating	Mean	2.75	2.99	2.64	2.45	2.38	4.06	2.09	2.59	2.66
our corporate culture	Std	1.89	1.74	1.75	1.84	1.55	1.68	1.44	1.76	1.77

Boardroom interactions

 Table 53
 Boardroom interaction

1 abie 33 Boararoom i	nterac	поп								
CEO					F	irm typ	е			
1 = disagree 7 = agree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
D7a The board often reopens earlier closed issues based on suggestions from individual board members	Mean Std	3.25 1.73	2.70 1.50	2.82 1.63	2.61 1.68	2.86 1.72	2.86 1.49	3.05 1.86	3.01 1.78	2.88 1.72
D7b The CEO is often told by the board to keep it better informed	Mean Std	2.31 1.41	2.13 1.11	2.00 1.19	2.17 1.43	2.05 1.28	2.00	2.26 1.46	1.95 1.20	2.12 1.31
D8 The CEO prefers to consult board members rather than external consultants	Mean Std	5.40 1.75	4.83 1.63	4.93 1.75	5.07 1.78	5.36 1.62	4.14 1.70	5.30 1.85	5.26 1.78	5.15 1.76

Board chairperson		-			F	irm typ	e			
1 = disagree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
7 = agree	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
D7a The board often reopens earlier closed issues based	Mean	3.27	2.78	2.67	2.76	3.02	3.60	3.07	2.63	2.92
on suggestions from individual board members	Std	1.72	1.52	1.51	1.73	1.81	1.70	1.87	1.70	1.71
D7b The CEO is often told by the board to keep it better informed	Mean Std	2.56 1.61	2.22 1.34	2.40 1.48	1.98 1.11	2.27 1.45	2.74 1.56	2.52 1.63	2.14 1.42	2.32 1.45
D8 The CEO prefers to consult board members rather than external consultants	Mean Std	5.33 1.55	5.02 1.67	5.07 1.43	5.09 1.79	5.26 1.71	4.50 1.54	5.32 1.92	5.22 1.61	5.16 1.68

Board member					F	irm type	e			
1 = disagree 7 = agree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
r = agree	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
C7a The board often reopens earlier closed issues based	Mean	3.39	3.14	3.01	0.01	0.04	2.49	2.26	0.56	2.06
on suggestions from individual board members	Std	1.73	1.71	1.70	2.91 1.73	2.84 1.48	1.36	3.36 1.81	3.56 1.83	3.06 1.70
C7b The CEO is often told by the board to keep it better informed	Mean Std	2.28 1.44	2.38 1.44	2.61 1.61	2.33 1.58	2.44 1.34	2.47 1.49	2.83 1.79	2.31 1.35	2.49 1.55
C8 The CEO prefers to consult board members rather than external consultants	Mean Std	4.69 1.53	4.00 1.48	4.31 1.80	4.68 1.82	4.64 1.70	4.09 1.52	5.25 1.63	4.74 1.67	4.52 1.72

7 Structures and leadership

Board leadership

Table 54 Leadership of board chairperson

CEO										
1 = strongly disagree 7 = strongly agree					F	irm type	Э			
D11 Our board chairperson is		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
excellent	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a in motivating and use each board member's competence	Mean Std	4.90 1.31	5.22 1.27	4.60 1.49	4.38 1.53	4.75 1.31	5.45 1.18	4.82 1.61	4.79 1.37	4.73 1.46
b at formulating proposals for decisions and summarizing conclusions after board negotiation	Mean Std	5.39 1.36	5.13 1.44	5.27 1.33	4.84 1.57	5.08 1.39	5.41 0.85	4.82 1.59	5.23 1.38	5.07 1.45
c at leading board discussion	Mean Std	5.40 1.36	5.31 1.43	5.36 1.26	5.13 1.52	5.25 1.27	5.59 1.22	5.12 1.50	5.46 1.19	5.27 1.37

Board member										
1 = strongly disagree7 = strongly agree					F	irm type	е			
C12 Our board chairperson is		ASA	В	AS-L			С	S-U	SMB	Total
excellent	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a in motivating and use each board member's	Mean	4.89	4.94	4.39	4.30	4.65	4.56	4.52	4.62	4.56
competence	Std	1.45	1.40	1.69	1.66	1.64	1.40	1.35	1.37	1.56
b at formulating proposals for decisions and summarizing conclusions	Mean	5.45	5.44	5.24	5.16	5.26	5.30	4.79	4.79	5.20
after board negotiation	Std	1.45	1.12	1.52	1.51	1.45	1.18	1.42	1.57	1.44
c at leading board discussion	Mean	5.63	5.60	5.49	5.36	5.52	5.46	4.95	4.71	5.40
discussion	Std	1.48	1.17	1.41	1.37	1.28	1.38	1.36	1.59	1.38
C13a The board chair works very	Mean	6.00	5.72	5.83	5.99	5.93	6.06	5.82	5.58	5.87
well with the CEO	Std	0.92	0.94	1.23	1.12	0.99	0.76	1.16	1.11	1.08
C13b The board chair works constantly to develop the	Mean	4.68	4.79	4.44	4.13	4.67	4.65	4.41	3.86	4.47
boards working processes	Std	1.70	1.58	1.67	1.57	1.56	1.38	1.51	1.68	1.61
C13c The board chair performs										
open and trustworthy	Mean	5.65	5.83	5.53	5.71	5.58	5.83	5.45	5.31	5.62
leadership	Std	1.33	1.09	1.54	1.32	1.29	1.27	1.37	1.47	1.36
C13d The board chair is always		5.00	5.0 5	F 70	5 45	5.07	0.05	5 04	404	5.00
very well prepared for the	Mean	5.83	5.86	5.73	5.45	5.67	6.00	5.31	4.94	5.63
board meetings	Std	1.37	1.14	1.33	1.47	1.16	0.93	1.34	1.55	1.32

Board meetings

Table 55 Board meeting duration and frequency

CEO					F	irm typ	е			
CEO		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
D1a How many hours does an ordinary board meeting in your firm most often last?	Mean Std	3.68 2.92	4.61 1.31	3.50 1.20	3.99 6.13	3.48 1.45	5.21 2.26	2.69 1.45	3.42 1.74	3.52 2.92
D1b How many board meetings with physical presence were held in 2004?	Mean Std	6.78 2.71	7.94 2.96	5.15 2.15	4.88 2.21	5.04 2.35	8.76 2.66	5.16 4.08	5.35 2.54	5.46 2.95

Doord sheirman				F	irm typ	e			
Board chairperson	ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N. 49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
D1a How many hours does an ordinary board meeting in your firm most often last?	Mean 3.72 Std 0.92	4.44 1.17	3.84 1.47	3.41 1.11	3.37 1.22	6.00 2.00	2.69 1.54	2.97 1.33	3.55 1.49
D1b How many board meetings with physical presence were held in 2004?	Mean 7.31 Std 3.72	9.32 3.36	6.15 2.28	5.69 2.05	5.42 2.23	9.26 1.94	4.68 2.16	5.64 2.57	6.20 2.89

Board member	Firm type											
	A	SA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total		
-	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841		
D1a How many hours does an ordinary board meeting in your firm most often last?	Mean 3 Std 1	.66	4.67 1.56	3.87 1.15	3.29 0.92	3.85 1.27	6.76 3.16	2.90 1.18	3.11 1.03	3.90 1.67		
D1b How many board meetings with physical presence were held in 2005?	Mean 7		9.68 5.04	5.90 2.54	5.36 1.96	5.74 2.40	9.47 2.78	4.91 2.56	7.03 3.31	6.55 3.38		

Table 56 Change of board meeting frequency

CEO/Bo	ard chairperson			•	
	frequency of board increased from	С	EO	Board ch	airperson
2004 to 2		Yes	N.	Yes	N.
	ASA	17%	69	22%	46
	В	25%	52	16%	49
	AS-L	9%	199	15%	91
- :	AS-M	14%	151	14%	72
Firm type	AS-S	13%	179	10%	88
*1	С	20%	20	11%	19
	S-U	15%	186	14%	83
	SMB	9%	71	0%	27
	Total	14%	927	14%	475

Table 57 Board meetings as formal and social value creating arenas

CEO					F	irm type	е			
D2 How many times in 2004 were there		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	74	55	205	158	186	22	203	77	980
a board proceedings without physical presence (e.g. phone meetings, e- mail, fax "meetings")	Mean Std	2.72 2.92	2.83 4.63	1.30 2.44	1.49 2.52	2.95 15.10	1.24 1.37	2.95 8.48	1.96 3.18	2.22 7.96
b a "social" board dinner or a similar event together with the board meetings?	Mean Std	1.28 1.67	1.77 1.28	0.95 1.06	0.89 1.27	1.00 1.11	2.40 2.30	1.02 1.33	1.18 1.85	1.08 1.35
c board meetings that lasted for two days or more?	Mean Std	0.30 0.57	0.90 0.67	0.37 0.67	0.15 0.46	0.26 0.51	1.85 2.32	0.14 0.55	0.38 0.62	0.32 0.72

Board chairperson					F	irm typ	е			
D2 How many times in 2004 were there		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a board proceedings without physical presence (e.g. phone meetings, e- mail, fax "meetings")	Mean Std		3.06 2.81	1.80 2.46	1.68 3.17	2.26 3.81	1.41 1.50	2.34 4.11	7.91 34.64	2.69 9.57
b a "social" board dinner or a similar event together with the board meetings?	Mean Std	1.20 1.09	1.87 1.84	1.10 1.29	1.00 1.24	1.01 1.28	3.35 2.67	0.78 0.99	1.06 1.14	1.17 1.42
c board meetings that lasted for two days or more?	Mean Std	0.52 0.59	1.08 0.68	0.49 0.66	0.17 0.45	0.26 0.53	2.78 2.58	0.14 0.38	0.24 0.50	0.47 0.89

Board member					F	irm Typ	е			
D2 How many times in 2005 were there		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Word there	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a board proceedings without physical presence (e.g. phone meetings, e- mail, fax "meetings")	Mean Std	2.01 1.83	2.63 2.37	1.17 1.72	1.31 2.44	1.52 2.68	1.04 1.69	1.57 5.25	0.76 1.39	1.52 2.74
b a "social" board dinne or a similar event together with the board meetings?	r Mean Std	1.25 0.84	2.17 2.00	1.26 1.39	1.18 1.45	0.87 1.08	4.57 3.85	1.12 1.25	1.13 1.31	1.52 1.90
c board meetings that lasted for two days or more?	Mean Std	0.37 0.52	1.37 1.42	0.38 0.59	0.26 0.47	0.37 0.70	3.77 3.87	0.20 0.49	0.24 0.56	0.69 1.51

Board structures and norms

Table 58 Board structure and decision-making

Tubic 30 Bourd Birticiti	e cirrei	ciccisi	on me	men 8						
CEO										
1 = disagree 5 = agree					F	irm typ	e			
D4 To what degree do you		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
agree that (per October 10th 2005) there is	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a a nomination committee	Mean	2.15	3.34	2.28	2.29	1.64	3.86	1.69	1.85	2.09
present in your firm?	Std	1.58	1.78	1.56	1.53	1.18	1.42	1.28	1.40	1.51
b an audit- or control committee present in your	Mean	1.89	2.65	2.00	1.74	1.63	3.14	1.54	1.61	1.82
firm?	Std	1.33	1.77	1.41	1.15	1.15	1.81	1.05	1.08	1.30
c a compensation committee present in your	Mean	1.87	2.98	1.64	1.58	1.43	1.86	1.37	1.61	1.63
firm?	Std	1.43	1.71	1.16	1.01	0.92	1.58	0.78	1.11	1.16
d a written work description for the CEO	Mean	4.03	4.26	4.07	3.74	3.66	4.32	3.20	3.64	3.74
present in your firm?	Std	1.38	1.18	1.39	1.50	1.51	1.29	1.59	1.44	1.50
e an instruction for the board (including assembly	Mean	3.85	4.35	3.91	3.77	3.48	4.18	2.94	3.65	3.62
regulations) present in your firm?	Std	1.34	1.14	1.40	1.39	1.60	1.26	1.47	1.50	1.49
f regular board evaluation	Mean	3.13	3.57	2.66	2.51	2.63	3.45	2.42	3.01	2.71
in your firm?	Std	1.36	1.39	1.44	1.23	1.44	1.26	1.27	1.37	1.39
g regular board developing activity (like	Mean	1.90	2.43	2.06	2.10	2.01	3.09	2.01	2.28	2.10
training and courses) in your firm?	Std	1.07	1.20	1.03	1.10	1.09	1.38	1.14	1.20	1.12
h board meetings held without the presence of the	Mean	1.63	1.91	1.45	1.55	1.59	1.82	1.56	1.62	1.58
CEO in your firm?	Std	1.06	1.31	1.04	1.04	1.10	1.30	1.17	1.18	1.11

CEO continues		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
i clear regulations on board member compensation in your firm?	Mean Std	4.16 1.21	4.39 1.12	4.43 1.13	4.15 1.36	4.27 1.26	4.86 0.47	3.32 1.67	4.19 1.43	4.10 1.39
iii your iiiiii.	Siu	1.21	1.12	1.10	1.50	1.20	0.47	1.07	1.40	1.00
j information in the annual report about board activity and independence of the board members?	Mean Std	3.14 1.30	4.02 1.20	3.06 1.42	3.08 1.45	3.05 1.44	3.95 0.90	2.90 1.42	3.18 1.35	3.12 1.42
k a soundly worked through policy on stakeholder relations, including regulations on ethical accounting, corporate	Mean	3.39	4.09	3.63	3.59	3.45	3.95	3.19	3.56	3.51
responsibility etc.?	Std	1.43	1.10	1.34	1.35	1.37	1.00	1.45	1.32	1.37
I regulation on how to ratify and follow up on board decisions?	Mean Std	3.78 1.23	3.94 1.02	3.74 1.27	3.76 1.19	3.69 1.27	4.30 1.03	3.33 1.33	3.77 1.12	3.68 1.25
m regulations that allows										
board members to get information from employees and managers without consulting the CEO?	Mean Std	2.63 1.46	2.58 1.56	2.57 1.42	2.51 1.43	2.38 1.46	2.64 1.18	2.40 1.42	2.24 1.33	2.47 1.43
n a thorough introduction to the firm for new board members?	Mean Std	3.26 1.28	3.62 1.21	2.93 1.34	2.87 1.32	2.73	3.73 0.83	2.49 1.40	3.04 1.40	2.88

Board chairperson 1 = disagree 5 = agreeFirm type D4 ASA В AS-L AS-M AS-S С S-U SMB Total To what degree do you agree that (per October 10th 2005) there is.. N. 49 55 97 82 101 20 97 37 538 $a\, \dots \, a \,\, nomination$ 1.81 2.43 3.55 2.33 1.57 4.39 1.82 1.76 2.19 Mean committee present in your firm? Std 1.76 1.77 1.67 1.40 1.19 1.42 1.41 1.39 1.65 b ... an audit- or control Mean 1.78 3.02 2.04 1.73 1.49 3.67 1.52 1.64 1.91 committee present in your firm? Std 1.39 1.84 1.39 1.26 0.98 1.71 1.06 1.41 1.11 c ... a compensation 2.10 2.96 2.44 1.35 1.52 1.72 Mean 1.71 1.44 1.36 committee present in your firm? Std 1.61 1.25 0.99 0.95 1.59 0.75 0.94 1.29 1.76 d ... a written work 4.20 4.56 4.33 4.13 3.77 4.61 3.25 3.94 4.00 Mean description for the CEO present in your firm? 1.22 0.76 1.10 0.70 1.50 1.33 Std 1.31 1.40 1.37 e ... an instruction for the board (including assembly 4.14 4.53 4.05 3.95 3.66 4.56 3.17 3.52 3.85 Mean regulations) present in your 1.34 0.94 1.40 1.31 1.53 0.98 1.52 firm? Std 1.42 1.43 Mean 3.35 4.09 3.16 2.94 2.75 4.16 2.59 2.78 3.08 f ... regular board evaluation in your firm? Std 1.54 1.14 1.40 1.30 1.44 1.12 1.30 1.21 1.42 g ... regular board developing activity (like 2.06 1.95 3.16 2.24 2.20 Mean 1.94 2.29 2.27 2.47 training and courses) in your 1.05 firm? Std 1.07 1.14 1.28 1.22 1.09 1.26 1.19 1.19 h ... board meetings held Mean 2.13 2.31 1.75 1.73 1.67 2.21 1.72 1.64 1.83 without the presence of the CEO in your firm? Std 1.48 1.50 1.11 1.19 1.17 1.32 1.25 1.03

Board chairperson continues		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
i clear regulations on board member compensation in your firm?	Mean Std	3.98 1.31	4.49 1.00	4.42 1.17	4.04 1.37	4.05 1.45	4.74 0.56	3.50 1.67	4.12 1.34	4.09 1.38
j information in the annual report about board activity and independence of the board members?	Mean Std	3.00 1.38	4.07 1.14	3.08 1.55	3.24 1.43	2.87 1.47	4.16 1.17	2.73 1.32	3.13 1.41	3.15 1.45
k a soundly worked through policy on stakeholder relations, including regulations on ethical accounting, corporate responsibility etc.?	Mean Std	3.14	3.87	3.78	3.79	3.22	4.42 0.61	3.38	3.52	3.56
I regulation on how to ratify and follow up on board decisions?	Mean Std	3.70	4.36 0.86	4.01	4.00 0.99	3.67 1.27	4.39 0.85	3.49	3.85	3.86
m regulations that allows board members to get information from employees and managers without consulting the CEO?	Mean Std	2.35 1.41	2.91 1.36	2.61 1.45	2.82 1.48	2.43 1.37	2.47 1.07	2.47 1.39	2.79 1.47	2.60 1.41
n a thorough introduction to the firm for new board members?	Mean Std	3.22 1.28	3.47 0.94	3.30 1.14	3.20 1.28	3.04 1.27	3.53 1.12	2.49	3.09 1.23	3.10 1.26

Board member										
1 = disagree 5 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
C3 To what degree do you		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Tota
agree that (per January 1st 2006) there is	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a a nomination committee	Mean	3.11	3.80	2.99	2.66	2.24	3.93	2.08	2.72	2.90
present in your firm?	Std	1.80	1.53	1.69	1.67	1.44	1.59	1.47	1.68	1.71
b an audit- or control	Mean	2.51	3.44	2.13	1.96	1.89	4.22	1.64	1.83	2.3
committee present in your firm?	Std	1.66	1.68	1.46	1.28	1.28	1.33	1.04	1.23	1.57
c a compensation committee present in your	Mean	2.42	2.91	1.61	1.54	1.55	2.00	1.49	1.47	1.8
firm?	Std	1.55	1.62	1.01	0.91	0.90	1.28	0.86	1.00	1.24
d a written work description for the CEO	Mean	4.18	4.48	4.09	3.99	3.92	4.72	3.49	3.89	4.07
present in your firm?	Std	1.17	0.91	1.19	1.34	1.28	0.68	1.40	1.21	1.23
e an instruction for the board (including assembly										
regulations) present in your	Mean	4.23	4.58	4.06	4.17	4.00	4.84	3.62	4.06	4.16
firm?	Std	1.27	0.83	1.30	1.14	1.26	0.42	1.39	1.24	1.21
f regular board evaluation	Mean	3.29	3.67	2.93	2.73	3.23	4.00	2.81	3.22	3.10
in your firm?	Std	1.41	1.47	1.44	1.38	1.54	1.08	1.40	1.51	1.46
g regular board developing activity (like										
training and courses) in your	Mean	2.04	2.66	2.36	2.29	2.31	3.67	2.33	2.53	2.4
firm?	Std	1.07	1.35	1.33	1.24	1.31	1.13	1.25	1.40	1.32
h board meetings held without the presence of the	Mean	2.11	2.40	1.63	1.45	1.74	2.22	1.85	1.25	1.8
CEO in your firm?	Std	1.37	1.59	1.12	0.96	1.31	1.57	1.25	0.65	1.29

Board member continues		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
i clear regulations on board member compensation in your firm?	Mean	4.48	4.51	4.18	4.17	4.32	4.91	3.44	4.44	4.23
	Std	1.01	1.06	1.32	1.29	1.09	0.35	1.61	1.21	1.27
j information in the annual report about board activity and independence of the board members?	Mean	3.66	4.15	3.32	3.27	3.33	4.42	3.35	3.03	3.52
	Std	1.17	1.09	1.45	1.39	1.36	0.83	1.34	1.20	1.35
k a soundly worked through policy on stakeholder relations, including regulations on ethical accounting, corporate responsibility etc.?	Mean Std	3.89 1.27	4.17 1.15	3.74 1.27	3.72 1.29	3.66 1.23	4.48 0.79	3.38 1.36	3.64 1.31	3.80 1.27
I regulation on how to ratify and follow up on board decisions?	Mean	3.96	4.05	3.73	3.81	3.71	4.22	3.51	3.49	3.80
	Std	1.17	1.03	1.24	1.28	1.27	0.79	1.32	1.29	1.22
m regulations that allows board members to get information from employees and managers without consulting the CEO?	Mean Std	2.15 1.13	2.60 1.41	2.37 1.39	2.40 1.31	2.42 1.35	2.77 1.23	2.47 1.37	2.09 1.25	2.42 1.34
n a thorough introduction to the firm for new board members?	Mean	3.07	3.35	2.75	2.97	3.06	3.60	2.59	2.81	2.97
	Std	1.24	1.35	1.37	1.35	1.27	1.01	1.29	1.47	1.34

Table 59 Board structure and agendasetting

				-0						
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type)			
D6 In our firm the agenda and content of the board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
meetings are decided by	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a board regulations and	Mean	4.60	5.44	4.94	4.41	4.51	5.50	4.01	4.44	4.56
annual plans	Std	1.95	1.55	1.80	1.99	2.02	1.65	1.99	2.05	1.96
b proposals from previous	Mean	4.80	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.34	4.64	4.49	4.67	4.47
meetings	Std	1.48	1.27	1.65	1.83	1.59	1.36	1.78	1.74	1.66
c ad hoc issues.	Mean	4.15	3.44	3.35	3.58	3.81	3.27	4.40	4.21	3.82
c au noc issues.	Std	1.65	1.49	1.56	1.77	1.71	1.35	1.68	1.57	1.69

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
D6 In our firm the agenda and content of the board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
meetings are decided by	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a board regulations and	Mean	4.98	5.22	5.32	4.91	4.74	5.84	3.91	4.00	4.79
annual plans	Std	1.95	1.79	1.63	1.78	1.87	.83	1.98	2.21	1.90
b proposals from previous	Mean	4.32	4.11	4.49	4.24	4.45	4.28	4.24	3.74	4.28
meetings	Std	1.70	1.45	1.64	1.57	1.61	1.27	1.68	1.69	1.61
c ad hoc issues.	Mean	3.53	3.49	3.48	3.64	3.79	3.28	4.30	4.09	3.75
	Std	1.35	1 72	1 69	1 68	1.80	1 49	1 68	1 67	1 69

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
C6 In our firm the agenda and content of the board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
meetings are decided by	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a board regulations and	Mean	4.92	5.32	5.21	4.87	4.93	5.65	3.88	4.72	4.96
annual plans	Std	1.63	1.60	1.77	1.84	1.76	1.31	1.90	1.97	1.80
b proposals from previous	Mean	4.79	4.78	4.78	4.68	4.35	4.52	4.53	4.86	4.67
meetings	Std	1.42	1.35	1.54	1.49	1.69	1.46	1.61	1.36	1.51
c ad hoc issues.	Mean	3.76	4.05	3.66	4.07	3.93	3.50	4.36	4.23	3.92
	Std	1.63	1.72	1.79	1.81	1.66	1.70	1.73	1.77	1.75

Table 60 Division of labor

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree D12					F	irm type	е			
In our board there is a distinct division of labor		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
between	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a the board chairperson	Mean	5.89	6.07	6.00	5.73	5.67	6.36	5.14	5.75	5.71
and the CEO	Std	1.31	1.10	1.26	1.55	1.51	0.85	1.99	1.43	1.56
b the board chairperson and the other board members	Mean Std	4.99 1.54	5.43 1.40	5.34 1.49	5.05 1.67	5.32 1.41	5.73 1.12	4.83 1.78	5.25 1.55	5.17 1.58
c the different board members (e.g. through division of special tasks).	Mean Std	3.65 1.85	3.94 1.82	3.74 1.93	3.89 1.85	3.93 1.90	3.29 1.55	4.32 1.93	4.13 1.86	3.94 1.89

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree D11					F	irm type	е			
In our board there is a distinct division of labor		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
between	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a the board chairperson	Mean	6.00	6.40	6.20	5.86	5.60	6.40	5.42	5.51	5.86
and the CEO	Std	1.37	0.81	1.14	1.43	1.79	.68	1.72	1.76	1.49
b the board chairperson and the other board	Mean	5.83	5.85	5.64	5.43	5.31	5.55	5.28	5.09	5.48
members	Std	1.29	1.06	1.38	1.59	1.55	1.36	1.51	1.52	1.45
c the different board members (e.g. through	Mean	3.83	3.80	3.39	4.06	3.85	3.95	4.63	3.62	3.92
division of special tasks).	Std	2.06	2.00	1.90	1.82	1.92	1.99	1.88	1.95	1.95

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree C11					F	irm typ	е			
In our board there is a distinct division of labor		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
between	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a the board chairperson	Mean	6.00	5.86	5.88	5.78	5.53	6.08	5.14	5.25	5.72
and the CEO	Std	1.33	1.33	1.43	1.60	1.72	0.98	1.97	1.75	1.56
b the board chairperson and the other board	Mean	5.46	5.61	5.64	5.40	5.33	5.69	4.98	4.47	5.42
members	Std	1.38	1.35	1.47	1.65	1.64	1.13	1.80	1.73	1.56
c the different board members (e.g. through	Mean	3.53	3.73	3.61	3.73	3.70	3.81	3.98	3.66	3.71
division of special tasks).	Std	1.90	1.95	1.94	1.98	1.88	1.71	1.98	1.89	1.92

Evaluation

Table 61 Board evaluation

Table 01 Board evalue	шоп									
Board member					F	irm type	е			
1 = disagree		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
7 = agree	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
C4a We have a thorough evaluation of every board	Mean	2.26	2.35	2.40	2.52	2.99	1.98	3.16	2.72	2.55
meeting	Std	1.64	1.62	1.67	1.74	1.94	1.05	1.78	1.85	1.72
C4b Annually we have a thorough evaluation of the board's improvement potential	Mean Std	4.03 2.13	4.43 2.06	3.21 1.90	2.94	3.76 1.97	4.20 1.63	3.60 2.01	3.57 1.77	3.59 1.99
C4c We have board evaluation addressed to those who elect board members	Mean Std	2.64 1.72	3.00 1.84	2.11 1.41	2.11 1.40	2.66 1.76	2.77 1.58	2.38 1.56	2.57 1.65	2.43 1.60
C4d My opinions are heard through existing board evaluations	Mean Std	4.58 1.90	4.84 1.73	3.77 1.91	3.79 2.04	4.20 2.07	4.76 1.71	4.46 1.91	4.03 1.94	4.20 1.95
BM C4e The conclusions from board evaluations are not followed up	Mean Std	2.75 1.54	2.60 1.26	2.64 1.52	2.87 1.63	2.46 1.51	2.60 1.38	2.77	2.55 1.63	2.67 1.52

8 Decision making culture

Decision making process

Table 62 Decision making process

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
E1 The board meetings are		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
characterized by	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a very thorough	Mean	4.94	5.54	4.91	4.76	4.95	5.50	4.98	5.14	4.98
discussions	Std	1.32	0.97	1.34	1.44	1.34	1.14	1.47	1.19	1.36
b very creative	Mean	4.38	4.87	4.39	4.69	4.80	4.41	5.06	5.16	4.74
discussions (ref. Table 64)	Std	1.43	1.23	1.44	1.55	1.39	1.01	1.43	1.32	1.44
c very fast decision	Mean	4.57	4.67	4.81	5.11	4.94	4.09	5.27	5.00	4.95
making	Std	1.49	1.33	1.44	1.47	1.49	1.27	1.45	1.36	1.46
d very long discussions	Mean	3.76	3.76	3.31	3.29	3.36	4.64	3.68	3.57	3.50
a very long discussions	Std	1.25	1.40	1.49	1.52	1.34	1.33	1.53	1.40	1.46
E2b										
We often need more time than what is allocated to	Mean	3.04	3.26	2.56	2.80	2.95	3.05	3.18	2.97	2.92
single issues	Std	1.67	1.60	1.48	1.65	1.55	1.68	1.72	1.59	1.62

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
E1 The board meetings are		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
characterized by	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a very thorough	Mean	5.35	5.61	5.22	5.13	4.99	5.70	4.91	5.51	5.20
discussions	Std	1.21	1.11	1.44	1.32	1.33	.86	1.52	1.42	1.36
b very creative	Mean	5.13	4.98	4.92	5.10	5.24	4.72	5.16	5.67	5.12
discussions (ref. Table 64)	Std	1.42	1.17	1.43	1.27	1.33	1.45	1.44	1.10	1.35
c very fast decision	Mean	5.06	4.06	4.84	4.85	5.40	4.63	5.28	4.66	4.95
making	Std	1.36	1.69	1.58	1.67	1.50	1.26	1.58	1.61	1.60
d very long discussions	Mean	3.77	3.59	3.29	3.33	3.17	3.47	2.92	3.17	3.28
a very long discussions	Std	1.59	1.39	1.49	1.43	1.35	1.71	1.54	1.36	1.48
E2b										
We often need more time than what is allocated to	Mean	3.22	2.81	2.64	2.49	2.69	2.90	2.70	2.46	2.70
single issues	Std	1.70	1.45	1.54	1.41	1.57	1.65	1.61	1.40	1.54

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
D3		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board meetings are characterized by	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a very thorough	Mean	5.22	5.60	4.90	4.76	5.10	5.49	4.80	5.14	5.06
discussions	Std	1.20	0.99	1.59	1.57	1.24	1.23	1.50	1.17	1.42
b very creative	Mean	4.65	4.99	4.63	4.65	4.81	4.96	4.86	4.77	4.76
discussions (ref. Table 64)	Std	1.39	1.33	1.49	1.49	1.40	1.24	1.51	1.17	1.43
c very fast decision	Mean	4.55	4.52	4.62	4.73	4.58	4.04	5.01	4.77	4.63
making	Std	1.22	1.31	1.47	1.47	1.40	1.40	1.48	1.40	1.43
d very long discussions	Mean	3.54	4.17	3.83	3.66	3.59	4.26	3.65	3.71	3.80
a very long discussions	Std	1.28	1.43	1.39	1.54	1.13	1.44	1.52	1.43	1.42
D4b We often need more time than what is allocated to	Mean	2.84	3.25	2.82	3.09	2.99	3.60	3.16	2.69	3.03
single issues	Std	1.35	1.59	1.64	1.72	1.41	1.78	1.69	1.62	1.62

Preparation and involvement

Table 63 Preparation and involvement

Table 05 Preparation an	a invol	vemer	u							
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	Э			
E5		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Preparation and involvement. Our board members	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a prioritize substantial and enough time regarding their assignment as board members in the firm	Mean Std	4.72 1.35	5.11 1.31	4.67 1.47	4.42 1.58	4.63 1.49	5.32 1.09	4.52 1.62	4.81 1.44	4.65 1.50
b are always available if the board activity demands it	Mean Std	5.25 1.53	5.33 1.29	5.28 1.34	5.37 1.40	5.27 1.46	5.36 1.26	5.18 1.53	5.58 1.36	5.30 1.42
c are always very well prepared for the board meetings	Mean Std	4.68 1.54	5.15 1.35	4.80 1.30	4.79 1.39	4.96 1.35	5.14 1.25	4.79 1.45	5.01 1.33	4.86 1.38

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
E5 Preparation and involvement. Our board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
members	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a prioritize substantial and enough time regarding their assignment as board	Mean	5.36	5.80	5.37	4.96	5.17	5.65	4.69	5.40	5.21
members in the firm	Std	1.22	0.93	1.31	1.50	1.46	1.14	1.60	1.52	1.42
b are always available if	Mean	5.72	5.75	5.51	5.61	5.76	5.65	5.70	5.56	5.66
the board activity demands it	Std	1.23	1.02	1.19	1.32	1.33	1.04	1.35	1.34	1.26
c are always very well prepared for the board	Mean	5.19	5.82	5.41	5.19	5.42	5.80	5.09	5.46	5.36
meetings	Std	1.14	0.86	1.20	1.09	1.14	0.95	1.38	1.09	1.17

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
D7 Preparation and involvement. Our board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
members	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a prioritize substantial and enough time regarding their										
assignment as board	Mean	5.36	5.48	4.87	4.75	4.87	5.58	4.53	4.43	4.96
members in the firm	Std	1.14	1.09	1.44	1.62	1.38	0.86	1.38	1.48	1.40
b are always available if	Mean	5.51	5.49	5.39	5.41	5.31	5.42	5.34	5.03	5.39
the board activity demands it	Std	1.24	1.20	1.26	1.40	1.35	0.91	1.31	1.64	1.29
c are always very well prepared for the board	Mean	5.43	5.48	5.09	4.96	5.01	5.47	4.68	4.69	5.10
meetings	Std	1.08	1.01	1.23	1.39	1.34	0.77	1.41	1.64	1.28

Cohesiveness

T 11	1	α .	
Lanie	04	Cohesiveness	'

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
E9 Perception of belonging. Our		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
board members	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a appreciate being together in the meetings	Mean Std	4.89 1.31	4.94 1.25	4.86 1.32	4.68 1.29	4.91 1.32	5.05 1.17	5.22 1.39	5.47 1.11	4.97 1.32
b have very good atmosphere together in the board meetings	Mean Std	5.42 1.07	5.46 1.16	5.36 1.28	5.37 1.09	5.44 1.15	5.59 0.67	5.65 1.15	5.88 0.96	5.49 1.15
c highly prioritize being a member of this board	Mean Std	4.94 1.32	5.40 1.29	5.01 1.35	4.88 1.45	4.99 1.38	5.50 1.10	5.21 1.38	5.55 1.26	5.10 1.37

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
E9 Perception of belonging. Our		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
board members	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
				,		•				
a appreciate being	Mean	4.92	5.37	4.99	5.16	5.17	5.75	5.48	5.46	5.23
together in the meetings	Std	1.20	1.03	1.18	1.17	1.24	0.97	1.22	1.12	1.19
b have very good atmosphere together in the	Mean	5.41	5.85	5.56	5.74	5.83	6.10	5.92	6.06	5.77
board meetings	Std	1.02	0.88	1.07	1.12	0.95	0.79	1.05	0.92	1.02
c highly prioritize being a	Mean	5.08	5.52	5.26	5.08	5.31	6.05	5.29	5.66	5.31
member of this board	Std	1.48	1.16	1.22	1.45	1.26	0.94	1.49	1.28	1.34

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
D11 Perception of belonging. Our		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
board members	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a appreciate being together in the meetings	Mean Std	4.96 1.32	5.37 1.13	5.00 1.43	5.04 1.33	5.29 1.22	5.53 1.12	5.10 1.38	5.06 1.19	5.13 1.31
b have very good atmosphere together in the board meetings	Mean Std	5.64 1.14	5.70 0.97	5.60 1.17	5.61 1.17	5.74 1.03	5.81 1.00	5.36 1.40	5.77 0.97	5.63 1.14
c highly prioritize being a member of this board	Mean Std	5.29 1.23	5.61 1.08	5.24 1.34	5.22 1.42	5.44 1.37	5.96 0.81	5.11 1.44	5.14 1.46	5.34 1.32

Generosity and openness

Table 65 Generosity and openness

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree						Firm typ	e			
E7 Generosity and openness.		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M		С	S-U	SMB	Total
Our board members	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a accept and acknowledge the possibility that they might be wrong in their considerations	Mean Std	5.30 1.27	5.26 1.17	5.04 1.33	4.85 1.34	5.36 1.11	5.09 0.97	5.14 1.39	5.21 1.27	5.14 1.29
b willingly offer advice based on private	Mean	5.73	5.83	5.50	5.39	5.66	5.68	5.56	5.79	5.59
knowledge, ideas and views	Std	1.18	1.08	1.16	1.23	1.06	0.78	1.23	1.03	1.15
ccommunicate their personal preferences and considerations open and	Mean	5.63	5.61	5.37	5.05	5.56	5.41	5.53	5.81	5.45
freely	Std	1.14	1.11	1.20	1.33	1.19	0.80	1.24	0.94	1.21

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
E7 Generosity and openness.		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Our board members	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a accept and acknowledge the possibility that they might be wrong in their considerations	Mean Std	5.49 1.21	5.85 1.02	5.51 1.20	5.40 1.30	5.58 1.15	5.60 0.88	5.52 1.14	5.83 0.88	5.57 1.15
b willingly offer advice based on private knowledge, ideas and views	Mean Std	5.94 0.92	5.98 1.02	5.75 1.18	5.80 1.11	6.03 0.98	5.70 0.73	5.82 1.19	5.92 0.97	5.87 1.07
ccommunicate their personal preferences and considerations open and freely	Mean Std	5.84 1.12	5.72 1.08	5.58 1.15	5.81 1.06	5.86 1.11	5.50 1.32	5.73 1.23	5.83 1.25	5.75 1.15

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
D9 Generosity and openness.		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Our board members	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a accept and acknowledge the possibility that they might be wrong in their considerations	Mean Std	5.19 1.22	5.32 1.08	5.20 1.20	5.07 1.27	5.21 1.13	5.19 0.98	4.98 1.41	4.89 1.43	5.15 1.21
b willingly offer advice based on private knowledge,	Mean	5.59	5.85	5.53	5.44	5.59	5.49	5.27	5.51	5.54
ideas and views	Std	1.34	0.95	1.20	1.11	1.02	0.80	1.44	1.20	1.16
ccommunicate their personal preferences and considerations open and freely	Mean Std	5.62 1.13	5.76 1.02	5.29 1.34	5.36 1.18	5.56 1.19	5.28 1.06	5.44 1.35	5.31 1.30	5.44 1.23

Board dynamics

 Table 66
 Board meeting dynamics

ig aynı	amics								
				F	irm typ	е			
	ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
Mean	5.11	4.52	4.86	4.86	4.76	4.23	4.87	5.11	4.85
Std	1.16	1.31	1.45	1.52	1.44	1.23	1.70	1.33	1.48
Mean	4.97	5.02	5.11	5.13	4.90	5.05	4.88	5.04	5.01
Std	1.28	1.25	1.35	1.38	1.38	1.17	1.54	1.20	1.37
Mean	3.51	3.83	3.35	3.37	3.71	3.86	3.65	3.67	3.56
Std	1.30	1.34	1.38	1.52	1.41	1.20	1.54	1.40	1.44
Mean	5.56	5.26	4.68	5.06	5.42	4.95	5.82	5.67	5.30
Std	1.38	1.64	1.74	1.76	1.52	1.50	1.41	1.54	1.63
Mean	4.11	4.08	3.82	3.86	4.06	3.59	4.53	4.68	4.11
Std	1 42	1.31	1 47	1 49	1 50	1 14	1 64	1 46	1.52
Old	1.72	1.01	1.47	1.43	1.50	1.14	1.04	1.40	1.02
Mean	3.88	3.76	3.65	3.77	4.04	3.45	4.54	4.60	4.01
Std	1.39	1.44	1.36	1.46	1.50	0.86	1.54	1.40	1.48
Mean	2.44	2.43	2.17	2.38	2.40	2.27	3.11	2.84	2.53
Std	1.45	1.19	1.33	1.48	1.28	1.58	1.75	1.62	1.50
	N. Mean Std Mean Std Mean Std Mean Std Mean Std Mean Std Mean	N. 74 Mean 5.11 Std 1.16 Mean 4.97 Std 1.28 Mean 3.51 Std 1.30 Mean 5.56 Std 1.38 Mean 4.11 Std 1.42 Mean 3.88 Std 1.39 Mean 2.44	ASA B N. 74 55 Mean 5.11 4.52 Std 1.16 1.31 Mean 4.97 5.02 Std 1.28 1.25 Mean 3.51 3.83 Std 1.30 1.34 Mean 5.56 5.26 Std 1.38 1.64 Mean 4.11 4.08 Std 1.42 1.31 Mean 3.88 3.76 Std 1.39 1.44 Mean 2.44 2.43	ASA B AS-L N. 74 55 205 Mean 5.11 4.52 4.86 Std 1.16 1.31 1.45 Mean 4.97 5.02 5.11 Std 1.28 1.25 1.35 Mean 3.51 3.83 3.35 Std 1.30 1.34 1.38 Mean 5.56 5.26 4.68 Std 1.38 1.64 1.74 Mean 4.11 4.08 3.82 Std 1.42 1.31 1.47 Mean 3.88 3.76 3.65 Std 1.39 1.44 1.36 Mean 2.44 2.43 2.17	ASA B AS-L AS-M N. 74 55 205 158 Mean 5.11 4.52 4.86 4.86 Std 1.16 1.31 1.45 1.52 Mean 4.97 5.02 5.11 5.13 Std 1.28 1.25 1.35 1.38 Mean 3.51 3.83 3.35 3.37 Std 1.30 1.34 1.38 1.52 Mean 5.56 5.26 4.68 5.06 Std 1.38 1.64 1.74 1.76 Mean 4.11 4.08 3.82 3.86 Std 1.42 1.31 1.47 1.49 Mean 3.88 3.76 3.65 3.77 Std 1.39 1.44 1.36 1.46 Mean 2.44 2.43 2.17 2.38	Firm type ASA B AS-L AS-M AS-S N. 74 55 205 158 185 Mean 5.11 4.52 4.86 4.86 4.76 Std 1.16 1.31 1.45 1.52 1.44 Mean 4.97 5.02 5.11 5.13 4.90 Std 1.28 1.25 1.35 1.38 1.38 Mean 3.51 3.83 3.35 3.37 3.71 Std 1.30 1.34 1.38 1.52 1.41 Mean 5.56 5.26 4.68 5.06 5.42 Std 1.38 1.64 1.74 1.76 1.52 Mean 4.11 4.08 3.82 3.86 4.06 Std 1.42 1.31 1.47 1.49 1.50 Mean 3.88 3.76 3.65 3.77 4.04 Std 1.39 1.44 1.36 1.46 1.50 Mean 2.44 2.43 2.17 2.38 2.40	Firm type ASA B AS-L AS-M AS-S C N. 74 55 205 158 185 22 Mean 5.11 4.52 4.86 4.86 4.76 4.23 Std 1.16 1.31 1.45 1.52 1.44 1.23 Mean 4.97 5.02 5.11 5.13 4.90 5.05 Std 1.28 1.25 1.35 1.38 1.38 1.17 Mean 3.51 3.83 3.35 3.37 3.71 3.86 Std 1.30 1.34 1.38 1.52 1.41 1.20 Mean 5.56 5.26 4.68 5.06 5.42 4.95 Std 1.38 1.64 1.74 1.76 1.52 1.50 Mean 4.11 4.08 3.82 3.86 4.06 3.59 Std 1.42 1.31 1.47 1.49 1.50 1.14 Mean 3.88 3.76 3.65 3.77 4.04 3.45 Std 1.39 1.44 1.36 1.46 1.50 0.86 Mean 2.44 2.43 2.17 2.38 2.40 2.27	Firm type ASA B AS-L AS-M AS-S C S-U N. 74 55 205 158 185 22 203 Mean 5.11 4.52 4.86 4.86 4.76 4.23 4.87 Std 1.16 1.31 1.45 1.52 1.44 1.23 1.70 Mean 4.97 5.02 5.11 5.13 4.90 5.05 4.88 Std 1.28 1.25 1.35 1.38 1.38 1.17 1.54 Mean 3.51 3.83 3.35 3.37 3.71 3.86 3.65 Std 1.30 1.34 1.38 1.52 1.41 1.20 1.54 Mean 5.56 5.26 4.68 5.06 5.42 4.95 5.82 Std 1.38 1.64 1.74 1.76 1.52 1.50 1.41 Mean 4.11 4.08 3.82 3.86 4.06 3.59 4.53 Std 1.42 1.31 1.47 1.49 1.50 1.14 1.64 Mean 3.88 3.76 3.65 3.77 4.04 3.45 4.54 Std 1.39 1.44 1.36 1.46 1.50 0.86 1.54 Mean 2.44 2.43 2.17 2.38 2.40 2.27 3.11	Firm type ASA B AS-L AS-M AS-S C S-U SMB N. 74 55 205 158 185 22 203 77 Mean 5.11 4.52 4.86 4.86 4.76 4.23 4.87 5.11 Std 1.16 1.31 1.45 1.52 1.44 1.23 1.70 1.33 Mean 4.97 5.02 5.11 5.13 4.90 5.05 4.88 5.04 Std 1.28 1.25 1.35 1.38 1.38 1.17 1.54 1.20 Mean 3.51 3.83 3.35 3.37 3.71 3.86 3.65 3.67 Std 1.30 1.34 1.38 1.52 1.41 1.20 1.54 1.40 Mean 5.56 5.26 4.68 5.06 5.42 4.95 5.82 5.67 Std 1.38 1.64 1.74 1.76 1.52 1.50 1.41 1.54 Mean 4.11 4.08 3.82 3.86 4.06 3.59 4.53 4.68 Std 1.42 1.31 1.47 1.49 1.50 1.14 1.64 1.46 Mean 3.88 3.76 3.65 3.77 4.04 3.45 4.54 4.60 Std 1.39 1.44 1.36 1.46 1.50 0.86 1.54 1.40 Mean 2.44 2.43 2.17 2.38 2.40 2.27 3.11 2.84

Board chairperson 1 = disagree Firm type 7 = agree ASA AS-L AS-M AS-S С S-U SMB Total The board meetings are 97 538 mainly dominated by... N. 49 55 82 101 20 97 37 Mean 4.31 4.51 4.84 4.85 4.55 4.61 4.36 3.88 4.55 a ... the CEO Std 1.65 1.45 1.31 1.38 1.61 1.38 1.63 1.67 1.53 Mean 4.73 4.70 4.83 4.89 4.85 5.11 5.11 4.60 4.86 b ... the board chairperson Std 1.33 1.04 1.26 1.38 1.46 1.05 1.43 1.70 1.36 3.56 3.70 3.36 3.50 3.52 3.78 3.54 3.32 3.51 Mean c ... certain board members Std 1.27 1.54 1.35 1.42 1.40 1.56 1.46 1.65 1.43 E4a All board members 6.04 5.93 5.80 6.01 5.91 5.76 Mean 5.76 5.22 5.63 participate actively in board discussions Std 1.13 1.30 1.45 1.51 1.26 1.01 1.33 1.58 1.38 E4b Our board members present Mean 4.71 4.36 4.45 4.49 4.86 4.20 4.81 5.03 4.64 a number of creative and innovative proposals in the meetings (ref. Table 62) Std 1.37 1.35 1.45 1.51 1.29 1.32 1.51 1.38 1.43 E4c Our board finds a number of Mean 4.48 4.02 4.07 4.39 4.52 4.32 4.57 4.38 4.66 creative and innovative Std 1.22 1.49 1.43 1.25 1.24 1.42 1.36 1.29 1.38 solutions (ref. Table 62) Mean 2.17 2.15 2.22 2.44 2.36 2.65 2.69 2.33 2.38 F2a I often want to discuss issues that are not on the agenda Std 1.45 1.27 1.28 1.24 1.40 1.04 1.70 1.59 1.41

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
D5		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board meetings are mainly dominated by	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a the CEO	Mean	4.50	4.25	4.78	4.67	4.40	3.66	4.40	4.83	4.51
	Std	1.61	1.73	1.61	1.75	1.63	1.81	1.88	1.46	1.71
b the board chairperson	Mean	4.91	4.68	5.04	4.88	5.03	4.55	4.68	4.57	4.86
5 the board champercon	Std	1.51	1.46	1.41	1.64	1.32	1.61	1.72	1.65	1.52
c certain board members	Mean	3.32	3.59	3.57	3.51	3.79	3.55	3.55	3.74	3.57
	Std	1.39	1.38	1.53	1.59	1.44	1.41	1.52	1.56	1.49
D6a All board members participate actively in board	Mean	5.58	5.51	4.96	5.24	5.44	5.04	5.52	5.43	5.28
discussions	Std	1.33	1.34	1.75	1.59	1.55	1.36	1.56	1.44	1.57
D6b Our board members present a number of creative and innovative proposals in the meetings (ref. Table 62)	Mean Std	4.32 1.44	4.23 1.36	4.06 1.55	4.19 1.61	4.46 1.37	4.35 1.10	4.41 1.56	4.26 1.40	4.24 1.48
D6c										
Our board finds a number of creative and innovative	Mean	4.01	3.91	3.79	3.83	4.22	4.06	4.26	3.94	3.96
solutions (ref. Table 62)	Std	1.48	1.37	1.38	1.47	1.48	1.17	1.39	1.39	1.41
D4a I often want to discuss issues	Mean	2.38	2.67	2.64	2.68	2.57	2.68	2.82	2.52	2.64
that are not on the agenda	Std	1.27	1.40	1.58	1.44	1.32	1.37	1.56	1.75	1.47

Criticality and independence

Table 67 Critical questioning

Tuble 07 Critical ques		<u> </u>								
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
E6		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Our board members	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a are very active in finding additional information to reports from management	Mean Std	3.92 1.61	3.89 1.37	3.73 1.51	3.73 1.47	4.04 1.56	3.68 1.25	3.91 1.73	4.15 1.48	3.88 1.55
b ask critical questions regarding proposals from management	Mean Std	5.33 1.19	5.46 1.08	4.95 1.42	4.84 1.46	4.92 1.38	4.95 1.13	4.53 1.63	5.15 1.32	4.92 1.44
c ask critical questions regarding information from management	Mean Std	5.04 1.39	4.98 1.45	4.34 1.54	4.37 1.57	4.54 1.52	4.32 1.25	4.19 1.71	4.74 1.41	4.47 1.56

	-									
Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
E6		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Our board members	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a are very active in finding additional information to reports from management	Mean Std	4.33 1.52	4.09 1.31	4.17 1.61	4.16 1.50	3.91 1.46	4.40 1.27	4.05 1.44	4.43 1.52	4.13 1.48
b ask critical questions regarding proposals from management	Mean Std	5.35 1.05	5.59 1.12	4.98 1.49	4.96 1.35	4.99 1.43	5.15 1.31	4.78 1.43	5.17 1.50	5.06 1.38
c ask critical questions regarding information from management	Mean Std	4.69 1.31	4.70 1.33	4.71 1.61	4.52 1.41	4.60 1.57	4.40 1.31	4.56 1.49	4.91 1.65	4.63 1.49

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
D8		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Our board members	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a are very active in finding additional information to reports from management	Mean Std	4.19 1.47	4.00 1.31	3.68 1.48	3.59 1.50	3.98 1.30	3.78 1.12	3.86 1.41	3.54 1.48	3.81 1.42
b asks critical questions regarding proposals from management	Mean Std	5.21 1.39	5.16 1.16	4.83 1.41	4.75 1.54	4.94 1.28	5.13 0.95	4.42 1.68	4.80 1.51	4.87 1.42
c asks critical questions regarding information from management	Mean Std	4.76 1.50	4.82 1.27	4.63 1.49	4.39 1.69	4.62 1.42	4.85 1.11	4.27 1.65	4.60 1.44	4.59 1.49

Cognitive conflicts

Table 68 Cognitive co	nflicts									
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree						Firm typ	е			
E8		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Different opinions. Our board members	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a often have different views on important board issues	Mean Std	3.94 1.44	4.04 1.53	3.66 1.49	3.57 1.55	3.60 1.53	4.68 1.21	3.98 1.54	3.97 1.52	3.79 1.53
b contribute with very different perspectives on	Mean	4.21	4.22	4.03	3.81	4.04	4.32	4.11	4.16	4.05
what is best for the firm	Std	1.60	1.48	1.49	1.63	1.54	1.13	1.67	1.63	1.57
c think and reason in very	Mean	4.40	4.30	4.14	3.95	3.86	4.64	4.14	4.07	4.09
different ways	Std	1.49	1.45	1.47	1.63	1.61	1.47	1.64	1.57	1.57

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
E8		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
Different opinions. Our board members	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a often have different views on important board issues	Mean Std	4.10 1.56	3.96 1.66	3.95 1.57	3.54 1.55	3.76 1.53	3.95 1.50	4.18 1.54	4.00 1.37	3.91 1.55
b contribute with very different perspectives on	Mean	4.37	4.45	4.39	3.99	4.45	4.20	4.32	4.67	4.34
what is best for the firm	Std	1.64	1.46	1.53	1.54	1.58	1.47	1.68	1.41	1.56
c think and reason in very	Mean	4.41	4.34	4.09	3.96	4.30	4.20	4.10	4.14	4.17
different ways	Std	1.58	1.58	1.47	1.55	1.72	1.61	1.70	1.71	1.61

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
D10 Different opinions. Our board		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
members	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a often have different views on important board	Mean	4.19	4.18	3.88	3.73	3.95	3.90	3.73	3.91	3.91
issues	Std	1.57	1.43	1.52	1.45	1.47	1.39	1.60	1.44	1.50
b contribute with very different perspectives on	Mean	4.38	4.14	4.08	3.58	3.99	4.15	3.90	3.80	3.99
what is best for the firm	Std	1.54	1.54	1.52	1.54	1.51	1.47	1.60	1.43	1.54
c think and reason in very	Mean	4.14	4.16	4.06	3.81	3.98	4.43	3.84	3.80	4.01
different ways	Std	1.52	1.40	1.61	1.63	1.48	1.37	1.52	1.47	1.53

Prospected decision making

Table 69 Prospected decision making

Table 69 Prospected a	lecisioi	n maki	ıng							
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
E10		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board members	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a often have formed an opinion on the issues before	Mean	4.51	4.65	4.90	4.87	4.78	5.27	5.08	4.78	4.87
the meetings	Std	1.29	1.22	1.29	1.22	1.33	0.88	1.42	1.23	1.30
 b often have clear opinion on what will be the outcome the different decisive alternatives 	Mean Std	4.65 1.21	4.65 1.10	4.91 1.25	4.89 1.10	4.87 1.23	5.09 1.15	4.75 1.30	4.77 1.20	4.82 1.22
c seldom change opinion even if the issue is subject to rational reasoning	Mean Std	3.26 1.33	2.83 1.24	3.43 1.38	3.70 1.55	3.34 1.33	3.64 1.26	3.69 1.46	3.29 1.41	3.45 1.42
d most often vote in favor of a decision when the decision is positively angled	Mean Std	4.00 1.29	3.87 1.45	4.39 1.35	4.49 1.36	4.37 1.43	4.27 1.20	4.65 1.33	4.41 1.31	4.39 1.37
e are anxious about loss as a result of a decision	Mean Std	3.75 1.66	3.37 1.59	3.38 1.65	3.64 1.43	3.51 1.60	3.32 1.46	3.72 1.65	3.58 1.61	3.55 1.59
f often have unrealistic expectations to the outcome of board decisions	Mean Std	2.93 1.37	2.72 1.48	2.83 1.37	2.99 1.36	2.81 1.26	2.73 1.20	3.20 1.48	2.90 1.38	2.93 1.38

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
E10		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board members	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a often have formed an opinion on the issues before	Mean	4.79	4.98	4.88	4.81	4.99	5.05	5.12	5.03	4.95
the meetings	Std	1.22	1.05	1.34	1.41	1.19	1.05	1.37	1.40	1.28
b often have clear opinion on what will be the outcome the different decisive alternatives	Mean Std	5.00 1.19	4.63 1.05	4.71 1.19	4.80 1.22	4.89 1.20	4.65 1.14	4.96 1.24	4.64 1.50	4.81 1.21
c seldom change opinion even if the issue is subject to rational reasoning	Mean Std	2.75 1.28	2.78 1.18	2.97 1.28	3.28 1.30	3.13 1.39	2.90 1.25	3.64 1.56	2.89 1.47	3.12 1.38
d most often vote in favor of a decision when the decision is positively angled	Mean Std	4.09 1.53	3.63 1.60	4.03 1.54	4.26 1.46	4.06 1.53	3.89 1.49	4.74 1.50	4.34 1.61	4.18 1.55
e are anxious about loss as a result of a decision	Mean Std	3.04 1.33	3.26 1.71	3.05 1.64	3.34 1.55	3.24 1.58	3.35 1.53	3.77 1.90	3.14 1.53	3.30 1.64
f often have unrealistic expectations to the outcome of board decisions	Mean Std	2.47 1.36	2.22 1.18	2.42 1.16	2.44 1.25	2.73 1.36	2.90 1.25	3.00 1.59	2.44 1.44	2.59 1.36

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
D12		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board members	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a often have formed an opinion on the issues before	Mean	5.25	4.97	5.07	5.02	4.84	5.21	4.98	4.63	5.02
the meetings	Std	1.01	1.17	1.34	1.42	1.26	1.08	1.31	1.33	1.28
 b often have clear opinion on what will be the outcome the different decisive alternatives 	Mean Std	5.09 1.01	4.91 1.08	4.92 1.38	5.00 1.38	4.76 1.32	4.89 0.89	4.82 1.31	4.51 1.25	4.89 1.26
c seldom change opinion even if the issue is subject to rational reasoning	Mean Std	3.36 1.24	3.15 1.38	3.66 1.56	3.37 1.49	3.33 1.34	3.60 1.49	3.75 1.55	3.03 1.34	3.46 1.47
d most often vote in favor of a decision when the decision is positively angled	Mean Std	4.28 1.45	4.19 1.52	4.64 1.45	4.29 1.44	4.41 1.39	4.62 1.07	4.55 1.50	4.06 1.56	4.42 1.45
e are anxious about loss as a result of a decision	Mean Std	3.59 1.59	3.47 1.59	3.61 1.63	3.44 1.67	3.47 1.62	3.35 1.53	3.68 1.77	3.09 1.63	3.51 1.64
f often have unrealistic expectations to the outcome of board decisions	Mean Std	2.55 1.39	2.55 1.22	2.96 1.47	2.62 1.32	2.82 1.33	2.71 1.18	3.00 1.55	2.54 1.34	2.77 1.38

9 Board task performance

Strategic involvement

Table 70	Strategic	involvement
10000	Dir circ Z ic	vivi o vi o ci i voi vi

050										
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
F8		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board has, with great authority, been involved in	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a making proposals on long term strategies and main goals	Mean Std	5.01 1.76	5.78 1.15	5.15 1.72	4.90 1.88	5.23 1.54	5.41 1.65	4.68 1.81	5.55 1.35	5.10 1.70
b making decisions on long term strategies and main goals	Mean Std	5.24 1.47	5.93 1.10	5.35 1.59	4.94 1.92	5.43 1.45	5.82 1.37	4.87 1.74	5.76 1.32	5.27 1.62
c putting decisions on long term strategies and	Mean Std	4.77 1.75	4.87 1.63	4.86 1.72	4.70 1.79	5.03 1.53	5.45 1.50	4.68 1.77	5.08 1.67	4.86 1.70
main goals into action d controlling the follow up of decisions on long term	Mean	4.97	5.38	4.93	4.69	4.87	5.18	4.49	5.09	4.84
strategies and main goals into action	Std	1.38	1.42	1.50	1.70	1.52	1.33	1.73	1.38	1.57

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
F8		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board has, with great authority, been involved in	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a making proposals on long term strategies and main goals	Mean Std	5.64 1.59	6.48 0.75	5.55 1.54	5.37 1.42	5.66 1.43	6.40 0.68	5.09 1.47	5.77 1.37	5.61 1.44
b making decisions on long term strategies and main goals	Mean Std	5.83 1.37	6.62 0.60	5.57 1.72	5.46 1.42	5.79 1.28	6.45 0.60	5.00 1.57	5.71 1.34	5.66 1.46
c putting decisions on long term strategies and main goals into action	Mean Std	5.40 1.62	6.04 1.33	5.11 1.77	5.21 1.62	5.45 1.46	6.00 1.03	5.03 1.51	5.37 1.65	5.35 1.58
d controlling the follow up of decisions on long term strategies and main goals into action	Mean Std	5.34 1.51	6.23 0.85	5.15 1.54	5.14 1.66	5.28 1.53	5.65 1.23	4.90 1.49	4.97 1.59	5.26 1.52

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
E8		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board has, with great authority, been involved in	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a making proposals on long term strategies and main goals	Mean Std	5.73 1.41	6.06 1.19	5.50 1.74	5.28 1.75	5.32 1.72	6.17 0.99	4.97 1.75	5.43 1.36	5.51 1.63
b making decisions on long term strategies and main goals	Mean Std	6.06 1.23	6.20 1.08	5.68 1.64	5.38 1.69	5.40 1.71	6.15 0.95	5.04 1.69	5.46 1.42	5.64 1.56
c putting decisions on long term strategies and main goals into action	Mean Std	5.76 1.20	5.65 1.54	5.36 1.74	5.15 1.70	5.21 1.64	5.77 1.25	4.85 1.77	5.31 1.25	5.34 1.63
d controlling the follow up of decisions on long term strategies and main goals into action	Mean Std	5.82 1.20	5.62 1.35	5.35 1.68	4.82 1.76	5.14 1.63	5.38 1.26	4.46 1.82	5.23 1.26	5.20 1.63

 Table 71
 Strategic decisions

c ... new technological solutions

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
F9 The board has, with great authority, been involved in		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
evaluation of	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a acquisitions of other	Mean	3.63	4.98	3.73	3.49	4.09	2.95	3.05	3.85	3.69
firms	Std	2.28	2.16	2.41	2.48	2.32	2.59	2.35	2.33	2.41
b restructuring and	Mean	3.45	4.33	3.89	3.91	3.70	4.64	3.37	3.61	3.74
downsizing	Std	2.13	2.06	2.18	2.12	2.06	2.13	2.04	2.22	2.12

 Mean
 3.46
 3.11
 3.44
 3.38
 3.63
 3.24
 3.70
 3.65
 3.51

 Std
 1.90
 1.63
 1.92
 1.90
 1.90
 1.67
 2.04
 2.00
 1.92

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
F9 The board has, with great authority, been involved in		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
evaluation of	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a acquisitions of other	Mean	4.40	5.19	3.81	3.65	4.44	4.11	2.70	4.14	3.93
firms	Std	2.55	2.47	2.65	2.49	2.39	2.62	2.20	2.66	2.56
b restructuring and	Mean	4.26	4.38	4.23	4.23	4.15	4.89	3.27	4.03	4.07
downsizing	Std	2.32	2.12	2.30	2.25	2.11	1.88	2.19	2.46	2.24
c new technological	Mean	4.06	4.12	3.79	4.08	4.42	4.26	3.97	4.03	4.08
solutions	Std	2.15	1.85	1.92	1.93	1.83	1.91	2.00	2.15	1.95

Board member

1 = disagree 7 = agree

F0					-					
E9 The board has, with great authority, been involved in		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
evaluation of	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a acquisitions of other	Mean	3.90	5.12	3.79	3.61	4.25	3.69	2.59	3.71	3.85
firms	Std	2.48	2.10	2.46	2.41	2.34	2.48	2.18	2.26	2.44
b restructuring and	Mean	3.93	3.98	4.38	3.72	3.82	4.85	3.27	3.34	3.97
downsizing	Std	2.20	2.12	2.06	2.23	2.11	1.58	2.24	2.07	2.15
c new technological	Mean	4.54	4.26	4.02	3.88	4.06	4.36	3.61	3.49	4.03
solutions	Std	2.05	1.74	2.08	1.89	1.82	1.55	2.12	1.79	1.94

Involvement in control tasks

Table 72 Control tasks

Table /2 Control tas	KS									
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	e			
F5, F6 The board has, with great authority, been involved in		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
evaluation of	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
F5a cost budgets	Mean	4.90	4.58	4.91	4.80	4.87	4.95	5.12	5.19	4.93
	Std	1.52	1.58	1.73	1.86	1.63	1.99	1.73	1.65	1.71
F5b firm investments and	Mean	4.90	5.15	5.27	4.89	5.28	5.19	5.27	5.51	5.19
capital spending	Std	1.69	1.34	1.49	1.83	1.51	1.40	1.62	1.29	1.57
F5c firm liquidity and	Mean	4.69	4.45	4.40	4.32	4.70	4.19	5.04	4.95	4.63
payments	Std	1.66	1.70	1.77	1.82	1.67	1.50	1.72	1.65	1.74
F5d risk management and internal control	Mean	5.52	5.55	5.12	4.84	5.25	5.10	5.08	5.44	5.17
internal control	Std	1.41	1.37	1.48	1.74	1.53	1.48	1.74	1.36	1.58
F5e sales and marketing	Mean	4.81	4.27	4.63	4.42	4.77	4.29	4.81	4.85	4.66
budgets	Std	1.49	1.38	1.58	1.90	1.66	1.52	1.75	1.72	1.68
F6a the CEO's	Mean	4.85	5.15	4.40	4.21	4.44	5.41	4.06	4.41	4.41
performance	Std	1.50	1.54	1.82	2.01	1.79	1.33	1.95	1.70	1.84
F6b the CEO's	Mean	4.73	5.36	3.92	4.01	4.20	4.64	4.05	4.45	4.22
compensation	Std	1.98	1.57	2.15	2.10	1.96	2.01	2.13	2.07	2.08
F6c incentive systems for	Mean	4.14	4.49	2.89	3.05	3.39	3.14	3.51	3.78	3.40
the top management team	Std	1.93	1.97	1.92	1.84	1.96	2.17	1.98	1.96	1.98
F6d the firm's personal	Mean	3.83	3.31	3.27	3.35	3.44	3.18	3.92	3.71	3.52
and recruitment policy	Std	1.48	1.51	1.72	1.75	1.65	1.71	1.77	1.59	1.70
F6e the firm's	Maan	4.00	4 15	4 OF	2.00	4.00	4 44	4.00	4.00	4.10
organization and personal resources	Mean Std	4.30 1.47	4.15 1.56	4.05 1.77	3.90	4.09 1.65	4.41	4.26 1.70	4.26 1.61	4.13 1.68
					1.77		1.62			
F6f product quality and customer satisfaction	Mean	4.34	3.75	4.36	4.03	4.04	4.91	4.64	4.32	4.27
	Std	1.54	1.55	1.72	1.72	1.70	1.74	1.77	1.58	1.71

<u></u>										
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	Э			
F7 The board has, with great		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
authority, been involved in evaluation of	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
F7a health, environment	Mean	3.32	3.62	4.13	3.88	3.87	4.32	4.01	3.63	3.89
and safety	Std	1.59	1.92	1.76	1.95	1.91	1.70	1.84	1.69	1.84
F7b issues concerning the natural environment and	Mean	3.21	3.38	3.77	3.53	3.66	4.68	3.57	3.59	3.61
corporate responsibility	Std	1.52	1.81	1.77	1.81	1.79	1.59	1.80	1.65	1.77
F7c issues concerning	Mean	2.15	2.58	2.11	2.20	2.64	2.64	2.69	2.92	2.45
charity	Std	1.43	1.76	1.45	1.54	1.69	2.04	1.77	1.63	1.64
F7d return to	Mean	4.17	5.40	4.04	4.45	4.86	3.39	4.66	4.92	4.53
shareholders	Std	2.06	1.80	2.36	2.33	2.09	2.62	2.01	2.21	2.21

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	e			
F5, F6, F7			_							.
The board has, with great authority, been involved in		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
evaluation of	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
F5a cost budgets	Mean	5.35	5.35	5.44	5.32	5.11	5.80	5.51	5.44	5.37
	Std	1.66	1.63	1.49	1.57	1.58	0.95	1.49	1.34	1.52
F5b firm investments and	Mean	5.90	5.83	5.42	5.39	5.78	5.70	5.72	5.75	5.65
capital spending	Std	1.40	1.37	1.55	1.45	1.40	0.98	1.40	1.16	1.41
F5c firm liquidity and	Mean	5.53	5.37	4.89	5.24	5.11	4.89	5.35	5.25	5.20
payments	Std	1.35	1.46	1.78	1.66	1.49	1.45	1.64	1.32	1.57
F5d risk management and	Mean	6.06	5.94	5.39	5.56	5.57	5.95	5.53	5.72	5.64
internal control	Std	1.12	1.09	1.48	1.52	1.25	0.94	1.50	1.52	1.38
F5e sales and marketing	Mean	5.17	5.00	5.09	5.18	5.01	4.17	5.05	5.44	5.07
budgets	Std	1.64	1.36	1.56	1.50	1.53	1.62	1.67	1.27	1.54
F6a the CEO's	Mean	5.04	5.74	4.53	4.48	4.38	5.68	4.03	3.84	4.57
performance	Std	1.85	1.33	1.92	1.80	1.88	1.42	2.04	1.85	1.91
F6b the CEO's	Mean	4.74	6.04	4.46	4.18	4.22	5.53	4.01	3.97	4.49
compensation	Std	2.20	1.30	2.22	2.06	2.13	1.58	2.23	1.98	2.14
F6c incentive systems for	Mean	4.45	5.15	3.42	3.73	3.77	4.26	3.83	3.73	3.93
the top management team	Std	1.98	1.54	1.98	1.92	1.98	1.88	2.14	1.97	2.01
F6d the firm's personal	Mean	4.49	4.21	4.05	4.42	3.86	4.16	4.55	4.29	4.24
and recruitment policy	Std	1.63	1.39	1.67	1.51	1.53	1.46	1.71	1.53	1.59
F6e the firm's organization and personal	Mean	5.06	5.00	4.63	4.85	4.70	4.63	4.95	4.85	4.82
resources	Std	1.49	1.51	1.72	1.61	1.43	1.38	1.66	1.42	1.57
F6f product quality and	Mean	4.70	4.83	4.92	5.16	4.67	5.47	5.04	4.45	4.89
customer satisfaction	Std	1.71	1.58	1.68	1.47	1.42	1.12	1.65	1.75	1.58
F7a health, environment	Mean	3.89	4.58	4.58	4.96	4.29	5.06	4.44	4.29	4.49
and safety	Std	1.98	1.75	1.81	1.62	1.60	1.30	1.68	1.74	1.73
F7b issues concerning the	Mass	2.04	4.40	4.06	4.00	4.20	E 40	4.07	2.04	4.00
natural environment and corporate responsibility	Mean Std	3.81 1.86	4.40 1.70	4.26 1.82	4.39 1.84	4.20 1.57	5.42 1.07	4.0 <i>7</i> 1.71	3.91 1.54	4.23 1.73
F7c issues concerning		2.11	2.85		2.57		3.58		2.89	2.77
charity	Mean Std	1.42	2.05	2.71 1.86	1.63	2.99 1.87	2.09	2.86 1.78	1.64	1.80
E7d return to	Mean		5.83	4.06	4.88					4.80
F7d return to shareholders		4.77				5.32	3.85	4.61	4.72	
	Std	2.31	1.70	2.47	2.09	1.71	2.64	2.08	2.28	2.18

Board member										
1 = disagree										
7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
E5, E6, E7 The board has, with great authority, been involved in		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
evaluation of	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
E5a cost budgets	Mean	5.52	5.36	5.53	5.55	5.29	5.77	5.30	5.26	5.46
Loa ooot saagoto	Std	1.51	1.54	1.57	1.43	1.64	1.23	1.55	1.38	1.52
E5b firm investments and capital spending	Mean	5.84	5.89	5.57	5.54	5.59	5.85	5.39	5.51	5.63
oupliar openang	Std	1.39	1.18	1.58	1.55	1.55	1.06	1.72	1.07	1.48
E5c firm liquidity and	Mean	5.29	5.39	5.06	5.14	5.08	5.49	5.20	5.29	5.19
payments	Std	1.58	1.56	1.74	1.75	1.67	1.32	1.77	1.25	1.66
E5d risk management and internal control	Mean	5.93	5.95	5.60	5.50	5.46	5.96	5.32	5.37	5.62
internal control	Std	1.29	1.20	1.44	1.45	1.54	1.06	1.63	1.50	1.43
E5e sales and marketing	Mean	5.58	5.05	5.12	5.17	5.01	5.26	4.94	4.49	5.11
budgets	Std	1.39	1.69	1.65	1.60	1.79	1.58	1.82	1.93	1.68
E6a the CEO's	Mean	4.91	5.27	4.11	3.78	4.18	5.13	4.23	4.09	4.36
performance	Std	1.58	1.65	2.07	1.99	1.86	1.54	2.02	1.70	1.95
E6b the CEO's	Mean	5.01	6.15	4.17	4.03	4.24	5.38	4.29	4.57	4.60
compensation	Std	2.00	1.07	2.31	2.21	2.15	1.59	2.21	1.94	2.16
E6c incentive systems for	Mean	4.48	5.23	2.99	3.22	3.62	4.02	3.75	3.03	3.68
the top management team	Std	2.16	1.82	2.02	1.95	1.94	1.85	2.09	1.96	2.11
E6d the firm's personal	Mean	4.06	4.08	3.47	3.46	3.66	4.25	4.16	3.34	3.75
and recruitment policy	Std	1.88	1.62	1.82	1.73	1.82	1.34	1.89	1.45	1.77
E6e the firm's organization and personal	Mean	4.28	4.45	4.05	4.13	4.27	4.74	4.72	4.06	4.29
resources	Std	1.85	1.63	1.89	1.64	1.82	1.26	1.74	1.71	1.75
E6f product quality and	Mean	4.87	4.49	4.78	4.63	4.26	5.30	4.75	4.34	4.68
customer satisfaction	Std	1.56	1.60	1.78	1.72	1.82	1.12	1.81	1.81	1.71
E7a health, environment	Mean	3.74	4.19	4.48	4.53	4.38	4.92	4.46	3.77	4.37
and safety	Std	1.84	1.76	1.87	1.94	1.89	1.16	1.94	1.86	1.85
E7b issues concerning the	Mean	3.94	4.30	4.19	4.06	4.05	5.20	4.13	3.91	4.19
natural environment and corporate responsibility	Std	1.81	1.65	1.88	1.90	1.81	1.25	1.94	1.76	1.82
E7c issues concerning	Mean	2.10	3.68	2.44	2.54	2.90	3.92	3.10	2.83	2.84
charity	Std	1.53	1.97	1.66	1.72	1.75	1.85	1.91	1.76	1.83
E7d return to	Mean	4.75	5.63	4.25	4.61	4.73	4.68	4.84	4.59	4.71
shareholders	Std	2.39	1.59	2.31	2.36	2.13	2.36	2.03	2.12	2.21
	Jiu	2.00	1.00	2.01	2.00	2.10	2.00	2.00	<u> </u>	

Involvement in service tasks

 Table 73
 Board advisory tasks

CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
F3 The board members contribute with advice to		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
management on	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a general management issues (personal issues.	Mean	4.86	4.78	4.64	4.61	4.93	4.00	4.69	4.76	4.72
strategy, organizing etc)	Std	1.33	1.57	1.56	1.64	1.45	1.23	1.69	1.48	1.55
b legal and technical	Mean	4.51	4.35	4.20	3.98	4.55	3.05	4.37	4.75	4.31
accounting issues	Std	1.70	1.57	1.63	1.83	1.66	1.50	1.81	1.52	1.72
c financial issues (internal	Mean	4.92	4.81	4.27	4.02	4.72	3.09	4.65	4.85	4.49
financing and investments)	Std	1.52	1.57	1.70	1.81	1.61	1.60	1.74	1.46	1.70
d technical issues (both										
production- and information	Mean	3.49	2.76	3.45	3.68	4.02	3.32	4.35	3.89	3.77
technology)	Std	1.48	1.37	1.56	1.84	1.78	1.43	1.80	1.59	1.73
e marketing issues	Mean	4.50	4.25	4.45	4.48	4.65	3.86	4.64	4.48	4.51
	Std	1.34	1.39	1.58	1.64	1.54	1.83	1.72	1.50	1.59
F4 The board and its board	Mean	4.20	3.55	3.69	3.57	4.06	3.09	4.33	4.23	3.92
members act as mentors for the CEO and the firm	Std	1.88	1.54	1.79	1.83	1.65	1.63	1.82	1.76	1.79

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
F3 The board members contribute with advice to		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
management on	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a general management issues (personal issues,	Mean	5.25	5.41	5.01	5.29	5.31	5.05	5.17	5.14	5.21
strategy, organizing etc)	Std	1.28	1.32	1.42	1.38	1.41	1.13	1.62	1.17	1.40
b legal and technical	Mean	4.71	4.33	4.31	4.66	4.67	3.70	4.78	4.33	4.53
accounting issues	Std	1.54	1.47	1.76	1.82	1.72	1.56	1.70	1.76	1.71
c financial issues (internal	Mean	5.15	5.35	4.46	4.84	4.93	4.60	4.82	4.53	4.83
financing and investments)	Std	1.53	1.25	1.75	1.75	1.57	1.57	1.72	1.83	1.66
d technical issues (both production- and information	Mean	3.79	3.38	3.77	4.27	4.33	3.47	4.70	4.61	4.13
technology)	Std	1.62	1.51	1.56	1.54	1.62	1.07	1.75	1.79	1.66
e marketing issues	Mean	4.94	5.02	4.69	4.96	5.08	4.39	4.88	5.28	4.93
	Std	1.41	1.19	1.55	1.17	1.40	1.61	1.64	1.23	1.42
F4										
The board and its board members act as mentors for	Mean	4.50	4.33	4.26	4.33	4.58	4.56	4.78	4.60	4.49
the CEO and the firm	Std	1.66	1.94	1.71	1.89	1.69	1.26	1.77	1.63	1.74

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	e			
E3 The board members		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
contribute with advice to management on	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a general management issues (personal issues,	Mean	5.51	4.79	4.85	4.94	5.20	4.77	4.70	4.94	4.93
strategy, organizing etc)	Std	1.26	1.64	1.64	1.45	1.50	1.51	1.49	1.41	1.54
b legal and technical	Mean	5.04	4.44	4.20	4.24	4.71	3.91	4.37	4.80	4.40
accounting issues	Std	1.72	1.73	1.84	1.83	1.58	1.33	1.90	1.59	1.77
c financial issues (internal	Mean	5.40	4.83	4.47	4.36	5.00	4.32	4.65	4.57	4.65
financing and investments)	Std	1.41	1.65	1.78	1.81	1.36	1.24	1.74	1.50	1.67
d technical issues (both production- and information	Mean	4.21	3.43	4.20	4.12	4.14	4.02	4.48	3.86	4.08
technology)	Std	1.68	1.53	1.69	1.59	1.56	1.45	1.75	1.70	1.65
e marketing issues	Mean	5.12	4.67	4.74	4.72	4.72	4.40	4.68	4.57	4.72
	Std	1.42	1.46	1.45	1.63	1.37	1.47	1.60	1.42	1.49
F4										
The board and its board members act as mentors for	Mean	4.06	3.81	4.00	3.64	4.00	3.51	4.27	3.77	3.91
the CEO and the firm	Std	1.64	1.73	1.67	1.84	1.71	1.46	1.67	1.68	1.70

byin	g
vy	ırı

Tubic / I Tictworking	ana ioc	<i>oying</i>								
CEO										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
F1		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board members contribute to	N.	74	55	205	158	185	22	203	77	980
a building networks	Mean	4.66	4.96	4.21	4.16	4.24	4.68	4.46	4.83	4.39
a samenig	Std	1.59	1.28	1.79	1.71	1.61	1.13	1.79	1.61	1.69
b lobbying and legitimacy	Mean	3.87	3.96	3.52	3.46	3.63	4.43	3.61	4.16	3.67
F2	Std	1.32	1.53	1.71	1.71	1.46	1.16	1.78	1.61	1.63
The firm and board often take advantage of the board members' networks for	Mean	4.39	4.17	3.70	3.68	3.94	4.00	4.15	4.58	3.99
advice	Std	1.62	1.38	1.68	1.69	1.65	1.20	1.76	1.54	1.67

Board chairperson										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm typ	е			
F1		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
The board members contribute to	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a building networks	Mean	5.13	4.87	4.52	4.96	4.76	5.40	4.65	4.86	4.80
3	Std	1.28	1.26	1.70	1.47	1.55	1.27	1.81	1.74	1.58
b lobbying and legitimacy	Mean	4.08	4.13	3.76	4.04	3.96	4.80	3.81	3.51	3.94
F2	Std	1.60	1.57	1.80	1.55	1.59	1.24	1.90	1.80	1.69
The firm and board often take advantage of the board members' networks for	Mean	4.29	4.47	4.20	4.27	4.69	4.63	4.41	4.45	4.42
advice	Std	1.63	1.63	1.63	1.65	1.68	1.75	1.82	1.64	1.68

Board member										
1 = disagree 7 = agree					F	irm type	е			
E1 The board members		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
contribute to	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a building networks	Mean	4.97	4.99	4.59	4.64	4.72	4.54	4.64	4.60	4.70
	Std	1.74	1.44	1.64	1.80	1.58	1.38	1.72	1.44	1.63
b lobbying and legitimacy	Mean	3.88	3.93	3.79	3.83	3.82	4.15	3.45	3.53	3.80
E2	Std	1.94	1.58	1.68	1.83	1.70	1.46	1.71	1.67	1.71
The firm and board often take advantage of the board members' networks for	Mean	4.31	3.99	3.89	4.21	4.23	3.63	4.23	3.94	4.05
advice	Std	1.73	1.55	1.72	1.80	1.64	1.66	1.70	1.98	1.71

10 Value creation

Competitive advantage

Table 75 Competitive advantag	Table :	75	Competitive	ad	lvani	age	е
-------------------------------	---------	----	-------------	----	-------	-----	---

Table 75 Competitive	aavani	uge								
Board member										
1 = Low 7 = High										
•					F	irm type	Э			
F3 The firm has by January		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
2006	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
a a reputation in society which serves the firm's long	Mean	5.21	5.50	5.41	5.58	5.26	5.04	5.46	5.34	5.40
term competitive advantage	Std	1.40	1.23	1.38	1.36	1.32	1.32	1.14	1.43	1.33
b customer- and market relations which serves the	Mean	5.40	5.76	5.41	5.65	5.36	5.26	5.35	5.40	5.47
firm's long term competitive										
advantage	Std	1.24	0.92	1.29	1.18	1.32	1.13	1.08	1.50	1.21
c an economic solidity which serves the firm's long	Mean	5.46	5.61	5.39	5.48	5.34	5.26	4.69	5.40	5.35
term competitive advantage	Std	1.44	1.21	1.44	1.43	1.27	1.53	1.52	1.65	1.43
d product- and services control which serves the	14	F 07	г оо	F 00	F 10	E 0.4	г оо	F 00	г оо	F 47
firm's long term competitive	Mean	5.37	5.29	5.02	5.16	5.24	5.28	5.09	5.29	5.17
advantage	Std	1.13	1.13	1.42	1.46	1.32	1.23	1.26	1.38	1.33
e technological control	Mean	4.91	4.76	4.65	4.83	4.74	4.63	4.52	4.37	4.70
which serves the firm's long term competitive advantage	Std	1.59	1.37	1.62	1.56	1.53	1.52	1.62	1.85	1.57
f a work environment which serves the firm's long	Mean	5.13	5.12	5.05	5.13	5.08	5.23	4.95	5.03	5.08
term competitive	Std	1.24	1.27	1.41	1.47	1.26	1.20	1.21	1.27	1.33
·	Mean	5.51	5.50	5.46	5.58	5.40	5.89	5.32	5.31	5.49
g human resources which	ivicaii	3.51	5.50	5.40	5.56	3.40	5.09	5.52	5.51	3.43
serves the firm's long term competitive advantage	Std	1.25	1.13	1.19	1.23	1.33	0.82	1.15	1.21	1.19

Growth and technological opportunities

Table 76	Firm	and industry	growth
rune / 0	I UIII	ana maasii v	21 OWIII

CEO		-								
1 = Disagree 7 = Agree					F	irm typ	е			
G10		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
I regard the firm to be	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
ain an industry with fast	Mean	4.81	4.42	3.67	3.47	3.67	3.81	3.84	4.12	3.84
growth	Std	1.72	1.83	1.88	1.64	1.63	1.63	1.86	1.65	1.79
b in fast growth itself (e.g. About 25% revenue growth	Mean	4.77	4.16	3.14	3.04	3.21	2.95	3.29	3.65	3.38
per year)	Std	2.05	1.73	1.90	1.72	1.77	1.66	1.87	1.89	1.89

T 11 77	7T 1	,	,	. • . •
Table 77	Technol	เกดเตลเ	onnor	tunities
I WUIL //	1 00111101	Osicui	UPPUL	

CEO	и эррс									
1 = Low 7 = High					F	irm type	е			
G3 To what extent can your main industry the last two - three years be characterized		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
by the opportunity of	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
aproduct innovation?	Mean	5.19	4.95	4.48	4.66	4.32	4.84	4.31	4.49	4.54
·	Std	1.81	1.74	1.74	1.68	1.73	1.77	1.87	1.74	1.77
btechnological innovation?	Mean	4.48	4.45	4.13	4.31	3.98	4.65	3.79	4.63	4.16
innovation?	Std	1.99	1.73	1.74	1.76	1.77	1.73	1.85	1.89	1.81

Board chairperson										
1 = Low 7 = High					F	irm type	Э			
G1 To what extent can your main industry the last two - three years be characterized		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
by the opportunity of	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
aproduct innovation?	Mean	5.19	4.98	4.27	4.28	4.63	5.00	4.10	4.46	4.50
	Std	1.57	1.49	1.67	1.82	1.72	1.61	1.80	2.01	1.75
btechnological innovation?	Mean	4.76	4.87	4.41	4.11	4.47	5.00	3.99	4.20	4.38
iiiiovalioii:	Std	1.75	1.49	1.81	1.81	1.58	1.46	1.80	1.95	1.75

Research and development

Table 78 Research and development (R&D)

050					F	irm typ	е			
CEO		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
G12 a How large is the firm's R&D expenditures in relation to firm sales?	Mean Std	8% 15%	7% 16%	4% 6%	5% 12%	3% 9%	9% 23%	5% 12%	5% 11%	5% 11%
G12 b How large is the industry's R&D expenditures in relation to industry sales?	Mean Std	4% 5%	7% 15%	3% 4%	5% 30%	3% 10%	12% 27%	3% 8%	4% 6%	4% 15%

Product innovation

Table 79 Product innovation

CEO

1 = Low 7 = High

						-71-				
G4 To what extent can your firm		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
be characterized by	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
abeing the first firm in the industry to introduce new	Mean	4.91	4.50	4.67	4.72	4.37	5.00	4.04	4.32	4.49
products/services?	Std	1.78	1.87	1.68	1.73	1.79	1.64	1.99	1.79	1.81
bdeveloping completely new products/services to be	Mean	4.33	3.96	3.83	4.10	3.80	4.28	3.73	3.84	3.90
sold in new markets?	Std	1.92	1.82	1.74	1.80	1.84	1.90	1.98	1.89	1.85
c introducing new products/services to be sold in the firm's existing	Mean	5.03	4.66	4.78	4.81	4.55	4.50	4.22	4.44	4.61
markets?	Std	1.73	1.94	1.57	1.68	1.72	1.58	1.94	1.78	1.75
dcommercializing new	Mean	5.06	4.50	4.40	4.27	4.02	3.72	3.84	4.19	4.22
_products/services?	Std	4.91	4.50	4.67	4.72	4.37	5.00	4.04	4.32	4.49

Board chairperson

1 = Low 7 = High

G2 To what extent can your firm		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
be characterized by	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
abeing the first firm in the industry to introduce new	Mean	5.07	4.79	4.61	4.28	4.62	5.18	3.74	4.21	4.45
products/services?	Std	1.68	2.01	1.69	1.73	1.72	1.51	1.81	1.93	1.81
bdeveloping completely new products/services to be	Mean	4.40	3.74	3.97	3.68	4.14	4.41	3.46	3.91	3.89
sold in new markets?	Std	2.00	1.71	1.74	1.86	1.71	1.77	1.85	2.04	1.83
c introducing new products/services to be sold in the firm's existing	Mean	5.04	4.79	4.58	4.21	4.60	5.29	4.05	4.68	4.53
markets?	Std	1.69	1.72	1.65	1.79	1.58	1.93	2.02	2.08	1.80
dcommercializing new	Mean	4.72	4.34	4.31	4.15	4.31	4.82	3.49	4.24	4.19
products/services?	Std	1.59	1.59	1.62	1.76	1.73	1.91	1.93	1.94	1.77

Process innovation

TE 11 00	D	. , .
Table 80	Process	innovation
I do le oo	1 100000	unio vanon

CEO										
1 = Low 7 = High					F	irm type	Э			
G5		A C A	Б.	A C 1				C 11	CMD	T-4-1
To what extent can your firm		ASA	В	AS-L			C	S-U	SMB	Total
be characterized by	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
a investing heavily in risky/innovative process technological research and	Mean	2.95	3.06	2.78	2.64	2.54	3.89	2.16	2.68	2.64
development?	Std	2.03	1.88	1.79	1.64	1.71	1.84	1.52	1.83	1.75
 b being the first firm in the industry to develop and introduce totally new 	Mean	3.53	3.33	3.31	3.29	2.94	3.50	2.63	3.32	3.12
technologies?	Std	2.20	1.83	1.83	1.89	1.85	1.72	1.83	1.92	1.89
c pioneering the creation of new process	Mean	3.02	3.31	2.94	2.74	2.80	2.76	2.37	2.63	2.77
technologies?	Std	2.14	1.96	1.83	1.67	1.82	1.75	1.68	1.71	1.80
d copying other firm's	Mean	2.85	3.12	2.83	2.63	2.51	3.06	2.17	2.53	2.61
process technologies?	Std	1.94	1.52	1.63	1.52	1.52	1.92	1.41	1.47	1.58
Board chairperson		-								
1 = Low										
7 = High					F	irm type	е			
G3		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
To what extent can your firm be characterized by	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a investing heavily in risky/	,	-		,		•		3	,	
innovative process technological research and	Mean	2.96	2.77	3.04	2.70	2.61	3.59	2.05	2.56	2.68
development?	Std	1.92	1.83	1.92	1.71	1.79	2.18	1.46	1.67	1.80
b being the first firm in the industry to develop and										
introduce totally new	Mean	3.24	3.57	3.45	2.95	3.06	3.94	2.43	3.00	3.10
technologies?	Std	2.02	1.98	1.99	1.91	1.94	1.82	1.82	1.89	1.96
c pioneering the creation of new process	Mean	3.00	2.74	3.12	2.54	2.53	3.44	2.18	2.50	2.67
technologies?	Std	2.07	1.76	1.90	1.71	1.67	1.86	1.64	1.86	1.80
d copying other firm's	Mean	2.67	2.64	3.05	2.62	2.45	2.56	2.28	2.41	2.60
process technologies?	Std	1.89	1.47	1.79	1.50	1.54	1.46	1.52	1.54	1.61

Organizational innovation

TE 11 01	\sim		, ·
Table 81	•	rganizational	linnovation
I abic of	$\mathbf{\sigma}$	i ganizanona	mmovanon

Table 81 Organization	ıal inn	ovatio	n							
CEO										
1 = Low 7 = High					F	irm type	9			
G6 To what extent can your firm		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
be characterized by	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
a being the first firm in the industry to develop innovative management systems?	Mean Std	2.94 1.53	3.47 1.64	3.98 1.65	3.46 1.64	3.24 1.61	3.75 1.77	2.37 1.48	3.05 1.61	3.25 1.68
bbeing the first firm in the industry to introduce new business concepts and - practices?	Mean Std	3.99 1.85	4.13 1.78	4.32 1.64	4.13 1.66	3.81 1.70	4.00 1.80	3.01 1.78	3.47 1.65	3.82 1.77
cconsiderably changing the organization structure to facilitate innovation?	Mean Std	4.25 1.82	4.36 1.43	4.42 1.57	4.20 1.58	3.94 1.61	4.53 1.78	2.99 1.75	3.65 1.69	3.94 1.71
dimplementing development programs for personnel to facilitate creativity and innovation?	Mean Std	4.00 1.70	4.04 1.78	4.58 1.50	3.97 1.57	3.92 1.54	4.05 1.51	3.14 1.83	3.85 1.76	3.93 1.70

Board chairperson

1 = Low 7 = High

G5 To what extent can your firm		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
be characterized by	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
a being the first firm in the										
industry to develop innovative management	Mean	2.85	3.32	3.55	3.09	3.15	4.33	2.49	2.85	3.11
systems?	Std	1.62	1.53	1.74	1.67	1.60	1.24	1.62	1.40	1.66
bbeing the first firm in the										
industry to introduce new business concepts and -	Mean	3.89	4.06	3.88	3.86	3.83	3.89	2.99	3.15	3.68
practices?	Std	1.72	1.57	1.78	1.73	1.76	1.37	1.79	1.58	1.75
cconsiderably changing the organization structure to	Mean	4.28	4.04	4.05	3.95	3.62	4.56	3.00	3.53	3.77
facilitate innovation?	Std	1.77	1.40	1.66	1.63	1.64	1.58	1.85	1.56	1.71
dimplementing development programs for personnel to facilitate	Mean	3.70	3.98	4.39	4.14	3.77	4.94	3.07	3.88	3.88
creativity and innovation?	Std	1.52	1.70	1.68	1.69	1.63	1.63	1.79	1.74	1.74

Market innovation

Table 82 Market innovation

Tuote 02 Market tittlo	renton									
CEO										
1 = Low 7 = High										
•					F	irm type	е			
G7 To what extent can your firm		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
be characterized by	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
	Mean	3.70	3.85	4.13	3.88	3.85	3.37	3.88	3.82	3.70
aentering new domestic markets?	Std	1.91	1.95	1.87	1.82	2.06	1.98	2.05	1.94	1.91
markets:	Siu	1.91	1.93	1.07	1.02	2.00	1.90	2.05	1.94	1.91
bpromoting the creation of	Mean	3.50	3.95	3.91	4.11	3.79	3.25	3.93	3.83	3.50
new domestic businesses?	Std	1.81	1.78	1.77	1.73	1.62	1.96	1.88	1.84	1.81
cincreasing operations by entering new industries in	Mean	2.42	2.92	2.70	3.14	3.00	2.62	2.92	2.85	2.42
Norway?	Std	1.60	1.88	1.69	1.65	1.78	1.73	1.91	1.77	1.60
dsupporting new domestic	Mean	3.19	3.21	3.00	3.40	2.84	2.66	3.11	3.09	3.19
ventures?	Std	1.55	1.85	1.68	1.67	1.46	1.69	1.81	1.74	1.55
efinancing the start up of new domestic business	Mean	3.29	2.89	2.68	2.95	3.53	2.22	3.07	2.78	3.29
activities?	Std	1.75	1.90	1.80	1.74	1.87	1.61	1.89	1.81	1.75
fentering new foreign	Mean	4.62	2.86	2.70	2.80	2.84	2.12	2.70	2.88	4.62
markets?	Std	2.26	2.15	2.12	2.01	2.17	1.76	2.16	2.16	2.26
gexpanding foreign	Mean	4.75	3.07	2.97	2.96	2.95	2.22	3.10	3.07	4.75
operations?	Std	2.24	2.28	2.29	2.16	2.37	1.83	2.35	2.27	2.24
hsupporting the start up of new business activities directed at international	Mean	4.23	2.59	2.41	2.60	2.63	1.86	2.63	2.60	4.23
operations?	Std	2.22	1.96	1.89	1.88	2.17	1.49	1.95	1.97	2.22
ifinancing the start up of business activities directed at	Mean	4.09	2.44	2.18	2.51	2.26	1.78	2.49	2.46	4.09
international operations?	Std	2.12	1.90	1.70	1.89	1.88	1.46	2.01	1.92	2.12

Board chairperson

1 = Low 7 = High

					F	irm type	Э			
G6		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
To what extent can your firm be characterized by	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
		0.00	0.40	0.05	0.00	4.05	4.04	0.07	4.54	0.00
aentering new domestic	Mean	3.98	3.46	3.95	3.92	4.05	4.24	3.37	4.51	3.86
markets?	Std	2.10	1.97	1.90	1.90	1.92	1.95	1.94	1.76	1.94
bpromoting the creation of	Mean	4.28	3.67	3.93	4.03	4.17	4.47	3.01	4.34	3.87
new domestic businesses?	Std	2.05	1.83	1.73	1.69	1.77	1.81	1.83	1.57	1.83
cincreasing operations by	Mean	2.83	2.69	2.60	2.70	2.76	3.18	2.24	3.06	2.66
entering new industries in Norway?	Std	1.90	1.90	1.61	1.69	1.76	1.70	1.60	1.86	1.73
NOIWay !										_
dsupporting new domestic	Mean	3.35	3.04	3.12	3.04	3.42	3.94	2.59	3.29	3.12
ventures?	Std	2.10	1.93	1.71	1.85	1.78	1.91	1.64	1.81	1.82
efinancing the start up of new domestic business	Mean	3.50	2.73	2.70	2.79	3.18	3.31	2.25	3.50	2.87
activities?	Std	2.12	1.76	1.65	1.77	1.91	1.70	1.69	1.93	1.83
fentering new foreign	Mean	4.02	4.33	2.74	2.30	2.60	3.35	1.84	3.40	2.83
markets?	Std	2.41	2.26	2.00	2.01	2.01	2.03	1.57	2.42	2.17
gexpanding foreign	Mean	4.07	4.70	3.04	2.28	2.79	3.76	1.91	3.46	3.00
operations?	Std	2.43	2.32	2.11	1.97	2.12	1.95	1.70	2.43	2.25
hsupporting the start up of										
new business activities directed at international	Mean	3.52	3.81	2.65	2.05	2.59	3.00	1.88	3.49	2.68
operations?	Std	2.40	2.24	1.92	1.70	1.96	1.77	1.62	2.58	2.07
ifinancing the start up of	Maara	0.50	0.01	0.40	0.00	0.55	0.50	1 77	0.41	0.50
business activities directed at	Mean	3.52	3.81	2.43	2.00	2.55	2.53	1.77	3.41	2.58
international operations?	Std	2.32	2.19	1.80	1.65	1.94	1.70	1.55	2.43	2.01

Mergers, divestments and diversification

Table 83 Mergers, acquisitions and divestments

CEO

1 = Low 7 = High

•	Firm type									
G8 To what degree has the firm,		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
during the last three years	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
aacquired other firms or	Mean	2.86	4.47	3.52	2.80	3.50	3.20	1.98	3.11	3.05
parts of other firms?	Std	2.37	2.39	2.51	2.32	2.47	2.35	1.92	2.26	2.41
bacquired businesses (e.g. Firms) with innovative	Mean	2.51	3.75	2.52	2.07	2.53	2.45	1.64	2.26	2.32
potential?	Std	2.13	2.21	1.87	1.69	1.90	2.01	1.37	1.65	1.85
c been exposed to threats	Mean	2.67	2.91	2.24	2.42	2.62	1.15	2.00	2.55	2.36
of being acquired?	Std	2.07	2.08	1.92	1.92	1.98	0.37	1.61	2.01	1.90
d gone through downsizing	Mean	3.61	4.56	4.60	4.01	3.90	4.05	2.57	3.07	3.76
and restructuring?	Std	2.45	2.28	2.31	2.21	2.30	2.44	2.06	2.22	2.36
e divested or sold parts of	Mean	2.41	3.67	2.68	2.47	2.85	2.40	1.60	2.97	2.51
the firm?	Std	2.14	2.35	2.30	2.18	2.34	2.21	1.48	2.33	2.20

Board chairperson

1 = Low 7 = High

G7 To what degree has the firm,		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
during the last three years	N.	49	55	97	82	101	20	97	37	538
aacquired other firms or	Mean	3.77	4.49	3.67	3.23	3.71	2.89	1.69	3.23	3.29
parts of other firms?	Std	2.36	2.27	2.49	2.29	2.38	2.11	1.37	2.26	2.35
bacquired businesses (e.g. Firms) with innovative	Mean	2.79	3.53	2.80	2.49	2.91	2.39	1.47	2.63	2.58
potential?	Std	2.12	2.10	2.03	1.82	2.07	1.46	1.03	2.12	1.95
c been exposed to threats	Mean	2.93	2.65	2.46	2.24	2.10	1.33	1.87	2.23	2.26
of being acquired?	Std	1.94	2.10	2.11	1.77	1.68	0.84	1.53	1.94	1.84
d gone through downsizing	Mean	3.68	3.53	4.52	3.91	3.59	4.61	2.47	2.86	3.60
and restructuring?	Std	2.38	2.48	2.07	2.05	2.30	1.79	1.98	1.97	2.25
e divested or sold parts of	Mean	2.91	2.83	2.45	2.00	2.73	2.11	1.36	2.66	2.32
the firm?	Std	2.36	2.50	2.08	1.69	2.31	1.57	0.97	2.25	2.05

Board member

1 = Low 7 = High

F1 To what degree has the firm,		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	AS-S	С	S-U	SMB	Total
during the last three years	N.	72	112	223	142	97	55	104	36	841
aacquired other firms or	Mean	2.65	4.45	3.28	3.14	3.20	3.24	2.00	2.86	3.17
parts of other firms?	Std	2.31	2.28	2.37	2.36	2.28	2.26	1.91	1.93	2.34
bacquired businesses (e.g. Firms) with innovative	Mean	2.43	3.92	2.74	2.69	2.65	3.31	1.68	1.94	2.73
potential?	Std	2.17	2.28	2.10	2.09	2.05	2.11	1.50	1.39	2.12
c been exposed to threats	Mean	2.53	2.29	2.08	2.09	2.51	1.30	1.68	1.53	2.08
of being acquired?	Std	2.05	1.86	1.87	1.80	2.20	0.79	1.37	1.26	1.82
d gone through downsizing	Mean	3.34	3.52	4.34	3.11	2.99	4.53	2.48	2.15	3.48
and restructuring?	Std	2.40	2.36	2.15	2.18	2.20	1.76	2.00	1.64	2.26
e divested or sold parts of	Mean	2.51	3.03	2.60	2.05	2.68	2.46	1.63	2.00	2.41
the firm?	Std	2.20	2.33	2.14	1.88	2.23	1.74	1.54	1.78	2.07

Table 84 Diversification and dispersed operations

CEO	Firm type									
		ASA	В	AS-L			С	S-U	SMB	Total
G14	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
a. What share of firm sales comes from the largest business unit?	Mean	66%	68%	68%	74%	71%	73%	78%	68%	71%
	Std	30.77	27.92	27.79	26.31	27.41	24.71	30.33	26.43	28.21
b. In how many industries does the firm conduct business?	Mean Std	1.75 1.79	1.75 1.01	2.30 3.89	2.67 8.31	2.27 2.64	2.80 2.18	1.83 1.99	2.17 1.56	2.19 4.17
c. In how many product segments does the largest business unit conduct operations?	Mean Std	2.45 1.67	3.40 3.96	5.42 13.05	2.89 2.98	2.92 2.22	5.13 5.46	2.23 1.79	2.62 1.84	3.36 6.66

International operations

 Table 85
 Export and international operations

Table 83 Export and international operations										
CEO	Firm type									
		ASA	В	AS-L	AS-M	, ,	С	S-U	SMB	Total
G13	N.	73	55	202	157	180	21	199	76	963
a. How many countries does the firm export to?	Mean	8.67	16.65	8.28	5.86	5.71	5.27	1.78	2.52	6.04
	Std	16.45	17.45	22.47	15.92	15.62	8.47	7.31	4.86	16.07
b. How many new countries has the firm exported to the last three years?	Mean	2.62	7.12	1.81	2.33	1.95	5.73	1.03	1.09	2.12
	Std	3.95	13.57	4.46	9.25	6.50	12.80	4.50	2.52	6.81
c. In how many countries does the firm have employees on the payroll?	Mean	2.32	6.74	5.05	2.79	2.12	1.21	2.07	.89	3.05
	Std	3.08	7.58	23.13	11.64	12.84	1.48	16.35	1.31	15.07
d. How many % of the firm's turnover go to export?	Mean	32%	54%	17%	13%	18%	10%	5%	15%	17%
	Std	35.57	41.18	30.87	26.59	29.76	21.05	17.22	29.46	30.56
e. How many % of the firm's working labor are situated in foreign countries?	Mean	15%	37%	7%	5%	6%	2%	1%	5%	7%
	Std	25.21	32.53	19.29	15.81	16.29	4.38	8.30	14.94	19.45
f. How many % of the firm's	Mean	31%	54%	17%	13%	17%	9%	6%	15%	17%
revenues come from export?	Std	34.10	40.53	30.58	26.56	29.93	21.09	17.61	31.36	30.48

References

- Huse, Morten (2003) *Styret tante, barbar eller klan?* Bergen: Fagbokforlaget (The board aunt, barbarian or clan?)
- Huse, Morten (2005). Accountability and creating accountability: A framework for exploring behavioral perspectives of corporate governance. *British Journal of Management*, 16: S65-79
- Huse, Morten (2007) *Boards, governance and value creation: The human side of Corporate Governance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Huse, Morten (2008) The value creating board: Corporate governance and organizational behaviour. Oxford: Routledge
- Huse, Morten, Cathrine Hansen, Edle I. Gjøen and Pingying Wenstøp (2004) Innovasjonsundersøkelsen - svar fra daglig ledere i innovasjonsundersøkelsen. Arbeidsrapport nr. 1/2004, Center for Boards and Governance, Handelshøyskolen BI
- Huse, Morten and Margrethe Schøning (2005) *Corporate Governance og prosessorientert styrearbeid evaluering av konsernstyret i Tine BA*. Research report no 1 2005. Norwegian School of Management BI
- Haalien, Lise and Morten Huse (2005) *Boards of directors in Norwegian* family businesses, Research report no 7 2005, Norwegian School of Management BI
- Lervik, Jon Erland, Morten Huse, Cathrine Hansen and Jon Erik Svendsen (2005) *Beskrivelse av norske styrer*, Research report no 2 2005, Norwegian School of Management BI

Publications from "The Value Creating Board" research program

Books

Huse, Morten (2003) *Styret – tante, barbar eller klan?* Bergen: Fagbokforlaget (The board – aunt, barbarian or clan?).

Huse, Morten (2007) *Boards, governance and value creation: The human side of Corporate Governance*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Huse, Morten, Hans Landström and Guido Corbetta (eds) (2003) *Governance in SMEs*, Oslo: BI/Lund/Bocconi

Standal, Elbjørg Gui and Arild Inge Søland (2005) *Styrende styrer - Økonomi for styremedlemmer*. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.

Book chapters

Gabrielsson, Jonas (2003) *Styrelsearbete i riskkapitalfinansierade företag*, chapter in Landström, H. (ed.) Småföretaget och kapitalet – en antologi om svensk forskning kring små företags finansiering, SNS förlag, Stockholm.

Huse, Morten and Jonas Gabrielsson (2004) *The effects of entrepreneurial posture on international activities in light of emerging globalization*, in Corbetta, Guido, Morten Huse and Davide Ravasi (eds) Crossroads of entrepreneurship, Boston: Kluwer, pp. 127-146.

Politis, Diamanto and Jonas Gabrielsson (2005) *Informal investors and the entrepreneurial process: an empirical study of opportunity, motivation and ability*, in Politis, Diamanto. Entrepreneurship, career experience and learning – developing our understanding of entrepreneurship as an experiential learning process, SIRE: Halmstad/Lund University

Scientific articles in international peer reviewed journals

- Gabrielsson, Jonas and Morten Huse (2004) *Context, behavior, and evolution challenges in research on boards and governance*, International Studies of Management and Organization, 34(2):11-36
- Gabrielsson, Jonas and Morten Huse (2005) "Outside" directors in SME boards: a call for theoretical reflections, Corporate board: roles, duties and composition, 1(1):28-37.
- Huse, Morten (2003) *Renewing management and governance: new paradigms of governance?* Journal of Management and Governance, 7: 211-221
- Huse, Morten (2005) Accountability and creating accountability: A framework for exploring behavioural perspectives of corporate governance, British Journal of Management 16(SI): 65-80
- Huse, Morten (2005) *Corporate governance: Understanding important contingencies*, Corporate Ownership and Control, 2(4): 41-50
- Huse, Morten and Jonas Gabrielsson (2004) *Preface: Perspectives from Europe on boards and governance: contingency, behavioral, and evolutionary*, International Studies of Management and Organization, 34(2):3-10
- Huse, Morten, Alessandro Minichilli and Margrethe Schøning (2005) *The value of process-oriented boardroom dynamics*, Organizational Dynamics, 34(3):
- Huse, Morten, Donald Neubaum and Jonas Gabrielsson (2005) *Corporate innovation and competitive environment*, International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal 1(3): 313-333
- Huse, Morten.and Anne Grethe Solberg (2006). Gender-related boardroom dynamics: How Scandinavian women make and can make contributions on corporate boards. Women in Management Review, 21(2): 113-130

Articles in Norwegian

- Huse, Morten (2004) Styrearbeid i Norge innsikt fra corporate governance-virkeligheten, Magma, 7(1): 19-34
- Huse, Morten (2004) *Corporate governance-debatten: Utviklingen nasjonalt og internasjonalt 1990-2004*, in 'Corporate Governance i et norsk perspektiv'. Oslo: PriceWaterhouseCoopers/Abstrakt forlag, pp. 23-45
- Standal, Elbjørg G., and Kjell Standal, K. 2006. *Styrearbeid i små og mellomstore bedrifter (SMB): Hvilken kompetanse trengs i styrerommet?* Praktisk Økonomi & Finans, 3: 3-10.

Research reports

- Huse, Morten, Cathrine Hansen, Edle I. Gjøen and Pingying Wenstøp (2004) Innovasjonsundersøkelsen - svar fra daglig ledere i innovasjonsundersøkelsen. Arbeidsrapport nr. 1/2004, Senter for Styreforskning, Handelshøyskolen BI
- Huse, Morten and Margrethe Schøning (2005) *Corporate Governance og prosessorientert styrearbeid evaluering av konsernstyret i Tine BA*. Research report no 1 2005. Norwegian School of Management BI
- Lervik, Jon Erland, Morten Huse, Cathrine Hansen and Jon Erik Svendsen (2005) *Beskrivelse av norske styrer*, Research report no 2 2005, Norwegian School of Management BI
- Haalien, Lise and Morten Huse (2005) *Boards of directors in Norwegian* family businesses, Research report no 7 2005, Norwegian School of Management BI

Bls forskningsrapporter/Research Reports

Rapportene kan bestilles fra våre hjemmesider <u>www.bi.no</u> – forskning. Eller direkte fra Akademika Nydalen, tlf. 23 21 53 65.

2007

2/2007 Thomas Sellevoll, Morten Huse, Cathrine Hansen

The Value Creating Board. Results from the "Follow-Up Surveys"

2005/2006 in Norwegian firms

Kr 200

1/2007 Jan Erik Grindheim

Diakonale sykehus i helsesektoren.

Kr 100

2006

1/2006 Per Ingvar Olsen og Jon Erland Lervik:

Eierstyring, innovasjon og internasjonalisering i integrerte

samvirkekonsern.

Kr 200

2/2006 Øyvind Bøhren, Richard Priestley and Bernt Arne Ødegaard:

The Duartion of Equity Ownership at the Oslo Stock Exchange

1989-1999. Kr 300

3/2006 Peder Inge Furseth

Internett som innovativ salgskanal: Utfordringer og løsninger belyst av

ledere i Oslo, London og San Fransisco.

Kr 200

2005

1/2005 Morten Huse og Margrethe Schøning:

Corporate governance og prosessorientert styrearbeid. Evaluering av

konsernstyret i Tine BA.

Kr 150

2/2005 Jon Erland Lervik, Morten Huse, Cathrine Hansen og Jon Erik

Svendsen:

Beskrivelse av norske styrer. Foreløpige resultater fra forsknings-

programmet "Det verdiskapende styret".

Kr 150

3/2005 Gard Paulsen:

Informasjon over Nordsjøen: Telekommunikasjoner på norsk sokkel.

Kr 150

4/2006 Jostein Grytten, Rune J. Sørensen, Irene Skau:

Fastlegeordningen – marked, legedekning og tilgjengelighet.

Kr 150

5/2005 Øyvind Martinsen:

Lederskap – spiller det noen rolle?

Kr 150

6/2005 Atle Midttun i samarbeid med Tore Dirdal og Kristian Gautesen:

Offentlig-private partnerskap som supplement til det offentlige

velferdstilbudet.

Kr 100

7/2005 Lise Haalien and Morten Huse:

Board of Directors in Norwegian Family Businesses. Results from the

Value Creating Board Surveys.

Kr 150

8/2006 Øyvind Bøhren and R. Øystein Strøm:

The value-creating board: Theory and evidence.

Kr 150

9/2005 Lars C. Monkerud:

Velgermakt I det regionale lokaldemokratiet? Politikktilpasning og

velgerkontroll i fylkeskommune.

Kr 100

10/2005 Atle Midttun, Arne Jakobsen, Nikolaus Kramer, Karen Lagendijk,

Monique Voogt:

Developing Green Markets: Design Challenges and Pioneering Experience in three European Settings – The Netherlands, the United

Kingdom and Sweden.

Kr 150

11/2005 Atle Midttun, Tore Dirdal, Kristian Gautesen,

Terje Omland, Søren Wenstøp:

Integrating Corporate Social Responsibility and Other Strategic Foci in a Distributed Production System. A Transaction Cost Perspective on the

North Sea Offshore Petroleum Industry.

Kr 100